

<p style="text-align: center;">Ripley-Union-Lewis-Huntington School District IRN 046078</p>

Ohio Department of Education, Office for Exceptional Children
2011-2012 Onsite Review Summary Report

Introduction

The Ohio Department of Education's Office for Exceptional Children would like to extend appreciation to the district staff for their efforts, attention and time committed to the completion of the review processes.

The following report is a summary of the onsite review conducted on November 8-10, 2011 by the Ohio Department of Education's Office for Exceptional Children (OEC) and Office of Early Learning and School Readiness (OEL&SR) as part of its general supervision requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) and Am. Sub. HB1. The onsite visit consisted of the following reviews:

- IDEA Review: (Special Education School Age, Special Education Early Childhood and Fiscal)
- Gifted Education Review

IDEA Review

Overview

Educational consultants from the Office for Exceptional Children (OEC) conducted IDEA review activities on November 8-11, 2011. During the IDEA Review, OEC consultants monitor the LEA's implementation of the IDEA to ensure compliance. The primary focus of the IDEA Review is to:

- Improve educational results and functional outcomes for all children with disabilities; and
- Ensure that LEAs meet program requirements under Part B of IDEA, particularly those requirements that are most closely related to improving educational results for children with disabilities.

OEC focused the review on the following areas:

- Child Find;
- Delivery of Services;
- Least Restrictive Environment;
- Data Verification.

Data Sources

During the review, OEC considered information from the following sources:

1. Public Parent Meeting, Individual Parent Meetings and Written Comments

On 10/25/2011, Ripley-Union-Lewis-Huntington Schools mailed 189 OEC approved letters to all families with students with disabilities in the district. OEC provided the district with a public meeting announcement for inclusion on its website or newsletter. The district posted the information regarding the meeting November 8, 2011 in local newspapers.

On November 8, 2011, OEC consultants held a public meeting for parents and other interested parties. Public parent meeting dates for all districts selected for IDEA Reviews are posted on the ODE website. Two parents and one State Support Team (SST) Region 14 representatives attended the public meeting. Attendees could speak to OEC representatives publicly in the meeting or individually, provide written comments, or both. One parent attendee made comments during the public meeting. Written comment forms were available before, during and after the meeting. OEC received no written comments. On the same day, the consultants held one individual parent/guardian meetings.

During the public meeting, parents were advised by OEC consultants of the formal complaint process under IDEA and that their public comments did not constitute a formal complaint. The participants were also informed that while the information they provided may be helpful to the review, it may not necessarily be acted upon as part of the review process. "Whose IDEA Is This?", Ohio's procedural safeguards notice, was available for participants who wanted a copy.

2. Pre-Onsite Data Analysis

OEC consultants reviewed district, building and grade level data. District data analyzed included the Special Education Performance Profile, Local Report Cards, and Education Management Information System (EMIS) data. The data analysis assists OEC in determining potential growth areas and district strengths.

3. Record Review

On November 8-9, 2011, OEC consultants reviewed thirty-six records of school age students with disabilities. An OEL&SR consultant, on November 10, 2011, reviewed six records as part of the Early Childhood Special Education Review. OEC selected records of a variety of children with disabilities from three buildings.

Please note, not all records are reviewed for every component.

4. Staff/Administrative Interviews

On November 10, 2011, OEC consultants held four sessions of interviews with 12 administrators and 22 teachers, school counselors, related services personnel and school psychologists. OEC interviews focused on the following review areas: Child Find; Delivery of Services; Least Restrictive Environment (LRE) and IEP alignment.

Findings

A finding is made when noncompliance with a specific IDEA requirement is identified through the processes outlined above. All findings of noncompliance must be corrected as soon as possible, but no later than one year of the date of this report.

OEC provides separate written correspondence to the school district and the parent/guardian when action is required to correct findings of non-compliance for individual students.

Noncompliance that is identified in **30% or more** of the records reviewed by OEC and substantiated through other data sources must be included in a comprehensive corrective action plan (CAP) with action steps to address each of the noncompliance findings.

All noncompliance identified by OEC as part of the IDEA review, listed by subject area within this report in the *Review of Findings and District Required Actions* table, must be corrected as set forth below.

Corrective Action Plan (CAP)

The *Review of Findings and District Required Actions* identifies the noncompliance which must be addressed in the corrective action plan developed by the Ripley-Union-Lewis-Huntington School District. An approved form for the corrective action plan will be provided by OEC or can be accessed on ODE's web site by using the keyword search "OEC Corrective Action Plan". The corrective action plan developed by the district must include the following:

- Improvement strategies to address all areas of identified non-compliance,
- Documentation/evidence of implementation of the strategies,
- Individuals responsible for implementing the strategies,
- Resources needed, and

- Completion dates.

State Performance Plan (SPP) results indicators may also be included in the corrective action plan to address improved performance for students with disabilities.

The district must submit the corrective action plan to Linn Davey, OEC Lead Consultant at Linn.Davey@education.ohio.gov within 30 school days from the date of this report. OEC will review the action plan submitted by the district for approval. If OEC deems that a revision(s) is necessary, the district will be required to revise and resubmit. The district will be contacted by the OEC Lead Consultant and notified when the action plan has been approved.

CAP Due Date: 05-03-2012

Individual Correction

The district has 60 school days of the issuance of the letter of findings to correct all identified findings of non-compliance for individual students, unless noted otherwise in the report.

Individual Correction Due Date: 09-07-2012

Systemic Correction

The district must correct any noncompliant policies, procedures and/or practices identified through the onsite review. OEC will verify through follow-up review of new data that the noncompliant policies, procedures and/or practices have been revised and the district is correctly implementing the regulatory requirements of IDEA. The follow-up review of new data will include review of individual student records and may include parent/staff/administrative interviews, as needed.

Systemic Correction Due Date: 03-19-2013

For questions about specific components of this report please contact:

- **Special Education School Age:** Linn Davey, OEC Lead Consultant, at 614-728-4180, toll-free at (877) 644-6338, or by e-mail at Linn.Davey@education.ohio.gov.
- **Special Education Early Childhood:** Connie Prairie, Educational Consultant, at (614) 387-2239, toll-free at (877) 644-6338, or by e-mail at Connie.Prairie@education.ohio.gov.
- **Fiscal:** Mark Lynskey, Educational Consultant, at (614) 644-8861, toll-free at (877) 644-6338, or by e-mail at Mark.Lynskey@education.ohio.gov.
- **Gifted Education:** Beth Hahn, Educational Consultant, at (614) 752-1745, toll-free at (877) 644-6338, or by e-mail at beth.hahn@education.ohio.gov.

Special Education School Age/Preschool Components, OEC's Review Findings, and District Required Actions

Component 1: Child Find

Each school district shall adopt and implement written policies and procedures approved by the Ohio Department of Education, Office for Exceptional Children, that ensure all children with disabilities residing within the district, regardless of the severity of their disability, and who are in need of special education and related services are identified, located, and evaluated as required by Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004 and Federal Regulations at 34 C.F.R. Part 300 pertaining to child find, including the regulations at 34 C.F.R. 300.111 and 300.646 and Rule 3301-51-03 of the Operating Standards for Ohio Educational Agencies serving Children with Disabilities.

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
			Required Actions	
CF-1	300.303(b)(2)	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>Two reevaluation records indicated that the child's reevaluation was not completed within the three year timeline.</p> <p>All preschool records indicated that the child's reevaluation was completed within the three year timeline.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>OEC has verified that these student(s) have a current ETR in place, so no additional individual correction is required.</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>The district must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices in place regarding child find evaluation process.</p> <p>OEC will contact the district for submission of new records and review these records to determine compliance with this regulation.</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>The district does <u>not</u> need to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.</p>
CF-2	300.305(a)	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>All preschool evaluation records of children transitioning from Part C, utilized child information from the Individual Family Service Plan (IFSP) and other documentation provided by Help Me Grow in suspecting or when determining eligibility for Part B supports and services.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>None</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>None</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA</p>

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
			Required Actions	
CF-3	OAC 3301-51-06 (2) and OAC 3301-51-06(4)	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>One school age initial evaluation did not appropriately document interventions provided to resolve concerns for the child performing below grade-level standards.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>OEC has verified that this student has a current IEP in place, so no additional individual correction is required.</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>The district must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices in place regarding documentation of intervention and supports provided prior to completion of the initial evaluation team report.</p> <p>OEC will contact the district for submission of new records and review these records to determine compliance with this regulation.</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>The district does <u>not</u> need to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.</p>
CF-4	300.501(b)(1)	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>Eleven school age student and one preschool student records did not show evidence that the evaluation planning team included the parent.</p> <p><u>Interviews</u></p> <p>During the interviews, staff and administrators discussed the need to better document parent invitations and contacts.</p> <p><u>Other considerations</u></p> <p>This school district has had three different school psychologists in the past three years.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>The district must provide evidence that the parent was involved or provided the opportunity to participate (three documented attempts) in the evaluation planning process.</p> <p>The evidence may include; evaluation planning form, prior written notice, parent invitation, referral form or communication log.</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>The district must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices in place regarding the evaluation planning process to include the parent.</p> <p>OEC will contact the district for submission of new records and review these records to determine compliance with this regulation.</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p> <p>A Corrective Action Plan is required due to meeting the 30% threshold of non-compliance.</p>

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
			Required Actions	
CF-5	300.305(a)(1)	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>Three school age student evaluations did not provide evidence that the evaluation planning team reviewed existing data on the child.</p> <p>All preschool student evaluations provided evidence that the evaluation planning team reviewed existing data on the child.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>The district must provide the evaluation planning form or evidence documenting existing data was reviewed during the evaluation planning process. If not, the IEP team must reconvene the ETR planning and ETR meeting.</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>The district must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices in place to review existing data during the evaluation planning.</p> <p>OEC will contact the district for submission of new records and review these records to determine compliance with this regulation.</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>The district does <u>not</u> need to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.</p>
CF-6	300.305(a)(2)	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>Three school age student and one preschool student evaluations did not provide evidence that the evaluation planning team identified what additional data, if any, were needed.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>The district must provide the evaluation planning form or evidence documenting additional data, if any was reviewed during the evaluation planning process. If not, the IEP team must reconvene the ETR planning and ETR meeting.</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>The district must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices in place to review additional data, if any during evaluation planning.</p> <p>OEC will contact the district for submission of new records and review these records to determine compliance with this regulation.</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>The district does <u>not</u> need to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.</p>

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
			Required Actions	
CF-7	300.304(c)(4); OAC 3301-51-01; and OAC 3301-51-06	<u>Record Review</u> One school age student evaluations did not provide evidence that the evaluation addresses all areas related to the suspected disability. All preschool student evaluations provided evidence that the evaluation addresses all areas related to the suspected disability.	<u>Individual Correction</u> The district will convene the ETR team to conduct a reevaluation and provide evidence that the evaluation addresses all areas related to the suspected disability. <u>Systemic Correction</u> The district must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices in place to provide evidence that the evaluation addresses all areas related to the suspected disability. OEC will contact the district for submission of new records and review these records to determine compliance with this regulation.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No The district does <u>not</u> need to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.
CF-8	300.306(a)(1)	<u>Record Review</u> All school age and preschool student records showed evidence that the parent of the child was involved in determining whether the child is a child with a disability.	<u>Individual Correction</u> None <u>Systemic Correction</u> None	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA
CF-9	300.306(a)(1)	<u>Record Review</u> All school age and preschool student initial evaluations showed evidence that a group of qualified professionals as appropriate to the suspected disability were involved in determining whether the child is a child with a disability.	<u>Individual Correction</u> None <u>Systemic Correction</u> None	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
			Required Actions	
CF-10	300.306(a)(1); 300.305(a); and 3301-51-01 (B)(21)	<u>Record Review</u> All school age and preschool reevaluations showed evidence that a group of qualified professionals as appropriate to the suspected disability were involved in determining whether the child is a child with a disability.	<u>Individual Correction</u> None <u>Systemic Correction</u> None	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA

Component 2: Delivery of Services

Each school district shall have policies, procedures and practices to ensure that each child with a disability has an IEP that is developed, reviewed, and revised in a meeting and implemented in accordance with 300.320 through 300.324.

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
			Required Actions	
DS-1	300.320(a)(1)(i)	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>Eleven school age students and one preschool student IEPs did not address how the child's disability affects his/her involvement and progress in the general education curriculum.</p> <p><u>Interviews</u></p> <p>During the interviews, it was discussed that teachers meet informally with each other and that there is not a formal process to address baseline line data compared to typical students.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>The district must reconvene the IEP teams of the 12 IEPs identified as noncompliant to review and amend the IEP to include a statement of how the child's disability affects the child's participation in appropriate activities to access, participate and progress in the general education curriculum.</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>The district must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices in place regarding the involvement and progress of children with disabilities in the general education curriculum and assessing how their disability affects that involvement.</p> <p>OEC will contact the district for submission of new records and review these records to determine compliance with this regulation.</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p> <p>A Corrective Action Plan is required due to meeting the 30% threshold of non-compliance.</p>

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
			Required Actions	
DS-2	300.320(a)(1)	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>Eleven school age student IEPs did not contain Present Levels of Academic Achievement and Functional Performance (PLOP) that addressed the needs of the student.</p> <p>All preschool student IEPs contained PLOP that addressed the needs of the student.</p> <p><u>Interviews</u></p> <p>During the interviews, staff discussed various universal screening, formative assessments and observations. It was also advised that IEP teams utilize data from the ETR in order to effectively address the students' needs. We discussed ways to document this data in the IEP.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>The district must reconvene the IEP teams of the 11 IEPs identified as noncompliant to review and amend the PLOP related to each goal to include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A summary of current daily academic/behavior and/or functional performance (strengths and needs); • Baseline data provided for developing a measurable goal. • For preschool, the PLOP should relate to the child's developmental domains, functional performance and pre-academic skills. <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>The district must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices in place regarding the review of current academic/functional data when writing IEPs.</p> <p>OEC will contact the district for submission of new records and review these records to determine compliance with this regulation.</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p> <p>A Corrective Action Plan is required due to meeting the 30% threshold of non-compliance.</p>

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
			Required Actions	
DS-3	300.320 (a)(2)(i)(A)	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>Two school age student and one preschool student IEPs did not contain annual goals that address the child's academic area(s) of need.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>The district must reconvene the IEP teams of the three IEPs identified as noncompliant to review and amend the IEP to include annual goals that address the academic needs that were identified in the IEP or provide evidence that the IEP team, based on the severity of the needs of the child, decided to prioritize addressing the needs.</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>The district must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices in place regarding the IEP process of addressing identified academic needs.</p> <p>OEC will contact the district for submission of new records and review these records to determine compliance with this regulation.</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>The district does <u>not</u> need to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.</p>
DS-4	300.320(a)(2)(i)(A)	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>Seven school age student and one preschool student IEPs did not contain annual goals that address the child's functional area(s) of need.</p> <p><u>Interviews</u></p> <p>During the staff interviews, teachers discussed types of accommodations and modifications they provide informally in the classroom. Information obtained from the ETR documented behavioral and organizational needs but were addressed on the IEPs. We discussed ways that this could be documented on the IEP.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>The district must reconvene the IEP teams of the eight IEPs identified as noncompliant to review and amend the IEP to include annual goals that address the functional needs that were identified in the IEP or provide evidence that the IEP team, based on the severity of the needs of the child, decided to prioritize addressing the needs</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>The district must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices in place regarding the IEP process of addressing identified functional needs.</p> <p>OEC will contact the district for submission of new records and review these records to determine compliance with this regulation.</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p> <p>A Corrective Action Plan is required due to meeting the 30% threshold of non-compliance.</p>

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
			Required Actions	
DS-5	300.320(a)(2)(i)	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>Twelve school age student and two preschool student IEPs did not contain measurable annual goals.</p> <p><u>Interviews</u></p> <p>Teachers and administrators were enthusiastic to receive support and training in writing measureable goals. We discussed how documenting the various universal screening, assessments and observations in the IEP will help guide writing student goals.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>The district must reconvene the IEP teams of the 14 IEPs identified as noncompliant to review and amend annual goals to contain the following six critical elements:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Who? 2. Will Do What? 3. To What Level of Degree? 4. Under What Conditions? 5. In What Length of Time? 6. How Will Progress Be Measured? <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>The district must implement new procedures to ensure that annual goals written subsequent to this report will include the following six critical elements to demonstrate correction:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Who? 2. Will Do What? 3. To What Level of Degree? 4. Under What Conditions? 5. In What Length of Time? 6. How Will Progress Be Measured? <p>OEC will contact the district for submission of new records and review these records to determine compliance with this regulation.</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p> <p>A Corrective Action Plan is required due to meeting the 30% threshold of non-compliance.</p>

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
			Required Actions	
DS-6	300.320(a)(4)	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>Eleven school age student and three preschool student IEPs did not contain a statement of specially designed instruction that addresses the needs of the child and supports annual goals.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>The district must reconvene the IEP teams of the 14 IEPs identified as noncompliant to review and amend the specially designed instruction to describe the adaption of, as appropriate to the needs of the child, the content, methodology, or delivery of instruction.</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>The district must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices in place regarding the IEP process of determining specially designed instruction.</p> <p>OEC will contact the district for submission of new records and review these records to determine compliance with this regulation.</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p> <p>A Corrective Action Plan is required due to meeting the 30% threshold of non-compliance.</p>
DS-7	300.320(a)(7)	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>Twelve school age student and two preschool student IEPs did not indicate the location where the specially designed instruction will be provided.</p> <p><u>Interviews</u></p> <p>Staff addressed the lack of content specific specially designed instruction for students that are significantly reading below grade placement.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>The district must reconvene the IEP teams of the 14 IEPs identified as noncompliant to review and amend the location where the specially designed instruction will be provided.</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>The district must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices in place regarding the IEP process of determining the location where specially designed instruction will occur.</p> <p>OEC will contact the district for submission of new records and review these records to determine compliance with this regulation.</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p> <p>A Corrective Action Plan is required due to meeting the 30% threshold of non-compliance.</p>

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
			Required Actions	
DS-8	300.320(a)(7)	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>Eight school age student and two preschool student IEPs did not indicate the amount of time and frequency of the specially designed instruction.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>The district must reconvene the IEP teams of the ten IEPs identified as noncompliant to review and amend the amount of time and frequency of the specially designed instruction.</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>The district must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices in place regarding the IEP process of determining the amount and frequency of specially designed instruction to be provided.</p> <p>OEC will contact the district for submission of new records and review these records to determine compliance with this regulation.</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>The district does <u>not</u> need to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.</p>
DS-9	300.320(a)(4)	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>One school age student and one preschool student IEPs did not identify related services that address the needs of the child and support the annual goals.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>The district must reconvene the IEP teams of the two IEPs identified as noncompliant to review and amend the IEP to include related services that were identified as needed in the IEP.</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>The district must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices in place regarding the IEP process of addressing identified related service needs.</p> <p>OEC will contact the district for submission of new records and review these records to determine compliance with this regulation.</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>The district does <u>not</u> need to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.</p>

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
			Required Actions	
DS-10	300.320(a)(7)	<u>Record Review</u> All school age student and preschool student IEPs did not indicated the location where the related services will be provided.	<u>Individual Correction</u> None <u>Systemic Correction</u> None	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA
DS-11	300.320(a)(7)	<u>Record Review</u> Three school age student IEPs did not indicate the amount of time and frequency of the related services to be provided. All preschool student IEPs indicated the amount of time and frequency of the related services to be provided.	<u>Individual Correction</u> The district must reconvene the IEP teams of the three IEPs identified as noncompliant to review and amend on the IEP the amount of time and frequency of the related services to be provided. <u>Systemic Correction</u> The district must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices in place regarding the IEP process of determining the amount and frequency of related services to be provided. OEC will contact the district for submission of new records and review these records to determine compliance with this regulation.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No The district does <u>not</u> need to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.

Component 3: Least Restrictive Environment (LRE) and IEP Alignment

Each school district shall ensure that to the maximum extent appropriate, children with disabilities, including children in public or nonpublic institutions or other care facilities, are educated with children who are nondisabled; and that a continuum of alternative placements is available to meet the needs of children with disabilities for special education and related services.

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
			Required Actions	
LRE-1	300.324(a)(2)(v)	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>One school age student and one preschool student IEPs did not identify assistive technology to enable the child to be involved in and make progress in the general education curriculum.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>The district must reconvene the IEP teams of the two IEPs identified as noncompliant to review assistive technology and/or services that would directly assist the child with a disability to increase, maintain, or improve their functional capabilities and include them on the IEP.</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>The district must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices in place regarding assistive technology.</p> <p>OEC will contact the district for submission of new records and review these records to determine compliance with this regulation.</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p> <p>A Corrective Action Plan is required due to meeting the 30% threshold of non-compliance.</p>
LRE-2	300.320(a)(6)(i)	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>Six school age student IEPs did not identify accommodations provided to enable the child to be involved in and make progress in the general education curriculum.</p> <p>There were no applicable preschool IEPs reviewed for this item.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>The district must reconvene the IEP teams of the six IEPs identified as noncompliant to review the accommodations that would directly assist the child to access the course content without altering the amount or complexity of the information taught and include them on the IEP.</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>The district must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices in place regarding accommodations.</p> <p>OEC will contact the district for submission of new records and review these records to determine compliance with this regulation.</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>The district does <u>not</u> need to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.</p>

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
			Required Actions	
LRE-3	300.320(a)(4)	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>Nine school age student IEPs did not identify modifications to enable the child to be involved in and make progress in the general education curriculum.</p> <p>There were no applicable preschool IEPs reviewed for this item.</p> <p><u>Interviews</u></p> <p>During the interviews, we discussed ways to document the informal modifications that are being provided to the student.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>The district must reconvene the IEP teams of the nine IEPs identified as noncompliant to review the modifications that would alter the amount or complexity of materials or the performance expected of the child from grade level curriculum expectations and include them on the IEP.</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>The district must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices in place regarding modifications.</p> <p>OEC will contact the district for submission of new records and review these records to determine compliance with this regulation.</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes A Corrective Action Plan is required due to meeting the 30% threshold of non-compliance.
LRE-4	300.320(a)(4)	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>All school age student and preschool student IEPs identified supports for school personnel to enable the child to be involved in and make progress in the general education curriculum.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>None</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>None</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
			Required Actions	
LRE-5	300.320(a)(5)	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>Ten school age student IEPs did not include an explanation of the extent to which the child will not participate with nondisabled children in the regular education classroom?</p> <p>All preschool student IEPs included an explanation of the extent to which the child will not participate with nondisabled children in the regular education classroom.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>The district must reconvene the IEP teams of the ten IEPs identified as noncompliant to review and include a justification for why the child was removed from the regular education classroom.</p> <p>The justification should:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Be based on the needs of the child, not the disability. • Reflect that the team has given adequate consideration to meeting the student's needs in the regular classroom with supplementary aids and services. • Document that the nature or severity of the disability is such that education in regular education classes, even with the use of supplementary aids and services, cannot be achieved satisfactorily. • Describe potential harmful effects to the child or others, if applicable. <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>The district must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices in place regarding least restrictive environment placement decision process.</p> <p>OEC will contact the district for submission of new records and review these records to determine compliance with this regulation.</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p> <p>A Corrective Action Plan is required due to meeting the 30% threshold of non-compliance.</p>

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
			Required Actions	
LRE-6	300.321(1)	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>Two school age student IEPs did not indicate that the IEP Team included a parent.</p> <p>All preschool student IEPs indicated that the IEP Team included a parent.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>For the two IEPs identified as noncompliant, the district must:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide evidence of parent participation at the IEP meeting, or • Provide documentation of at least three attempts made by the district to ensure parent participation, or • Reconvene the IEP team to review the IEP with the parent. <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>The district must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices in place regarding parent involvement in the IEP process.</p> <p>OEC will contact the district for submission of new records and review these records to determine compliance with this regulation.</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No The district does <u>not</u> need to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.
LRE-7	300.321(2)	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>All school age student and preschool student IEPs indicated that the IEP Team included a regular education teacher.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>None</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>None</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA
LRE-8	300.321(3)	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>All school age student and preschool student IEPs indicated that the IEP Team included a special education teacher.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>None</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>None</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction		Must be addressed in CAP
			Required Actions		
LRE-9	300.321(4)	<u>Record Review</u> All school age student and preschool student IEPs indicated that the IEP Team included an LEA representative.	<u>Individual Correction</u> None	<u>Systemic Correction</u> None	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA
LRE-10	300.321(5)	<u>Record Review</u> All school age student and preschool student IEPs indicated that the IEP Team included of a person qualified to interpret the instructional implications of evaluation results.	<u>Individual Correction</u> None	<u>Systemic Correction</u> None	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA

Component 4: Data Verification

Each school district shall report timely and accurate special education event records for students with disabilities; have in effect an Individualized Education program for each child with a disability with the LEA's jurisdiction and in place on or before Dec. 1, 2009; conduct initial evaluations within 60 days of receiving parental consent for evaluation; have an IEP in place for three-year olds transitioning from Early Intervention Programs on or before the child's third birthday; and have a secondary transition place in place that meets all required elements for IDEA.

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction		Must be addressed in CAP
			Required Actions		
DV-1	300.645 R.C. 3301.07.14	<u>Record Review</u> All school age student and preschool student IEPs indicated that the child had an IEP in effect as reported on the LEA's December 1, 2010 Child Count Report.	<u>Individual Correction</u> None	<u>Systemic Correction</u> None	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA
DV-2	300.645 R.C. 3301.07.14	<u>Record Review</u> All school age student and preschool student ETRs indicated that the child had an ETR in effect as reported on the LEA's December 1, 2010 Child Count Report.	<u>Individual Correction</u> None	<u>Systemic Correction</u> None	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
			Required Actions	
DV-3	SPP Indicator 20: Accurate and Timely Reporting of Special Education Event Record	<u>Record Review</u> All school age student records had accurate student data reported by the LEA through the Education Management Information System (EMIS) for the December 1, 2010 Child County Report, specifically in the following area(s): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) DOB b) IEP date (IIEP, RIEP, TIEP, CIEP, or FIEP events) c) ETR dates (IETR, RETR, TETR) d) Referral date e) Consent date f) Disability category as indicated as an outcome of ETR g) Admission date h) Withdrawal date i) Non-compliance reason for ETR or IEP date 	<u>Individual Correction</u> None <u>Systemic Correction</u> None	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA
DV-4	SPP Indicator 11 300.301(c)(1)(i)	<u>Record Review</u> All school age student and preschool student initial evaluations reported as being conducted within 60 days of the district receiving parental consent for the evaluation was conducted within the required timeline.	<u>Individual Correction</u> None <u>Systemic Correction</u> None	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA
DV-5	SPP Indicator 12 300.124	<u>Record Review</u> All preschool IEPs showed evidence that an IEP was in place for 3 year olds transitioning from Early Intervention Programs (0-3 years) on or before the child's third birthday.	<u>Individual Correction</u> None <u>Systemic Correction</u> None	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
			Required Actions	
DV-6 A/B	SPP Indicator 20 for Secondary Transition Plans	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>Four school age IEPs did not show evidence that the secondary transition plan reported in EMIS during 2010-2011 was in place that meets all 8 required elements of IDEA for the student, specifically in the following area(s):</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There are appropriate measurable postsecondary goal(s). 2. The postsecondary goals are updated annually. 3. The postsecondary goals were based on age appropriate transition assessment. 4. There are transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the postsecondary goal(s). 5. The transition services include courses of study that will reasonably enable the student to meet the postsecondary goal(s). 6. The annual goal(s) are related to the student's transition service needs. 7. There is evidence the student was invited to the IEP Team Meeting where transition services were discussed. 8. When appropriate, there is evidence that a representative of any participating agency was invited to the IEP Team Meeting. 	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>OEC has verified that two students have current IEPs that contain transition plans that meet all eight required elements of IDEA, so no additional individual correction is required.</p> <p>Two current IEPs did not contain transition plans that meet all eight required elements of IDEA.</p> <p>The district must reconvene the IEP teams to review and correct the secondary transition plan for the four records identified as still noncompliant or provide documentation of the student's withdrawal date.</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>The district must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices in place regarding data reporting.</p> <p>OEC will contact the district for submission of new records and review these records to determine compliance with this regulation</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p> <p>A Corrective Action Plan is required due to meeting the 30% threshold of non-compliance.</p>

Fiscal Components, OEC’s Review Findings, and District Required Actions

Component 1: Statement of Accounts

The district/school has submitted its FY11 FERs for IDEA – ARRA funds and IDEA Pre-School ARRA funds. The Financial Detail (FINDET) Report for those funds and the Final Expenditure Reports are consistent and in agreement. The fiscal reports are evidence that ensure that district children with disabilities have available to them a free appropriate public education (FAPE) that emphasizes special education and related services designed to meet their unique needs.

Findings Citation	Evidence	Evidence of Correction		Must be addressed in CAP
		Required Actions	Date Due	
300.202	<p>Ripley-Union-Lewis-Huntington Local Schools (RULHLS) treasurer provided the FY11 and FY12 FINDETs. The amounts documented in the FY11 reports are in agreement with the amount submitted in the FERs.</p> <p>The amounts reported in the FY12 FINDET to date were in alignment with the FY12 budget. The district had utilized approximately 30% of its funds to date.</p> <p>The FY11 ARRA and FY12 Early Childhood allocations (fund 587) were redirected to the Brown County ESC. The ESC developed the budget and FER for the FY11 ARRA funds, and is utilizing the FY12 funds for district staff.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>None</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>None</p>	N/A	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA

Component 2: Payroll Expenditures

The district/school is able to document that the federal funds were expended for an appropriate purpose; payroll expenditures are supported by Time and Effort Logs or Semi-Annual Certification; expense were properly coded to the correct function and object code; all staff in certified positions have appropriate licensure; all funded positions have position descriptions; district's ACCRPTs and FERs are in agreement.

Findings Citation	Evidence	Evidence of Correction		Must be addressed in CAP
		Required Actions	Date Due	
300.202	<p>The FY12 Fund 516 ACCRPT showed that there 6 five staff being funded though IDEA funds as intervention specialist, supervisor, paraprofessional and clerk. Expenditures were appropriate and in alignment with the budget. Staff held appropriate licensure. Semi-Annual certifications were not yet completed for two staff. All fund coding was appropriate.</p> <p>The FY11 FINDET showed that 60 staff persons were funded through IDEA ARRA funds. The staff were contracted as substitute teachers, after school tutors or summer intervention program teachers. There was either a time and effort log or a substitute teacher form completed to support the expenditures. Expenditures were appropriate and in agreement with the FER. Staff held appropriate licensure. All fund coding was appropriate.</p> <p>The FY11 and FY12 Early Childhood allocations (fund 587) were redirected to the Brown County ESC, which in turn provided a full time preschool teacher. The cost of the preschool teacher was greatly in excess of the amount of funding redirected by the district. The district is receiving appropriate services.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>None</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>None</p>	N/A	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA

Component 3: Non-Payroll Expenditures

The district/school is able to document that the federal funds were expended for an appropriate purpose and reasonable for the program; that fiscal coding is appropriate and the funds were charged to the proper fund, function and object; that the district is able to document the expenditure with a purchase order, receipt statement or invoice.

Findings Citation	Evidence	Evidence of Correction		Must be addressed in CAP
		Required Actions	Date Due	
300.202	<p>The district was provided a list of 20 vouchers that were to be reviewed from FY11 Fund 516 SSC 9320. The district provided appropriate documentation, including the voucher, invoice, purchase order or receipt. All coding was appropriate with the exception of one item which the district had already identified. That item caused the FER to be incorrect, and the district will take steps to correct it. No other errors were noted.</p> <p>The only item of note is the use of IDEA funds for the purchase of the Point, Click and Learn System. The treasurer and Special Education Director could not assure that the program was solely for the service of identified students, though the program was fully purchased with IDEA funds.</p> <p>The district had not made any non-payroll expenditures with FY12 IDEA funds. There were no items to review.</p> <p>There were no FY11 or FY12 Fund 587 items to review.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>The purchase of the Point, Click and Learn system, which requires on-going in service with staff utilizing the services, must be reviewed by the district to determine and assure that either the product and service is being used specifically for special education students to meet their identified needs or, if being used by the district as a whole (or by a building as a whole) that the cost of the program and service is pro-rated by the district special education per capita rate or by the building per-capita rate. The district must provide documentation to support whichever situation is the case.</p> <p>The district FY11 ARRA FER must be re-opened to enable the district to make several corrections. One coding error must be corrected to the proper object and function code, and a refund of expenditure must be report.</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>None</p>	<p>Individual Correction for Fiscal Requires the following due date:</p> <p>60 days from receipt of this report.</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>

Component 4: Use of funds for Capital Outlay and equipment purchase

If the district/school expended funds for Capital Outlay and/or equipment, the district/school evidences that it has followed the board adopted procurement policy. The district must ensure that equipment and supplies placed in the non-public school are used for Part B purposes only and can be removed from the non-public school without remodeling the school facility.

Findings Citation	Evidence	Evidence of Correction		Must be addressed in CAP
		Required Actions	Date Due	
300.202	The district expended \$31,690.81 in FY11IDEA ARRA 516 funds for capital outlay/instruction. The district followed the Board-adopted procurement policy. The district maintains a record of items made available to the non-public school. The district retains authority over items placed in the non-public school. The materials are used for the purposes of meeting the needs of the identified students in the non-public school.	<u>Individual Correction</u> None <u>Systemic Correction</u> None	N/A	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA

Component 5: Equipment inventory policy and procedures

The district/school retains control and administration of funds used to purchase materials, equipment and property purchased with those funds for the uses and purpose provided in the IDEA. The district is properly identifying equipment purchased with IDEA funds and is complying with Board Policy in cataloguing and inventorying the equipment. The district master list of equipment purchased with IDEA funds was updated within the last two years; the district has an equipment disposal policy; The district requested disposition instructions from ODE prior to disposing of assets with at fair market value of more than \$5,000.00, and sale proceeds were deposited back into the original grant.

Findings Citation	Evidence	Evidence of Correction		Must be addressed in CAP
		Required Actions	Date Due	
300.202	<p>RULHLS presented copies of its Purchasing Policy, Disposition of Real and Surplus Policies, and its accounting system for Fixed Assets. The district also provided a copy of its Inventory List showing a composite list of its assets purchased with IDEA funds from FY06 through the present. The list contained all the required items of documentation.</p> <p>Thirty-three items were selected for inventory review. Of the 33 items, three items were not locatable/ were not in the identified location. Two items showed tag numbers on the list, but no tag was found on the item.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>The district must require the technology coordinator or other appropriate staff members to 1) locate and appropriately tag each item purchased with IDEA funds that meets the inventory requirements, and, 2) update the Brief Asset Listing to include the accurate location of each item.</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>The district must revisit its inventory policy/procedures to assure that the district has someone assigned to oversee the inventory process, to assure that the records are maintained and are accurate, and to instruct district staff on the appropriate process of moving or utilizing equipment and maintaining the inventory record.</p>	<p>Individual Correction for Fiscal Requires the following due date:</p> <p>60 days from receipt of this report.</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p>

Component 6: Non-Public Count and Proportionate Share

The district provides **child find** and ensures equitable participation. The district maintains in its records and provides to the SEA the following information related to parentally-placed private school children covered under 34 CFR 300.130 through 300.144: the number of children evaluated; the number of children determined to be children with disabilities; and the number of children served.

The district has timely and meaningful consultation with representatives of parentally-placed private school children with disabilities (consistent with 34 CFR 300.134); conducts a thorough and complete child find process to determine the number of parentally-placed private school children with disabilities attending private schools located in the school district.

Findings Citation	Evidence	Evidence of Correction		Must be addressed in CAP
		Required Actions	Date Due	
300.130 through 300.144	<p>RULHLS staff provided copies of detailed information pertaining to the child find process with the non-public school and community. Staff provided copies of e-mails, letters, meeting notes and other information to document its timely and meaningful consultation with the non-public. School.</p> <p>Staff provided a copy of the listing of students referred, evaluated, the number of children determined to be a child with a disability, and the number of students served in the non-public school.</p> <p>The school also described and provided documentation on the use of IDEA funds to meet the needs of the identified students.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>None</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>None</p>	N/A	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA

Component 7: Notification of Public Participation

In accordance with 34 CFR 300.165, the district/school provided a public hearing, adequate notice of the hearings and an opportunity for comment available to the general public including individuals with disabilities and parents of children with disabilities in planning the use of IDEA Part B funds.

Findings Citation	Evidence	Evidence of Correction		Must be addressed in CAP
		Required Actions	Date Due	
300.165 and Part 300.201	District staff provided copies of several years of notification of public participation. The announcements were clear and detailed and in general met the intent of 34 CFR 300.165	<u>Individual Correction</u> There are two points for attention. The district must provide the announcement of the meeting in adequate time before the meeting is held – at least two weeks. The meeting must be held at a time that provides the greatest opportunity for the general public to attend. <u>Systemic Correction</u> None	Individual Correction for Fiscal Requires the following due date: 60 days from receipt of this report.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Component 8: Redirection of funds

If the district/school has redirected funds for CEIS, it is able to document the expenditures related to CEIS and to validate that the percent of the IDEA funds used for CIES is 15% or less of total allocation, to document the number of students who were served and are able to track and report on the number of students who subsequently received special education services.

If the district/school reduced its local expenditure, it was by no more than 1/2 of its additional allocation amount and the district can document the expenditures/reduction and the amount is shown in the CCIP.

Findings Citation	Evidence	Evidence of Correction		Must be addressed in CAP
		Required Actions	Date Due	
300.205	No funds were redirected to reduce local maintenance of effort. Comprehensive Early Intervening Services were not offered. There were no items to review in this section.	<u>Individual Correction</u> None <u>Systemic Correction</u> None	N/A	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA

Gifted Education Review

A gifted education review to ensure compliance with state law and state *Operating Standards for Identifying and Serving Gifted Students* was conducted on December 16, 2011 by Beth Hahn, Educational Consultant.

Eight gifted education components were addressed during the onsite review. Each component is detailed below, including a description of evidence provided and reviewed and corrective action required to resolve any issues of non-compliance.

Gifted Components, OEC's Review Findings, and District Required Actions

Component 1: Gifted Budget

Based on Am. Sub. HB 1, is the district spending for services to identified gifted students at least the same amount of state funding that it received in fiscal year 2009 through unit funding? In addition, did districts that in fiscal year 2009 received gifted student services from an ESC – and the ESC received gifted unit funding in fiscal year 2009 – either (a) obtain gifted student services from an ESC that are comparable to the gifted student services provided to the district with gifted unit funding in fiscal year 2009 by an ESC or (b) spend for services to identified gifted students from the funds received through the EBM an amount not less than the amount of gifted unit funding expended by an ESC in fiscal year 2009 for the district's students?

Citation	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
		Required Actions	
ORC 3306.09(G)	No maintenance of effort (MOE) required for services. MOE for gifted identification is required.	<u>Individual Correction</u> None <u>Systemic Correction</u> None	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA

Component 2: Roster and Written Education Plans and Attestation

Per Ohio Administrative Code 3301-51-15 (D)(4), does the district have a current written education plan (WEP) for each student reported as served? Does each WEP include the following components?

- Goals for the students for each service to be provided;
- Specified methods for evaluating progress toward goals;
- Method and schedule for reporting progress to parents;
- Staff responsible for ensuring delivery of each service prescribed;
- Policies regarding waiver of assignments and rescheduling of tests;
- Deadline for next review of WEP; and
- Copy of WEP to parents and staff responsible for providing service listed?

Citation	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
		Required Actions	
OAC 3301-51-15(D)(4)	No services provided. No WEPs required.	<u>Individual Correction</u> None <u>Systemic Correction</u> None	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA

Component 3: Equitable Services and Attestation

Are all district students who meet the written criteria for a gifted service provided an equal opportunity to receive that service? Each gifted service offered in the district must be available to all eligible students in each building in the district at that grade level.

Citation	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
		Required Actions	
ORC 3324.06(D)	No services provided.	<u>Individual Correction</u> None <u>Systemic Correction</u> None	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA

Component 4: Acceleration and Attestation

Do all district students who are accelerated have a Written Acceleration Plan (WAP) in place?

Citation	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
		Required Actions	
ORC 3324.10	The district did not provide evidence to document the implementation of their acceleration policy.	<u>Individual Correction</u> None <u>Systemic Correction</u> The district is required to submit evidence to demonstrate that parents and staff are aware of the acceleration policy and are able to submit referrals.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

Component 5: Gifted Intervention Specialists and Attestation

Do gifted intervention specialists (GIS) spend at least 75 percent of their time providing instruction directly to gifted students? Is the remainder of their time spent on other duties related to gifted education?

Citation	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
		Required Actions	
OAC 3301-51-15(E)(2)	No services provided.	<u>Individual Correction</u> None <u>Systemic Correction</u> None	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA

Component 6: Licensure Attestation

Do all staff members assigned as gifted coordinators or GIS have gifted licensure, gifted endorsement or a gifted supplemental license?

Citation	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
		Required Actions	
OAC 3301-51-15(E)(3), OAC 3301-51-15(E)(6)	No services provided.	<u>Individual Correction</u> None <u>Systemic Correction</u> None	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA

Component 7: Requirement for Minutes of Service Attestation

Are all students receiving service from a GIS receiving at least 225 minutes of instruction per week (kindergarten through grade 5) or 240 minutes of instruction per week (grades 6-12) from the GIS?

Citation	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
		Required Actions	
OAC 3301-51-15(E)	No services provided.	<u>Individual Correction</u> None <u>Systemic Correction</u> None	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA

Component 8: Requirement for Regular Education Teacher Professional Development

Are all general education teachers providing gifted services receiving professional development in teaching gifted students and ongoing assistance with curriculum development and instruction from a gifted specialist?

Citation	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
		Required Actions	
OAC 3301-51-15(D)(3)(b)(i)	No services provided.	<u>Individual Correction</u> None <u>Systemic Correction</u> None	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA