

Empowered by Evidence:Using What Works to Improve Student Outcomes

Frequently Asked Questions

- 1. What are evidence-based interventions?
- 2. Why do evidence-based interventions matter?
- 3. How do evidence-based interventions fit into a school's systemic plan for continuous improvement?
- 4. How are evidence-based interventions differentiated?
- 5. How do local characteristics and needs factor into the tiers of evidence?
- 6. Does Tier 4 matter?
- 7. What is the difference between "evidence based and research based?
- 8. What is Ohio doing to support districts' use of evidence-based interventions?
- 9. Are there additional resources educators can use to learn more about using evidence in education?

1. What are evidence-based interventions?

Evidence-based interventions are programs, practices or activities that have been evaluated and proven to improve student outcomes. Districts can have confidence that the interventions are likely to produce positive results when implemented.

The term "evidence based" is not new. It has been used in the field of medicine since 1996 and is defined among medical professionals as "the conscientious, explicit and judicious use of current best evidence in making decisions about the care of the individual patient." When thinking about the term from an education perspective, "patient" could be substituted with "student."

"Evidence based" has been catapulted into the education arena by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). Federally, this shift emphasizes the importance of making decisions that are based upon a rigorous evaluation. Prior to ESSA, the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) consistently used "research based" when describing interventions. No Child Left Behind (NCLB) used "scientifically-based research" as its threshold. "Evidence based" represents a higher expectation.

Note that resources created prior to enactment of ESSA (before July 2016) might have references to being "evidence based," but that does not necessarily mean they meet ESSA's definition of "evidence based."

¹ Dr. David Sackett, 1996: http://guides.mclibrary.duke.edu/c.php?g=158201&p=1036021

2. Why do evidence-based interventions matter?

An educator's top priority is *success* for each and every student. Fulfilling this priority means that selected interventions must yield maximum return. This is especially important as educators support students with diverse needs and administrators are faced with limited resources.

But using evidence to inform the selection of an intervention is not the only thing that matters. To achieve greatest impact on student outcomes, districts should carefully consider interventions that are:

- Aligned with the district's or school's specific needs and educational context. In other words, district or school leaders have given careful thought to deploying the intervention in a way that recognizes its unique local characteristics;
- Part of a cohesive improvement plan. This means the intervention is integrated into the district's or school's systemic improvement plan and complements its efforts;
- Implemented with fidelity. This means that the district or school is committed to the long-term follow through of the intervention and is careful to understand its intent and preserve the integrity of its design.

Evidence of a successful intervention is determined through rigorous research and evaluation. If such evidence does not yet exist, districts should be prepared to evaluate the effectiveness of their selected interventions.

Using evidence to determine the most effective intervention — coupled with a systemic improvement plan and sustained implementation — goes a long way to enable *success* for each and every student.

3. How do evidence-based interventions fit into a school's systemic plan for continuous improvement?

Selecting an evidence-based intervention is one important part of an effective cycle of continuous improvement. The cycle also should include:

- An initial needs assessment to help ensure the interventions are sensitive to the district's or school's specific needs;
- An alignment test to ensure the intervention is working in service of the district's or school's systemic continuous improvement plan;
- Local data analysis and evaluation to determine if the intervention is working as intended.

4. How are evidence-based interventions differentiated?

ESSA (Section 8002) and the <u>U.S. Department of Education's Non-Regulatory Guidance: Using Evidence to Strengthen Education Investments</u> outline four tiers of evidence. Tier 1 represents the strongest level of evidence and, therefore, the strongest level of confidence that an intervention will work. The table below includes ESSA's definition for each of the four tiers, along with a practical interpretation of each tier.

ESSA definition	What does it mean?
Strong evidence from at least one well-designed and well-implemented experimental study.	Experimental studies have demonstrated that the intervention improves a relevant student outcome (e.g., reading scores; attendance rates). Experimental studies (e.g., Random Control Trials) are those in which students are randomly assigned to treatment or control groups, allowing



	ESSA definition	What does it mean?
Tier 2	Moderate evidence from at least one well-designed and well-implemented quasi-experimental study.	researchers to speak with confidence about the likelihood that an intervention causes an outcome. Well-designed and well-implemented experimental studies meet the What Works Clearinghouse (WWC) evidence standards
		without reservations. The research studies use large, multi-site samples. No other experimental or quasi-experimental
		research shows that the intervention negatively affects the outcome. Researchers have found that the intervention improves outcomes for the specific student subgroups that the district or school intends to support with the intervention.
		Quasi-experimental studies have found that the intervention improves a relevant student outcome (e.g., reading scores, attendance rates). Quasi-experimental studies (e.g., Regression Discontinuity Design) are those in which students have not been randomly assigned to treatment or control groups, but researchers are using statistical matching methods that allow them to speak with confidence about the likelihood that an intervention <i>causes</i> an outcome.
		Well-designed and well-implemented quasi- experimental studies meet the What Works Clearinghouse (WWC) evidence standards with reservations.
		The research studies use large, multi-site samples.
		No other experimental or quasi-experimental research shows that the intervention negatively affects the outcome.
		Researchers have found that the intervention improves outcomes for the specific student subgroups that the district or school intends to support with the intervention.



	ESSA definition	What does it mean?
Tier 3	Promising evidence from at least one well-designed and well-implemented correlational study.	Correlational studies (e.g., studies that can show a <i>relationship</i> between the intervention and outcome but cannot show <i>causation</i>) have found that the intervention likely improves a relevant student outcome (e.g., reading scores, attendance rates). The studies do not have to be based on large, multi-site samples. No other experimental or quasi-experimental research shows that the intervention negatively affects the outcome. An intervention that would otherwise be considered Tier 1 or Tier 2, except that it does not meet the sample size requirements, is considered Tier 3.
Tier 4	Demonstrates a rationale based on high-quality research findings or positive evaluation that such activity, strategy or intervention is likely to improve student outcomes or other relevant outcomes.	Based on existing research, the intervention cannot yet be defined as a Tier 1, Tier 2 or Tier 3. However, there is good reason to believe — based on existing research and data — that the intervention could improve a relevant student outcome. Before using a Tier 4 intervention, districts should: Explore Existing Research: Why do we believe this intervention will meet our needs? Develop a Logic Model: How will the intervention improve student outcomes? Plan to Evaluate: How will we know that the intervention is improving student outcomes?

5. How do local characteristics and needs factor into the tiers of evidence?

While an intervention may have been proven to work for the general student population, one cannot assume that the same intervention will have the same effect on specific student subgroups.

An intervention can only be considered a Tier 1 or Tier 2 intervention for a district or school if the research shows that the intervention improves student outcomes for the student subgroup that the district or school intends to support. If, for example, a district or school has identified a need to offer additional supports to their students with disabilities, a Tier 1 or Tier 2 intervention for that district will be one that has been proven to work for students with disabilities.



Considering the unique needs of specific student subgroups is a valuable consideration regardless the tier of evidence associated with an intervention. There may be cases where Ohio will require districts to take those unique needs into consideration when using Tier 3 or Tier 4 options for school improvement or grant opportunities. These cases will be identified and detailed on a case-by-case basis.

6. Does Tier 4 matter?

Tier 4 enables districts to innovate and explore new interventions that have strong potential for improving student outcomes. Often, the most promising innovations in education bubble up from the local level.

While there will be circumstances where districts will be required to use interventions identified with strong (Tier 1), moderate (Tier 2) or promising (Tier 3) evidence, there also will be opportunities for districts to leverage Tier 4 interventions. Options for using Tier 4 interventions to address school improvement requirements or grant opportunities will be identified and detailed on a case-by-case basis.

7. What is the difference between "evidence based" and "research based"?

The terms "evidence based" and "research based" are frequently used interchangeably, but they are different — and it is important to understand the difference.

An intervention that is evidence based is likely also research based; however, the reverse is not always true. A program or intervention — especially if it is newly developed — may be research based but not meet the formal definitions of evidence based.

For an intervention to be considered "evidence based," its efficacy must have been evaluated by someone other than just the people or organizations that developed the intervention. The outcome of the evaluation(s) will determine what, if any, tier of evidence the intervention meets.

While generally there is research that goes into the *development* of an intervention, it must be *evaluated* for efficacy, as outlined by ESSA, to fulfill Ohio's state or federal requirements related to evidence-based interventions.

8. What is Ohio doing to support districts' use of evidence-based interventions?

The Department plans to take the following steps:

Post resources and tools aimed at operationalizing the "evidence-based" approach, including:

- Guidance on how federal law and state policy contribute to the definitions of the evidence-based tiers that Ohio will use:
- > Step-by-step guidance on how to leverage existing resources, such as the What Works Clearinghouse, to identify evidence-based activities, strategies and interventions.

The Department's website will be updated on a regular basis to reflect current information on Ohio's approach to using evidence in education.



Launch Ohio's Evidence-Based Clearinghouse

As part of its ESSA plan, Ohio committed to developing an Evidence-Based Clearinghouse, a system that will support districts with the knowledge, information, tools and resources they need to identify, select and implement evidence-based strategies for improving student outcomes. This resource will be available to districts in the summer of 2018.

Ohio's Evidence-Based Clearinghouse will be designed with several key guiding principles in mind. The clearinghouse will be:

- Practitioner-Focused. Ohio school administrators and teachers are the primary audience for the Clearinghouse. While academic research plays an important role in establishing levels of evidence, the information, resources, and connections to other systems that are incorporated into the Clearinghouse must be clear and actionable at the local level.
- 2. Integrated with Ohio's School Improvement System. The Evidence-Based Clearinghouse will serve as a critical resource for districts as they complete needs assessments, identify areas for school improvement and identify relevant interventions supported by appropriate levels of evidence. To the degree possible, the Evidence-Based Clearinghouse should be seamlessly integrated into this process.
- 3. A Dynamic, Growing Resource. The Evidence-Based Clearinghouse is not meant to be a stagnant archive of information but rather a dynamic, living tool that provides districts and educators with resources they can use on a regular basis as they work to improve student outcomes.

Promote Peer-to-Peer Improvement Network

As part of its broader efforts to develop an Evidence-Based Framework for school improvement, Ohio will foster Peer-to-Peer Improvement Networks to encourage partnerships and opportunities for educators to collaborate across district boundaries, and across subjects that support a well-rounded education, to fashion solutions to common challenges.

The Evidence-Based Clearinghouse will be directly connected to the Peer-to-Peer Network Improvement efforts as it will allow districts to learn more about the strategies and interventions that other districts and schools are leveraging to address specific needs.

9. Are there additional resources educators can use to learn more about using evidence in education?

- Ohio's Striving Readers Literacy Academy Resources on Selecting Evidence-Based Practices and Navigating Evidence-Based Clearinghouses
- Non-Regulatory Guidance: Using Evidence to Strengthen Education Investments
- Supporting a Culture of Evidence Use in Education
- What Works Clearinghouse

