

Springfield City School District IRN 044818
--

**Ohio Department of Education, Office for Exceptional Children
2011-2012 Onsite Review Summary Report**

Introduction

The Ohio Department of Education's Office for Exceptional Children would like to extend appreciation to the district staff for their efforts, attention and time committed to the completion of the review processes.

The following report is a summary of the onsite review conducted on October 17 through 20, 2011 by the Ohio Department of Education's Office for Exceptional Children (OEC) and Office of Early Learning and School Readiness as part of its general supervision requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) and Am. Sub. HB1. The onsite visit consisted of the following reviews:

- IDEA Review: (Special Education School Age, Special Education Early Childhood and Fiscal)
- Gifted Education Review

IDEA Review

Overview

Educational consultants from the Office for Exceptional Children (OEC) conducted IDEA review activities on October 17 through 20, 2011. During the IDEA Review OEC consultants monitor the LEA's implementation of the IDEA to ensure compliance. The primary focus of the IDEA Review is to:

- Improve educational results and functional outcomes for all children with disabilities; and
- Ensure that LEAs meet program requirements under Part B of IDEA, particularly those requirements that are most closely related to improving educational results for children with disabilities.

OEC focused the review on the following areas:

- Child Find;
- Delivery of Services;
- Least Restrictive Environment;
- Data Verification.

Data Sources

During the review, OEC considered information from the following sources:

1. Public Parent Meeting, Individual Parent Meetings and Written Comments

On October 4, 2011, Springfield City Schools mailed 1,257 OEC approved letters to all families with students with disabilities in the district. OEC provided the district with a public meeting announcement for inclusion on its website or newsletter. The district posted the information regarding the meeting on October 4, 2011 on the district website.

On October 18, 2011, OEC consultants held a public meeting for parents and other interested parties. Public parent meeting dates for all districts selected for IDEA Reviews are posted on the ODE website. Seven parents attended the public meeting. Attendees could speak to OEC representatives publicly in the meeting or individually, provide written comments, or both. All seven parents made comments during the public meeting. Written comment forms were available before, during and after the meeting. OEC received one mailed written comment.

During the public meeting, parents were advised by OEC consultants of the formal complaint process under IDEA and that their public comments did not constitute a formal complaint. The participants were also informed that while the information they provided may be helpful to the review, it may not necessarily be acted upon as part of the review process. "Whose IDEA Is This?", Ohio's procedural safeguards notice, was available for participants who wanted a copy.

2. Pre-Onsite Data Analysis

OEC consultants reviewed district, building and grade level data. District data analyzed included the Special Education Performance Profile, Local Report Cards, and Education Management Information System (EMIS) data. The data analysis assists OEC in determining potential growth areas and district strengths.

3. Record Review

On October 17 through 20, 2011, OEC consultants reviewed forty-one records of school age students with disabilities. An OELS&R consultant, on October 18, 2011, reviewed eight records as part of the Early Childhood Special Education Review. OEC selected records of a variety of children with disabilities from five buildings.

Please note, not all records are reviewed for every component.

4. Staff/Administrative Interviews

On October 19 and 20, 2011, OEC consultants held four sessions of interviews with thirteen administrators and a total of forty-one teachers, school counselors, related services personnel and school psychologists. OEC interviews focused on the following review areas: Child Find; Delivery of Services; Least Restrictive Environment (LRE) and IEP alignment.

Findings

A finding is made when noncompliance with a specific IDEA requirement is identified through the processes outlined above. All findings of noncompliance must be corrected as soon as possible, but no later than one year of the date of this report.

OEC provides separate written correspondence to the school district and the parent/guardian when action is required to correct findings of non-compliance for individual students.

Noncompliance that is identified in **30% or more** of the records reviewed by OEC and substantiated through other data sources must be included in a comprehensive corrective action plan (CAP) with action steps to address each of the noncompliance findings.

All noncompliance identified by OEC as part of the IDEA review, listed by subject area within this report in the *Review of Findings and District Required Actions* table, must be corrected as set forth below.

Corrective Action Plan (CAP)

The *Review of Findings and District Required Actions* identifies the noncompliance which must be addressed in the corrective action plan developed by the Springfield City School District. An approved form for the corrective action plan will be provided by OEC or can be accessed on ODE's web site by using the keyword search "OEC Corrective Action Plan". The corrective action plan developed by the district must include the following:

- Improvement strategies to address all areas of identified non-compliance,
- Documentation/evidence of implementation of the strategies,
- Individuals responsible for implementing the strategies,

- Resources needed, and
- Completion dates.

State Performance Plan (SPP) results indicators may also be included in the corrective action plan to address improved performance for students with disabilities.

The district must submit the corrective action plan to John Magee, OEC Lead Consultant at john.magee@ode.state.oh.us within 30 school days from the date of this report. OEC will review the action plan submitted by the district for approval. If OEC deems that a revision(s) is necessary, the district will be required to revise and resubmit. The district will be contacted by the OEC Lead Consultant and notified when the action plan has been approved.

CAP Due Date: 02/08/2012

Individual Correction

The district has 60 school days of the issuance of the letter of findings to correct all identified findings of non-compliance for individual students, unless noted otherwise in the report.

Individual Correction Due Date: 03/22/2012

Systemic Correction

The district must correct any noncompliant policies, procedures and/or practices identified through the onsite review. OEC will verify through follow-up review of new data that the noncompliant policies, procedures and/or practices have been revised and the district is correctly implementing the regulatory requirements of IDEA. The follow-up review of new data will include review of individual student records and may include parent/staff/administrative interviews, as needed.

Systemic Correction Due Date: 12/12/2012

For questions about specific components of this report please contact:

- **Special Education School Age:** John Magee, OEC Lead Consultant, at (614)-728-1115, toll-free at (877) 644-6338, or by e-mail at john.magee@ode.state.oh.us.
- **Special Education Early Childhood:** Barbara Weinberg, Educational Consultant, at (614) 387-2239, toll-free at (877) 644-6338, or by e-mail at Barbara.Weinberg@ode.state.oh.us.
- **Fiscal:** Paul Sogan, Educational Consultant, at (614)-728-2098, toll-free at (877) 644-6338, or by e-mail at paul.sogan@ode.state.oh.us.
- **Gifted Education:** Rosemary Pearson, Educational Consultant, at (614)-644-2641, toll-free at (877) 644-6338, or by e-mail at rosemary.pearson@ode.state.oh.us.

Special Education School Age/Preschool Components, OEC's Review Findings, and District Required Actions

Component 1: Child Find

Each school district shall adopt and implement written policies and procedures approved by the Ohio Department of Education, Office for Exceptional Children, that ensure all children with disabilities residing within the district, regardless of the severity of their disability, and who are in need of special education and related services are identified, located, and evaluated as required by Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004 and Federal Regulations at 34 C.F.R. Part 300 pertaining to child find, including the regulations at 34 C.F.R. 300.111 and 300.646 and Rule 3301-51-03 of the Operating Standards for Ohio Educational Agencies serving Children with Disabilities.

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
			Required Actions	
CF-1	300.303(b)(2)	<u>Record Review</u> Two reevaluation records indicated that the child's reevaluation was not completed within the three year timeline.	<u>Individual Correction</u> OEC has verified that these students have a current ETR in place, so no additional individual correction is required. <u>Systemic Correction</u> None OEC will contact the district for submission of new records and review these records to determine compliance with this regulation. Revised on 04-12-2012 (Added Systemic Correction Language)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No The district does <u>not</u> need to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.
CF-2	300.305(a)	<u>Record Review</u> All preschool evaluation record(s) of children transitioning from Part C, utilized child information from the Individual Family Service Plan (IFSP) and other documentation provided by Help Me Grow in suspecting or when determining eligibility for Part B supports and services.	<u>Individual Correction</u> None <u>Systemic Correction</u> None	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction		Must be addressed in CAP
			Required Actions		
CF-3	OAC 3301-51-06 (2) and OAC 3301-51-06(4)	<p><u>Record Review</u> All school age initial evaluation(s) appropriately documented interventions provided to resolve concerns for the child performing below grade-level standards.</p> <p><u>Interviews</u> District personnel were able to explain and show evidence of the process for providing and documenting interventions for children before moving to a full eligibility evaluation.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u> None</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u> None</p>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA
CF-4	300.501(b)(1)	<p><u>Record Review</u> Seven school age student record(s) did not show evidence that the evaluation planning team included the parent.</p> <p><u>Interviews</u> District staff did explain and provide evidence of the process for including the parent in the ETR planning process.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u> The district must provide evidence that the parent was involved or provided the opportunity to participate (three documented attempts) in the evaluation planning process.</p> <p>The evidence may include; evaluation planning form, prior written notice, parent invitation, referral form or communication log.</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u> OEC will contact the district for submission of new records and review these records to determine compliance with this regulation.</p>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No The district does <u>not</u> need to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
			Required Actions	
CF-5	300.305(a)(1)	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>Three school age student evaluation(s) did not provide evidence that the evaluation planning team reviewed existing data on the child.</p> <p><u>Interviews</u></p> <p>Interviewees did explain how existing data is reviewed in the ETR process.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>The district must provide the evaluation planning form or evidence documenting existing data was reviewed during the evaluation planning process. If not, the IEP team must reconvene the ETR planning and ETR meeting.</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>OEC will contact the district for submission of new records and review these records to determine compliance with this regulation.</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>The district does <u>not</u> need to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.</p>
CF-6	300.305(a)(2)	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>Four school age student evaluation(s) did not provide evidence that the evaluation planning team identified what additional data, if any, were needed.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>The district must provide the evaluation planning form or evidence documenting additional data, if any was reviewed during the evaluation planning process. If not, the IEP team must reconvene the ETR planning and ETR meeting.</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>OEC will contact the district for submission of new records and review these records to determine compliance with this regulation.</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>The district does <u>not</u> need to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.</p>
CF-7	300.304(c)(4); OAC 3301-51-01; and OAC 3301-51-06	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>Four school age student evaluation(s) did not provide evidence that the evaluation addresses all areas related to the suspected disability.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>The district will convene the ETR team to conduct a reevaluation and/or provide evidence that the evaluation addresses all areas related to the suspected disability.</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>OEC will contact the district for submission of new records and review these records to determine compliance with this regulation.</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>The district does <u>not</u> need to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.</p>

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
			Required Actions	
CF-8	300.306(a)(1)	<p><u>Record Review</u> Three school age student record(s) did not show evidence that the parent of the child was involved in determining whether the child is a child with a disability.</p> <p><u>Interviews</u> District staff did explain how efforts are made to involve parents in the ETR determination process, and the procedures for documenting attempts to contact and involve parents.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u> The district must provide evidence that the parent was involved in determining whether the child is a child with a disability or evidence that the parent was provided the opportunity to participate in the eligibility determination as evidenced by three attempts to contact the parent. If not, the IEP team must reconvene the ETR meeting and provide OEC evidence of parent involvement.</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u> OEC will contact the district for submission of new records and review these records to determine compliance with this regulation.</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No The district does <u>not</u> need to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.
CF-9	300.306(a)(1)	<p><u>Record Review</u> All school age and preschool initial evaluation(s) showed evidence that a group of qualified professionals as appropriate to the suspected disability were involved in determining whether the child is a child with a disability.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u> None</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u> None</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA
CF-10	300.306(a)(1); 300.305(a); and 3301-51-01 (B)(21)	<p><u>Record Review</u> All school age and preschool reevaluation(s) showed evidence that a group of qualified professionals as appropriate to the suspected disability were involved in determining whether the child is a child with a disability.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u> None</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u> None</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA

Component 2: Delivery of Services

Each school district shall have policies, procedures and practices to ensure that each child with a disability has an IEP that is developed, reviewed, and revised in a meeting and implemented in accordance with 300.320 through 300.324.

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
			Required Actions	
DS-1	300.320(a)(1)(i)	<p><u>Record Review</u> Two school age student IEPs did not address how the child's disability affects his/her involvement and progress in the general education curriculum.</p> <p><u>Interviews</u> District administrators and staff members referred to efforts that are being made to improve this process.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u> The district must reconvene the IEP team(s) of the two IEP(s) identified as noncompliant to review and amend the IEP to include a statement of how the child's disability affects the child's participation in appropriate activities to access, participate and progress in the general education curriculum.</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u> OEC will contact the district for submission of new records and review these records to determine compliance with this regulation.</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No The district does <u>not</u> need to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.</p>
DS-2	300.320(a)(1)	<p><u>Record Review</u> Fifteen school age student IEP(s) did not contain Present Levels of Academic Achievement and Functional Performance (PLOP) that addressed the needs of the student.</p> <p><u>Interviews</u> Staff members indicated during interviews that this information may not be on the IEP but is communicated with the teachers through a student folder so that they know the student's needs and can specially design their instruction.</p> <p><u>Other Information</u> An administrator reported that In reading, the district has had an increase in success rate of getting data into the PLOP.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u> The district must reconvene the IEP team(s) of the fifteen IEP(s) identified as noncompliant to review and amend the PLOP related to each goal to include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A summary of current daily academic/behavior and/or functional performance (strengths and needs); • Baseline data provided for developing a measurable goal. • For preschool, the PLOP should relate to the child's developmental domains, functional performance and pre-academic skills. 	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes A Corrective Action Plan is required due to meeting the 30% threshold of non-compliance.</p>

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
			Required Actions	
			<u>Systemic Correction</u> The district must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices in place regarding the review of current academic/functional data when writing IEPs. OEC will contact the district for submission of new records and review these records to determine compliance with this regulation.	
DS-3	300.320 (a)(2)(i)(A)	<u>Record Review</u> Two school age student IEP(s) did not contain annual goals that address the child's academic area(s) of need. <u>Interviews</u> Staff members explained that goals are developed within the classroom based upon where the child is performing and where they need to be. <u>Other Information</u> In-service is also being provided by SST 10 for writing goals that are linked to the content standards.	<u>Individual Correction</u> The district must reconvene the IEP team(s) of the two IEP(s) identified as noncompliant to review and amend the IEP to include annual goals that address the academic needs that were identified in the IEP or provide evidence that the IEP team, based on the severity of the needs of the child, decided to prioritize addressing the needs. <u>Systemic Correction</u> OEC will contact the district for submission of new records and review these records to determine compliance with this regulation.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No The district does <u>not</u> need to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.
DS-4	300.320(a)(2)(i)(A)	<u>Record Review</u> Seven school age student IEP(s) did not contain annual goals that address the child's functional area(s) of need. <u>Interviews</u> District personnel reported that behavioral specialists assist teachers with establishing a Behavior Intervention Plan (BIP) and conduct assessments prior to creation of the BIP.	<u>Individual Correction</u> The district must reconvene the IEP team(s) of the seven IEP(s) identified as noncompliant to review and amend the IEP to include annual goals that address the functional needs that were identified in the IEP or provide evidence that the IEP team, based on the severity of the needs of the child, decided to prioritize addressing the needs <u>Systemic Correction</u> OEC will contact the district for submission of new records and review these records to determine compliance with this regulation.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No The district does <u>not</u> need to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
			Required Actions	
DS-5	300.320(a)(2)(i)	<p><u>Record Review</u> Thirteen school age and six preschool student IEP(s) did not contain measurable annual goals.</p> <p><u>Interviews</u> District administrators reported that goals and objectives training will be provided in a few weeks, and that GPS-IEP is also being utilized.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u> The district must reconvene the IEP team(s) of the nineteen IEP(s) identified as noncompliant to review and amend annual goals to contain the following six critical elements:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Who? 2. Will Do What? 3. To What Level of Degree? 4. Under What Conditions? 5. In What Length of Time? 6. How Will Progress Be Measured? <p><u>Systemic Correction</u> The district must implement new procedures to ensure that annual goals written subsequent to this report will include the following six critical elements to demonstrate correction:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Who? 2. Will Do What? 3. To What Level of Degree? 4. Under What Conditions? 5. In What Length of Time? 6. How Will Progress Be Measured? <p>OEC will contact the district for submission of new records and review these records to determine compliance with this regulation.</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes A Corrective Action Plan is required due to meeting the 30% threshold of non-compliance.
DS-6	300.320(a)(4)	<p><u>Record Review</u> Thirteen school age and five preschool student IEP(s) did not contain a statement of specially designed instruction that addresses the needs of the child and supports annual goals.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u> The district must reconvene the IEP team(s) of the eighteen IEP(s) identified as noncompliant to review and amend the specially designed instruction to describe the adaption of, as appropriate to the needs of the child, the content, methodology, or delivery of instruction.</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes A Corrective Action Plan is required due to meeting the 30% threshold of non-compliance.

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
			Required Actions	
			<u>Systemic Correction</u> The district must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices in place regarding the IEP process of determining specially designed instruction. OEC will contact the district for submission of new records and review these records to determine compliance with this regulation.	
DS-7	300.320(a)(7)	<u>Record Review</u> Six school age student IEP(s) did not indicate the location where the specially designed instruction will be provided. <u>Other Considerations</u> Methods to clarify location identification were discussed with district administrators and staff.	<u>Individual Correction</u> The district must reconvene the IEP team(s) of the six IEP(s) identified as noncompliant to review and amend the location where the specially designed instruction will be provided. <u>Systemic Correction</u> OEC will contact the district for submission of new records and review these records to determine compliance with this regulation.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No The district does <u>not</u> need to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.
DS-8	300.320(a)(7)	<u>Record Review</u> Ten school age student IEP(s) did not indicate the amount of time and frequency of the specially designed instruction. <u>Other Considerations</u> Methods to clarify amount and frequency were discussed with district administrators and staff.	<u>Individual Correction</u> The district must reconvene the IEP team(s) of the ten IEP(s) identified as noncompliant to review and amend the amount of time and frequency of the specially designed instruction. <u>Systemic Correction</u> OEC will contact the district for submission of new records and review these records to determine compliance with this regulation.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No The district does <u>not</u> need to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
			Required Actions	
DS-9	300.320(a)(4)	<p><u>Record Review</u> Six school age student IEP(s) did not identify related services that address the needs of the child and support the annual goals.</p> <p><u>Interviews</u> The interview process revealed that district personnel had not been aware of the need for greater specificity in describing related services.</p> <p><u>Other Considerations</u> Methods to clarify the nature of related services were discussed with district administrators and staff.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u> The district must reconvene the IEP team(s) of the six IEP(s) identified as noncompliant to review and amend the IEP to include related services that were identified as needed in the IEP.</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u> The district must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices in place regarding the IEP process of addressing identified related service needs. OEC will contact the district for submission of new records and review these records to determine compliance with this regulation.</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes A Corrective Action Plan is required due to meeting the 30% threshold of non-compliance.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No The district does not need to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan. Revised on 04-12-2012.</p>
DS-10	300.320(a)(7)	<p><u>Record Review</u> Two school age student IEP(s) did not indicate the location where the related services will be provided.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u> The district must reconvene the IEP team(s) of the two IEP(s) identified as noncompliant to review and amend the IEP to include the location where the related services will be provided.</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u> OEC will contact the district for submission of new records and review these records to determine compliance with this regulation.</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No The district does <u>not</u> need to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.</p>

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
			Required Actions	
DS-11	300.320(a)(7)	<u>Record Review</u> Two school age student IEP(s) did not indicate the amount of time and frequency of the related services to be provided.	<u>Individual Correction</u> The district must reconvene the IEP team(s) of the two IEP(s) identified as noncompliant to review and amend on the IEP the amount of time and frequency of the related services to be provided. <u>Systemic Correction</u> OEC will contact the district for submission of new records and review these records to determine compliance with this regulation.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No The district does <u>not</u> need to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.

Component 3: Least Restrictive Environment (LRE) and IEP Alignment

Each school district shall ensure that to the maximum extent appropriate, children with disabilities, including children in public or nonpublic institutions or other care facilities, are educated with children who are nondisabled; and that a continuum of alternative placements is available to meet the needs of children with disabilities for special education and related services.

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
			Required Actions	
LRE-1	300.324(a)(2)(v)	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>Three school age and two preschool student IEP(s) did not identify assistive technology to enable the child to be involved in and make progress in the general education curriculum.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>The district must reconvene the IEP team(s) of the five IEP(s) identified as noncompliant to review assistive technology and/or services that would directly assist the child with a disability to increase, maintain, or improve their functional capabilities and include them on the IEP.</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>The district must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices in place regarding assistive technology.</p> <p>OEC will contact the district for submission of new records and review these records to determine compliance with this regulation.</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p> <p>A Corrective Action Plan is required due to meeting the 30% threshold of non-compliance.</p>
LRE-2	300.320(a)(6)(i)	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>Two school age and one preschool student IEP(s) did not identify accommodations provided to enable the child to be involved in and make progress in the general education curriculum.</p> <p><u>Interviews</u></p> <p>The district's process for identifying and delivering accommodations needed by a student were explained and verified during the staff interviews.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>The district must reconvene the IEP team(s) of the three IEP(s) identified as noncompliant to review the accommodations that would directly assist the child to access the course content without altering the amount or complexity of the information taught and include them on the IEP.</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>OEC will contact the district for submission of new records and review these records to determine compliance with this regulation.</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>The district does <u>not</u> need to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.</p>

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
			Required Actions	
LRE-3	300.320(a)(4)	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>Five school age student IEP(s) did not identify modifications to enable the child to be involved in and make progress in the general education curriculum.</p> <p><u>Interviews</u></p> <p>The design and delivery of modifications was explained in detail during staff interviews. The extent of the modifications is an area that needs to be clarified.</p> <p><u>Other Considerations</u></p> <p>It was apparent from interviews that some staff members misunderstood the difference between accommodations and modifications.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>The district must reconvene the IEP team(s) of the five IEP(s) identified as noncompliant to review the modifications that would alter the amount or complexity of materials or the performance expected of the child from grade level curriculum expectations and include them on the IEP.</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>The district must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices in place regarding modifications.</p> <p>OEC will contact the district for submission of new records and review these records to determine compliance with this regulation.</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes A Corrective Action Plan is required due to meeting the 30% threshold of non-compliance.
LRE-4	300.320(a)(4)	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>All school age student and preschool student IEP(s) identified supports for school personnel to enable the child to be involved in and make progress in the general education curriculum, where appropriate.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>None</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>None</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
			Required Actions	
LRE-5	300.320(a)(5)	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>Fourteen school age student IEP(s) did not include an explanation of the extent to which the child will not participate with nondisabled children in the regular education classroom.</p> <p><u>Interviews</u></p> <p>Responses from staff and administrators verified that the justification for removal is an area for improvement in the IEP process.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>The district must reconvene the IEP team(s) of the fourteen IEP(s) identified as noncompliant to review and include a justification for why the child was removed from the regular education classroom.</p> <p>The justification should:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Be based on the needs of the child, not the disability. • Reflect that the team has given adequate consideration to meeting the student's needs in the regular classroom with supplementary aids and services. • Document that the nature or severity of the disability is such that education in regular education classes, even with the use of supplementary aids and services, cannot be achieved satisfactorily. • Describe potential harmful effects to the child or others, if applicable. <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>The district must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices in place regarding least restrictive environment placement decision process.</p> <p>OEC will contact the district for submission of new records and review these records to determine compliance with this regulation.</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes A Corrective Action Plan is required due to meeting the 30% threshold of non-compliance.
LRE-6	300.321(1)	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>All school age student and preschool student IEP(s) indicated that the IEP Team included a parent.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>None</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>None</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
			Required Actions	
LRE-7	300.321(2)	<u>Record Review</u> One school age student IEP did not indicate that the IEP Team included a regular education teacher.	<u>Individual Correction</u> For the one IEP(s) identified as noncompliant, the district must: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide documentation that the parent was informed prior to the IEP meeting that the regular education teacher would not participate in the meeting, and • Provide a written excuse signed by the parents and the district that allowed the regular education teacher not to be in attendance at the IEP meeting, or • Reconvene the IEP team to review the IEP will all required members present. <u>Systemic Correction</u> OEC will contact the district for submission of new records and review these records to determine compliance with this regulation.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No The district does <u>not</u> need to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.
LRE-8	300.321(3)	<u>Record Review</u> One school age student IEP did not indicate that the IEP Team included a special education teacher.	<u>Individual Correction</u> For the one IEP identified as noncompliant, the district must: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide documentation that the parent was informed prior to the IEP meeting that the special education teacher would not participate in the meeting, and • Provide a written excuse signed by the parents and the district that allowed the special education teacher not to be in attendance at the IEP meeting, or • Reconvene the IEP team to review the IEP will all required members present. 	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No The district does <u>not</u> need to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
			Required Actions	
			<u>Systemic Correction</u> OEC will contact the district for submission of new records and review these records to determine compliance with this regulation.	
LRE-9	300.321(4)	<u>Record Review</u> Three school age student IEP(s) did not indicate that the IEP Team included an LEA representative.	<u>Individual Correction</u> For the three IEP(s) identified as noncompliant, the district must: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide documentation that the parent was informed prior to the IEP meeting that the LEA representative would not participate in the meeting, and • Provide a written excuse signed by the parents and the district that allowed the LEA representative not to be in attendance at the IEP meeting, or • Reconvene the IEP team to review the IEP will all required members present. <u>Systemic Correction</u> OEC will contact the district for submission of new records and review these records to determine compliance with this regulation.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No The district does <u>not</u> need to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.
LRE-10	300.321(5)	<u>Record Review</u> All school age student and preschool student IEP(s) indicated that the IEP Team included of a person qualified to interpret the instructional implications of evaluation results.	<u>Individual Correction</u> None <u>Systemic Correction</u> None	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA

Component 4: Data Verification

Each school district shall report timely and accurate special education event records for students with disabilities; have in effect an Individualized Education program for each child with a disability with the LEA's jurisdiction and in place on or before Dec. 1, 2009; conduct initial evaluations within 60 days of receiving parental consent for evaluation; have an IEP in place for three-year olds transitioning from Early Intervention Programs on or before the child's third birthday; and have a secondary transition place in place that meets all required elements for IDEA.

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
			Required Actions	
DV-1	300.645 R.C. 3301.07.14	<u>Record Review</u> One school age student IEP(s) indicated that the child did not have an IEP in effect as reported on the LEA's December 1, 2010 Child Count Report.	<u>Individual Correction</u> ODE will make the necessary adjustments to the districts IDEA allocations due to the fact the child count was overstated by one record. <u>Systemic Correction</u> OEC will contact the district for submission of new records and review these records to determine compliance with this regulation.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No The district does <u>not</u> need to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.
DV-2	300.645 R.C. 3301.07.14	<u>Record Review</u> One school age student ETRs indicated that the child did not have an ETR in effect as reported on the LEA's December 1, 2010 Child Count Report.	<u>Individual Correction</u> ODE will make the necessary adjustments to the districts IDEA allocations due to the fact the child count was overstated by one record. <u>Systemic Correction</u> OEC will contact the district for submission of new records and review these records to determine compliance with this regulation.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No The district does <u>not</u> need to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
			Required Actions	
DV-3	SPP Indicator 20: Accurate and Timely Reporting of Special Education Event Record	<u>Record Review</u> All school age and preschool records had accurate student data reported by the LEA through the Education Management Information System (EMIS) for the December 1, 2010 Child County Report, specifically in the following area(s): a) DOB b) IEP date (IIEP, RIEP, TIEP, CIEP, or FIEP events) c) ETR dates (IETR, RETR, TETR) d) Referral date e) Consent date f) Disability category as indicated as an outcome of ETR g) Admission date h) Withdrawal date i) Non-compliance reason for ETR or IEP date	<u>Individual Correction</u> None <u>Systemic Correction</u> None	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA
DV-4	SPP Indicator 11 300.301(c)(1)(i)	<u>Record Review</u> This item was not reviewed because the district is currently in an Indicator 11 review process with the Office for Exceptional Children.	<u>Individual Correction</u> None <u>Systemic Correction</u> None	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA
DV-5	SPP Indicator 12 300.124	<u>Record Review</u> All preschool IEP(s) did show evidence that an IEP was in place for 3 year olds transitioning from Early Intervention Programs (0-3 years) on or before the child's third birthday.	<u>Individual Correction</u> None <u>Systemic Correction</u> None	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
			Required Actions	
DV-6 A/B	SPP Indicator 20 for Secondary Transition Plans	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>Six school age IEP(s) did not show evidence that the secondary transition plan reported in EMIS during 2010-2011 was in place that meets all 8 required elements of IDEA for the student, specifically in the following area(s):</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There are appropriate measurable postsecondary goal(s). 2. The postsecondary goals are updated annually. 3. The postsecondary goals were based on age appropriate transition assessment. 4. There are transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the postsecondary goal(s). 5. The transition services include courses of study that will reasonably enable the student to meet the postsecondary goal(s). 6. The annual goal(s) are related to the student's transition service needs. 7. There is evidence the student was invited to the IEP Team Meeting where transition services were discussed. 8. When appropriate, there is evidence that a representative of any participating agency was invited to the IEP Team Meeting. <p><u>Interviews</u></p> <p>The need for further training, and involvement with the career-technical center specialists was identified during the staff interviews.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>Six current IEP(s) did not contain transition plans that meet all 8 required elements of IDEA.</p> <p>The district must reconvene the IEP teams to review and correct the secondary transition plan for the six records identified as still noncompliant or provide documentation of the student's withdrawal date.</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>The district must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices in place regarding data reporting.</p> <p>OEC will contact the district for submission of new records and review these records to determine compliance with this regulation</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p> <p>A Corrective Action Plan is required due to meeting the 30% threshold of non-compliance.</p>

Springfield City Schools Additional Considerations

The following issues were identified during the parent public meetings and staff-administrator interviews and should not be considered as findings for correction:

1. All seven parents who attended the parent public meetings or provided written comments expressed a need for improved school to home communications between regular education teachers and parents, special education teachers and parents, and administrators (regular and special education) and parents. This points to an opportunity across the district to improve communications, information sharing, progress reporting, and parent training for families of students with disabilities.

Fiscal Components, OEC's Review Findings, and District Required Actions

Component 1: Statement of Accounts

District/School has submitted its FY10 FER for IDEA Part B and IDEA Pre-School funds and ARRA funds. The Financial Detail (FinDet) report and Accounting History (AccRpt) Report for those funds and the Final Expenditure Reports are consistent and in agreement. The fiscal reports are evidence that ensure that district children with disabilities have available to them a free appropriate public education (FAPE) that emphasizes special education and related services designed to meet their unique needs

Regulation 34 CFR	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
		Required Actions	
300.202	Springfield City Schools provided fiscal reports for the FY11 ARRA and FY12 program years. The Summary Of Account (SOA) found no variances between the FINDET information provided and the FER submitted in CCIP.	<u>Individual Correction</u> No corrections required in this section. <u>Systemic Correction</u> No corrections required in this section.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Component 2: Payroll Expenditures

District/School is able to document that the federal funds were expended for an appropriate purpose; payroll expenditures are supported by Time and Effort Logs or Semi-Annual Certification; expense were properly coded to the correct function and object code; all staff in certified positions have appropriate licensure; all funded positions have position descriptions; districts ACCRPT and FER are in agreement.

Regulation 34 CFR	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
		Required Actions	
300.202	<p>IDEA funds were budgeted and expended for salaries and benefits for 50 staff members. The district maintains copies of appropriate and required licensure. All expenditures are reflected in the Accounting Report. The coding was appropriate.</p> <p>The district made available copies of the 2010-2011 Semi Annual Single Funding Source Certification for each staff person. Time and Effort logs or hourly time sheets were maintained for some summer staff.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>No corrections required in this section.</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>No corrections required in this section.</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Component 3: Non-Payroll Expenditures

District/School is able to document that the federal funds were expended for an appropriate purpose and reasonable for the program; that fiscal coding is appropriate and the funds were charged to the proper fund, function and object; that the district is able to document the expenditure with a purchase order, receipt statement or invoice.

Regulation 34 CFR	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
		Required Actions	
300.202	<p>30 voucher packets were reviewed for FY11 Fund 516 special cost center 9320. There were no errors noted per the test criteria.</p> <p>2 voucher packets were reviewed for FY11 Fund 587 special cost center 9320. There were no errors noted per the test criteria.</p> <p>10 voucher packets were reviewed for FY12 fund 516. No errors were found per the test criteria.</p> <p>2 voucher packet was reviewed for fund FY12 587. No errors were found per the test criteria.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>No corrections required in this section.</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>No corrections required in this section.</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Component 4: Use of funds for Capital Outlay and equipment purchase

District/school expended funds for Capital Outlay and/or equipment. The district/school evidences that it has followed the board adopted procurement policy. The district must ensure that equipment and supplies placed in the non-public school are used for Part B purposes only and can be removed from the non-public school without remodeling the school facility.

Regulation 34 CFR	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
		Required Actions	
300.202	The district presented a copy of its procurement policy and followed its procurement policy in the purchase of the equipment.	<u>Individual Correction</u> No corrections required in this section. <u>Systemic Correction</u> No corrections required in this section.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA

Component 5: Equipment inventory policy and procedures

The district/school retains control and administration of funds used to purchase materials, equipment and property purchased with those funds for the uses and purpose provided in the IDEA. The district is properly identifying equipment purchased with IDEA funds and is complying with Board Policy in cataloguing and inventorying the equipment. The district master list of equipment purchased with IDEA funds was updated within the last two years; the district has a equipment disposal policy; The district requested disposition instructions from ODE prior to disposing of assets with at fair market value of more than \$5,000.00, and sale proceeds were deposited back into the original grant.

Regulation 34 CFR	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
		Required Actions	
300.202	The district provided an inventory list of 650 items purchase with FY11 ARRA and FY12 funds. The list also included previous years' purchases. From this list, 84 items were inspected. Most of the items were located according to the list. A few items had moved with a teacher. The list did not link to the purchase information such as purchase date, fund, etc. The district is implementing an updated inventory software system in the near future. Overall the inventory was good and should improve with the new system.	<u>Individual Correction</u> No corrections required in this section. <u>Systemic Correction</u> No corrections required in this section.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA

Component 6: Non-Public Count and Proportionate Share

The District provides child find and ensures equitable participation. The district maintains in its records and provides to the SEA the following information related to parentally-placed private school children covered under 34 CFR 300.130 through 300.144: the number of children evaluated; the number of children determined to be children with disabilities; and the number of children served.

The district has timely and meaningful consultation with representatives of parentally-placed private school children with disabilities (consistent with 34 CFR 300.134); conducts a thorough and complete child find process to determine the number of parentally-placed private school children with disabilities attending private schools located in the school district.

Regulation 34 CFR	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
		Required Actions	
300.130 through 300.144	The district provided documentation that they maintain records in the number of children evaluated, determined to be SWD, and served. Timely consultations were documented and expenditures were appropriate.	<u>Individual Correction</u> No corrections required in this section. <u>Systemic Correction</u> No corrections required in this section.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Component 7: Notification of Public Participation

In accordance with 34 CFR 300.165, the district/school provided a public hearing, adequate notice of the hearings and an opportunity for comment available to the general public including individuals with disabilities and parents of children with disabilities in planning the use of IDEA Part B funds.

Regulation 34 CFR	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
		Required Actions	
300.165 and Part 300.201	Springfield City Schools did not hold a public meeting. The district published notice of receipt of funding. The district did not hold a meeting to share the spending plan with the public. I provided a copy of the law to the special education director.	<u>Individual Correction</u> No individual correction is required in this section. <u>Systemic Correction</u> Springfield City Schools must provide evidence of the intent to schedule and announce an opportunity for public participation in the planning for use of IDEA funds. The district must also submit a Corrective Action Plan to include a policy and the implementation steps on how it will provide an opportunity for public participation in the planning for the use of IDEA Part B funds.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

Component 8: Redirection of funds

The district/school has redirected funds for CEIS and is able to document the expenditures related to CEIS, validate that the percent of the IDEA funds used for CIES is 15% or less of total allocation, document the number of students who were served and are able to track and report on the number of students who subsequently received special education services.

The district/school reduced its local expenditures by no more than ½ of its additional allocation amount and can document the expenditures/reduction and the amount is shown in the CCIP.

Regulation 34 CFR	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
		Required Actions	
300.205	Springfield City Schools did not use IDEA funds for CEIS.	<u>Individual Correction</u> No Individual Correction is required in this section. <u>Systemic Correction</u> No Systemic Correction is required in this section.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA

Gifted Components, OEC's Review Findings, and District Required Actions

A gifted education review to ensure compliance with state law and state *Operating Standards for Identifying and Serving Gifted Students* was conducted on October 5, 2011 by Rosemary Pearson.

Eight gifted education components were addressed during the onsite review. Each component is detailed below, including a description of evidence provided and reviewed and corrective action required to resolve any issues of non-compliance.

Component 1: Gifted Budget

Based on Am. Sub. HB 1, is the district spending for services to identified gifted students at least the same amount of state funding that it received in fiscal year 2009 through unit funding? In addition, did districts that in fiscal year 2009 received gifted student services from an ESC – and the ESC received gifted unit funding in fiscal year 2009 – either (a) obtain gifted student services from an ESC that are comparable to the gifted student services provided to the district with gifted unit funding in fiscal year 2009 by an ESC or (b) spend for services to identified gifted students from the funds received through the EBM an amount not less than the amount of gifted unit funding expended by an ESC in fiscal year 2009 for the district's students?

Regulation 34 CFR	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
		Required Actions	
ORC 3306.09(G)	Evidence was provided to demonstrate that fiscal year 2011 gifted spending was equal to or more than fiscal year 2009 unit funding.	<u>Individual Correction</u> No corrective action is required. <u>Systemic Correction</u> No corrective action is required.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Component 2: Roster and Written Education Plans and Attestation

Per Ohio Administrative Code 3301-51-15 (D)(4), does the district have a current written education plan (WEP) for each student reported as served? Does each WEP include the following components?

- Goals for the students for each service to be provided;
- Specified methods for evaluating progress toward goals;
- Method and schedule for reporting progress to parents;
- Staff responsible for ensuring delivery of each service prescribed;
- Policies regarding waiver of assignments and rescheduling of tests;
- Deadline for next review of WEP; and
- Copy of WEP to parents and staff responsible for providing service listed?

Regulation 34 CFR	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
		Required Actions	
OAC 3301-51-15(D)(4)	WEPs for ten students were provided and reviewed. All attributes were present on all WEPs. Parent signatures will be obtained at parent conferences.	<u>Individual Correction</u> No corrective action is required. <u>Systemic Correction</u> No corrective action is required.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Component 3: Equitable Services and Attestation

Are all district students who meet the written criteria for a gifted service provided an equal opportunity to receive that service? Each gifted service offered in the district must be available to all eligible students in each building in the district at that grade level.

Regulation 34 CFR	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
		Required Actions	
ORC 3324.06(D)	The district attests that each gifted service offered in the district is available to all eligible students in each building in the district at that grade level.	<u>Individual Correction</u> No corrective action is required. <u>Systemic Correction</u> No corrective action is required.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Component 4: Acceleration and Attestation

Do all district students who are accelerated have a Written Acceleration Plan (WAP) in place?

Regulation 34 CFR	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
		Required Actions	
ORC 3324.10	WAPs were provided for early entrance, subject acceleration and early graduation. The district provided an explanation of how they are implementing their board approved acceleration policy for early graduation.	<u>Individual Correction</u> No corrective action is required. <u>Systemic Correction</u> No corrective action is required.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Component 5: Gifted Intervention Specialists and Attestation

Do gifted intervention specialists (GIS) spend at least 75 percent of their time providing instruction directly to gifted students? Is the remainder of their time spent on other duties related to gifted education?

Regulation 34 CFR	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
		Required Actions	
OAC 3301-51-15(E)(2)	As per the attestation, requirements regarding percentage of time providing direct instruction and having other duties related to gifted education were met.	<u>Individual Correction</u> No corrective action is required. <u>Systemic Correction</u> No corrective action is required.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Component 6: Licensure Attestation

Do all staff members assigned as gifted coordinators or GIS have gifted licensure, gifted endorsement or a gifted supplemental license?

Regulation 34 CFR	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
		Required Actions	
OAC 3301-51-15(E)(3), OAC 3301-51-15(E)(6)	Copies of licenses for the gifted coordinator and the GIS were provided for review. Staff members hold the appropriate licensure or endorsement for the position of gifted coordinator and/or GIS.	<u>Individual Correction</u> No corrective action is required. <u>Systemic Correction</u> No corrective action is required.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Component 7: Requirement for Minutes of Service Attestation

Are all students receiving service from a GIS receiving at least 225 minutes of instruction per week (kindergarten through grade 5) or 240 minutes of instruction per week (grades 6-12) from the GIS?

Regulation 34 CFR	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
		Required Actions	
OAC 3301-51-15(E)	The district attested and schedules confirmed that this requirement has been met.	<u>Individual Correction</u> No corrective action is required. <u>Systemic Correction</u> No corrective action is required.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Component 8: Requirement for Regular Education Teacher Professional Development

Are all general education teachers providing gifted services receiving professional development in teaching gifted students and ongoing assistance with curriculum development and instruction from a gifted specialist?

Regulation 34 CFR	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
		Required Actions	
OAC 3301-51-15(D)(3)(b)(i)	The district does not report any students as being served by general education teachers, so no professional development is required.	<u>Individual Correction</u> No corrective action is required. <u>Systemic Correction</u> No corrective action is required.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No