## Update to 2019-2020 Report Card Information

The U.S. Department of Education has provided states the ability to seek one-year waivers from the Every Student Succeeds Act's (ESSA) testing and accountability requirements. The Ohio General Assembly subsequently passed emergency legislation canceling the spring administration of Ohio's State Tests and waiving report card requirements other than reporting of some limited, available data. The legislation also put in place a "Safe Harbor" period for many elements of the accountability system. Accordingly, the Ohio Department of Education sought and received a federal ESSA waiver for the 2019-2020 school year.

This technical document details how the measure or calculation works in a typical school year. Ohio School Report Cards, Dropout Prevention and Recovery report cards and Career-Technical Planning District report cards all have multiple measures that use assessment data that are not available or are substantially limited this year.

## Please visit the Report Card FAQ website for more information about data availability for the 2019-2020 report cards.

Contact accountability@education.ohio.gov with additional questions.

Description: Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) measure the academic performance of specific groups of students, such as racial and demographic groups. Each of these groups is compared to the expected performance goals for that subgroup to determine if gaps exist. Ohio has made strides over the years to reduce these gaps. However, much work still is needed to eliminate achievement gaps and bring all students up to the same high level of achievement.

Subgroups: In 2020, the Gap Closing grade consists of four domains: ELA, math, graduation rate, and English Language Proficiency (ELP) progress for English Learners. Each measured domain is weighted equally to assign an overall grade on the Report Card. In 2020, a school or district is evaluated for a subgroup as long as there are at least 15 students in the subgroup. The English language proficiency domain evaluates only the broad category of English Learners. The other three domains evaluate these student groups if there are enough in the school or district:

- All Students;
- American Indian/Alaskan Native;
- Asian/Pacific Islander;
- Black, non-Hispanic;
- Hispanic;
- Multiracial;
- White, non-Hispanic;
- Economically Disadvantaged;
- Students with Disabilities; and
- English Learners

AMO Goals: As written in Ohio's ESSA plan, there are different AMO's set for ELA, math, and graduation rate for each student group. ELA and Math AMOs are measured through a Performance Index (PI) score calculation that differentiates tests by proficiency level (see separate documentation on this calculation). They include grades 3-8 state tests as well as first-time EOC tests. Graduation rate AMOs are set based on each subgroup's Four-Year-Adjusted-Cohort graduation rate. The fourth domain-English Language Proficiency-is measured through the percent of English Learners making adequate progress towards English Language Proficiency, defined as either a 1- or 2-point annual increase in the number of points earned on the OELPA (For more information, see separate technical documentation on ELP Improvement). The current year AMOs and the long-term goals expected by the 2025-2026 school year are reproduced below.

| Target AMO's |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Group | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ <br> ELA PI | $\mathbf{2 0 2 5 -}$ <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 6}$ <br> ELA <br> PI | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9 -}$ <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ <br> Math <br> PI | $\mathbf{2 0 2 5 -}$ <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 6}$ <br> Math <br> PI | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9 -}$ <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ <br> Grad <br> Rate | $\mathbf{2 0 2 5 -}$ <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 6}$ <br> Grad <br> Rate | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9 -}$ <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ <br> ELP <br> Progress | $\mathbf{2 0 2 5 -}$ <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 6}$ <br> ELP <br> Progress |
| All Students | 87.8 | 100.0 | 88.2 | 100.0 | $87.0 \%$ | $93.0 \%$ | -- | -- |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 74.0 | 83.8 | 74.3 | 84.0 | $77.1 \%$ | $85.7 \%$ | -- | -- |
| Students with Disabilities | 62.0 | 76.3 | 62.8 | 76.8 | $75.4 \%$ | $84.6 \%$ | -- | -- |
| English Learners | 69.4 | 80.9 | 73.4 | 83.4 | $63.5 \%$ | $77.2 \%$ | $57.0 \%$ | $75.0 \%$ |
| Black | 67.4 | 79.7 | 65.6 | 78.5 | $72.0 \%$ | $82.5 \%$ | -- | -- |
| American Indian or Alaskan <br> Native | 81.0 | 88.1 | 79.4 | 87.1 | $81.1 \%$ | $88.2 \%$ | -- | -- |
| Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other <br> Pacific Islander | 93.2 | 95.8 | 98.0 | 98.8 | $89.8 \%$ | $93.0 \%$ | -- | -- |
| Hispanic or Latino | 75.0 | 84.4 | 75.4 | 84.6 | $77.6 \%$ | $86.0 \%$ | -- | -- |
| Multiracial | 80.7 | 88.0 | 80.2 | 87.6 | $82.2 \%$ | $88.9 \%$ | -- | -- |
| White | 87.8 | 92.4 | 89.0 | 93.1 | $89.6 \%$ | $93.0 \%$ | -- | -- |

Grades: Each school or district is assigned a subcomponent score for ELA, math, graduation, and ELP. Within each subcomponent, each subgroup meeting the minimum $N$-size in the current year is evaluated. There are three opportunities for a subgroup to earn points towards the Gap Closing grade.

1) Meet the Current Year Interim Goal

If the subgroup's current year ELA PI, Math PI, Graduation rate, or ELP Progress percent is greater than or equal to the interim (2019-2020) goal, then 100 points are assigned.
2) Move Closer to the Long-Term Goal

If a subgroup's current year ELA PI, Math PI, Graduation rate, or ELP Progress percent is less than the interim goal, a subgroup still is able to earn full points. A school or district's subgroup is expected to close the current year gap by $10 \%$. If this is accomplished, 100 points are earned. If this is not done, then partial points are assigned as follows. Each calculation uses the same formula, but for purposes of the example, the graduation rate is used:


Note that an "improvement" calculation only will be done if the subgroup's was evaluated in the preceding year. For the purposes of the 2019-2020 Report Card, this means that a school or district's subgroup must have contained at least 20 students in 2019 for points to be calculated under this option.
3) Value-Added

For math and ELA only, ODE will receive value-added gains from SAS, its value-added vendor. These gains will be used as another way for a subgroup to show improvement and meet the AMO. If a subgroup's composite math or composite ELA value-added score equals +1.0 or higher, then the AMO is met and 100 points are assigned.

A subcomponent score is the sum of all points for all evaluated subgroups in an LEA or school divided by the total possible points based on number of the subgroups assessed for that subcomponent and reported as a percentage. To arrive at the overall AMO letter grades, the three subcomponent percentages are averaged together. 100 points is the maximum that can be earned by any single subgroup in a domain while 0 is the minimum.

To arrive at the overall Gap Closing letter grade, the four subcomponent percentages are averaged together. Preliminary letter grades are then assigned using the following grading scale.

## Score

90\%-100\%
80\% - 89.9\%
70\% - 79.9\%
60\% - 69.9\%
Less than 60\%

## Letter Grade

A
B
C
D
F

Demotions: Participation: All districts and schools are expected to assess at least 95 percent of their students on the state assessments. Any school or district with a less than a 95 percent participation rate for any subgroup in ELA or math will be demoted one letter grade on the final Gap Closing grade. When issuing the demotion, 10 points are deducted from the preliminary score. If a school or district earns $100 \%$ of the possible points, 10.1 points are deducted to reduce the grade to the top of the " $B$ " range.

Subgroups: A district or school cannot earn an "A" as the final letter grade if one of its subgroups is significantly behind. If any subgroup earns fewer than 60 points on its PI score or has a graduation rate below $70.0 \%$, the district or school will have its "A" grade demoted to a "B".

Districts or schools may be demoted only once due to participation or low subgroup performance. There are not multiple demotions and the grade only is reduced by one letter.

Example: The following example displays how a sample LEA's Gap Closing grade is calculated. Note that when assigning points earned by a subgroup, the points are calculated using the all methodologies described above, and the calculation that yields the highest number of points is used. In this example, the LEA received a preliminary score of $91.6 \%$. The preliminary grade was demoted because of low subgroup performance (highlighted in yellow). This document is meant to be used as an EXAMPLE and 2019 gap closing targets are used in the columns. The actual calculations for 2020 will use the 2020 targets.

| ELA AMO Calculation - EXAMPLE ONLY using 2019 Targets. Actual 2020 calculations will use 2020 targets. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | AMO <br> Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroup | Student \# | $\begin{aligned} & 2019 \text { PI } \\ & \text { Score } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2019 \\ \text { Goal } \\ \text { ELA } \end{gathered}$ | 2019 Goal <br> Met/Not Met | Points from Meeting 2019 Goal | 2026 <br> Goal <br> ELA | $\begin{gathered} 2017- \\ 18 \mathrm{PI} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Improvement } \\ & \text { (2019 PI - } \\ & 2018 \mathrm{PI} \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | Long <br> Term Gap (2026 Goal 2019 PI) | Improvement <br> Points Earned | Value <br> Added <br> Gain | VA Points Earned | Points <br> Earned | How Points Earned | $\begin{gathered} 88.7+82.0+ \\ 87.8+100= \\ \text { 358.5 Points } \end{gathered}$ |
| All <br> Students | 10,110 | 88.5 | 85.8 | MET | 100 | 100.0 | 87.2 | 1.3 | 11.5 | 0.0 | +0.7 | 0 | 100.0 | $2019 \text { AMO }$ <br> Met |  |
| Black | 4,630 | 60.5 | 65.4 | NOT MET | 0 | 79.7 | 61.0 | -0.5 | 19.2 | 0.0 | +1.2 | 100 | 100.0 | VA Growth |  |
| AM. <br> Ind/AK Ntv | 4 | NR | 79.8 | NR | NR | 88.1 | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR | $\begin{gathered} \text { Subgroup } \\ <20 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Asian/P IsI | 671 | 92.9 | 92.8 | MET | 100 | 95.8 | 93.0 | -0.1 | 2.9 | 0.0 | +1.4 | 100 | 100.0 | $2019 \text { AMO }$ <br> Met |  |
| Hispanic | 383 | 70.9 | 73.4 | NOT MET | 0 | 84.4 | 70.0 | 0.9 | 13.5 | 66.7 | -0.6 | 0 | 66.7 | Gap Cut <10\% |  |
| Multiracial | 879 | 78.0 | 79.5 | NOT MET | 0 | 88.0 | 77.2 | 0.8 | 10.0 | 80.0 | +2.5 | 100 | 100.0 | VA Growth |  |
| White | 3543 | 88.0 | 87.1 | MET | 100 | 92.4 | 87.3 | 0.7 | 4.4 | 0.0 | -0.2 | 0 | 100.0 | 2019 AMO <br> Met |  |
| Econ. <br> Disad. | 6,712 | 67.9 | 72.4 | NOT MET | 0 | 83.8 | 67.4 | 0.5 | 15.9 | 31.4 | +0.8 | 0 | 31.4 | Gap Cut $<10 \%$ |  |
| SWD | 1,974 | 47.2 | 59.6 | NOT MET | 0 | 76.3 | 45.1 | 2.1 | 29.1 | 72.2 | +4.1 | 100 | 100.0 | VA Growth |  |
| EL | 73 | 35.0 | 67.5 | NOT MET | 0 | 80.9 | 30.0 | 5.0 | 45.9 | 100.0 | -1.6 | 0 | 100.0 | Gap Cut $>10 \%$ |  |
| Total Points Earned By All Subgroups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 798.1 | 88.7 ELA <br> Points |  |
| Total Possible Points |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 900 |  |  |


| MATH AMO Calculation - EXAMPLE ONLY using 2019 Targets. Actual 2020 calculations will use 2020 targets. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Preliminary Grade |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroup | Student \# | 2019 PI Score | $\begin{aligned} & 2019 \\ & \text { Goal } \\ & \text { Math } \end{aligned}$ | 2019 Goal <br> Met/Not Met | Points from Meeting 2019 Goal | $\begin{aligned} & 2026 \\ & \text { Goal } \\ & \text { Math } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2017- \\ 18 \mathrm{PI} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Improvement } \\ & \text { (2019 PI- } \\ & 2018 \mathrm{PI} \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Long } \\ \text { Term } \\ \text { Gap } \\ \text { (2026 } \\ \text { Goal- } \\ 2019 \\ \text { PI) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Improvement Points Earned | Value <br> Added <br> Gain | VA Points Earned | Points Earned | How Points Earned | $\begin{gathered} 358.5 / 4= \\ 89.6= \\ \text { "B" } \end{gathered}$ |
| All Students | 10,110 | 84.0 | 86.2 | NOT MET | 0 | 100.0 | 82.9 | 1.1 | 16.0 | 68.7 | +3.5 | 100 | 100.0 | VA Growth |  |
| Black | 4,630 | 60.5 | 63.5 | NOT MET | 0 | 78.5 | 59.3 | 1.2 | 18.0 | 66.7 | -1.5 | 0 | 66.7 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Gap Cut } \\ <10 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| AM. Ind/AK Ntv | 4 | NR | 78.1 | NR | NR | 87.1 | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Subgroup } \\ & <20 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Asian/P IsI | 671 | 97.2 | 97.9 | NOT MET | 0 | 98.8 | 97.1 | 0.1 | 1.6 | 62.5 | +1.4 | 100 | 100.0 | VA Growth |  |
| Hispanic | 383 | 74.0 | 73.8 | MET | 100 | 84.6 | 73.1 | 0.9 | 10.6 | 0.0 | -0.6 | 0 | 100.0 | $\begin{gathered} 2019 \text { AMO } \\ \text { Met } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Multiracial | 879 | 77.2 | 78.9 | NOT MET | 0 | 87.6 | 71.9 | 5.3 | 10.4 | 100.0 | +2.5 | 100 | 100.0 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Gap Cut } \\ >10 \% \end{gathered}$ |  |
| White | 3543 | 89.0 | 88.3 | MET | 100 | 93.1 | 87.3 | 1.7 | 4.1 | 0.0 | -0.2 | 0 | 100.0 | $\begin{gathered} 2019 \text { AMO } \\ \text { Met } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Econ. Disad. | 6,712 | 67.9 | 72.7 | NOT MET | 0 | 84.0 | 67.4 | 0.5 | 16.1 | 31.1 | +1.3 | 0 | 31.1 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Gap Cut } \\ <10 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| SWD | 1,974 | 60.5 | 60.5 | MET | 100 | 76.8 | 58.3 | 2.2 | 16.3 | 0.0 | +4.1 | 100 | 100.0 | $\begin{gathered} 2019 \mathrm{AMO} \\ \text { Met } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| EL | 73 | 48.6 | 71.8 | NOT MET | 0 | 83.4 | 47.2 | 1.4 | 34.8 | 40.2 | -1.6 | 0 | 40.2 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Gap Cut } \\ <10 \% \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Total Points Earned By All Subgroups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 738.0 | 82.0 Math Points |  |
| Total Possible Points |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 900 |  |  |


| Subgroup | Student \# | $\begin{aligned} & 2019 \\ & \text { Grad } \\ & \text { Rate } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2019 \\ & \text { Goal } \\ & \text { Grad } \end{aligned}$ | 2019 Goal <br> Met/Not Met | Points from Meeting 2019 Goal | 2026 <br> Goal <br> Grad. | $\begin{gathered} 2017- \\ 18 \\ \text { Grad. } \\ \text { Rate } \end{gathered}$ | Improvement (2019 Grad. 2018 Grad.) | Long <br> Term Gap <br> (2026 <br> Goal - <br> 2019 <br> Grad.) | Improvement <br> Points Earned | Points <br> Earned | How Points Earned | Although there is low subgroup performance, no demotions are made as the prelim. grade is not an "A". FINAL GRADE = "B" |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All <br> Students | 997 | 86.0\% | 86.0\% | MET | 100 | 93.0\% | 82.9\% | 3.1\% | 7.0\% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 2019 AMO Met |  |
| Black | 367 | 68.5\% | 70.3\% | NOT MET | 0 | 82.5\% | 59.3\% | 9.2\% | 14.0\% | 100.0 | 100.0 | Gap Cut >10\% |  |
| AM. Ind/AK Ntv | 1 | NR | 79.9\% | NR | NR | 88.2\% | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR | Subgroup <20 |  |
| Asian/P IsI | 61 | 87.6\% | 89.3\% | NOT MET | 0 | 93.0\% | 86.2\% | 1.4\% | 5.4\% | 100.0 | 100.0 | Gap Cut >10\% |  |
| Hispanic | 19 | NR | 76.2\% | NR | 0 | 86.0\% | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR | Subgroup <20 |  |
| Multiracial | 99 | 77.2\% | 81.0\% | NOT MET | 0 | 88.9\% | 76.8\% | 0.4\% | 11.7\% | 34.2 | 34.2 | Gap Cut <10\% |  |
| White | 445 | 88.0\% | 89.1\% | NOT MET | 0 | 93.0\% | 87.3\% | 0.7\% | 5.0\% | 100.0 | 100.0 | Gap Cut >10\% |  |
| Econ. Disad. | 673 | 78.2\% | 75.7\% | MET | 100 | 85.7\% | 77.4\% | 0.8\% | 7.5\% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 2019 AMO Met |  |
| SWD | 145 | 47.2\% | 73.8\% | NOT MET | 0 | 84.6\% | 44.2\% | 3.0\% | 37.4\% | 80.2 | 80.2 | Gap Cup <10\% |  |
| EL | 18 | NR | 61.2\% | NR | NR | 77.2\% | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR | Subgroup <20 |  |
| Total Points Earned By All Subgroups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 614.4 | 87.8 Grad. Points |  |
| Total Possible Points |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 700 |  |  |

EL PROGRESS AMO Calculation - EXAMPLE ONLY using $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ Targets. Actual $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ calculations will use $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ targets.

| Subgroup | Student \# | 2019 EL <br> Progress \% | 2019 <br> Goal EL <br> Progress | 2019 Goal <br> Met/Not Met | Points from Meeting 2019 Goal | 2026 <br> Goal EL <br> Progress | $\begin{gathered} 2018 \text { EL } \\ \text { Progress } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Improvement (2019 Prog. 2018 Prog.) | Long Term <br> Gap (2026 <br> Goal - 2019 <br> Prog. \%) | Improvement <br> Points <br> Earned | Points Earned | How Points Earned |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English Learners | 97 | 57.0\% | 54.0\% | MET | 100 | 75.0\% | 56.9\% | 0.1\% | 18.0\% | 5.6 | 100.0 | 2019 AMO Met |
| Total Points Earned By the Subgroup |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100.0 | 100 EL Progress Points |
| Total Possible Points |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 |  |

