



Ashtabula Area City School District IRN: 043513

Ohio Department of Education, Office for Exceptional Children 2019-2020 IDEA Monitoring Review Summary Report

Introduction

The Ohio Department of Education's Office for Exceptional Children (OEC) would like to extend appreciation to the Ashtabula Area City School District staff for their efforts, attention and time committed to the completion of the review process.

The following report is a summary of the onsite review conducted by OEC on October 22 and 23, 2019, as part of its general supervision requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) and Am. Sub. H.B.1.

Overview

During the onsite review, OEC consultants monitor the educational agency's implementation of IDEA to ensure compliance and positive results for students with disabilities. The primary focus of the review is to:

- Improve educational results and functional outcomes for all students with disabilities; and
- Ensure that educational agencies meet program requirements under Part B of IDEA, particularly those requirements that are most closely related to improving educational results for students with disabilities.

Onsite reviews are targeted to include the following specific areas:

- Child Find;
- Delivery of Services;
- Least Restrictive Environment;
- IEP Verification of delivery of services:
- Parent Input: and
- Teacher and Administrator Interviews.

Data Sources

During the review, OEC considered information from the following sources:

1. Public Parent Meeting and Written Comments

Ashtabula Area City School District mailed 779 OEC approved letters to all families with students with disabilities in the educational agency. OEC provided the educational agency with a public meeting announcement to post on the district website. Public parent meeting dates for all educational agencies selected for onsite reviews are also posted on the ODE website.

On October 22, 2019, OEC consultants held a public meeting for parents and other interested parties. one parent and three State Support Team (SST) Region 5 representatives attended the public meeting. Attendees could speak to OEC representatives publicly in the meeting, speak to OEC representatives individually, provide written comments or both. One attendee made comments during the public meeting. Written comment forms were available before, during and after the meeting. OEC did not receive written comments.

During the public meeting, parents were advised by OEC consultants of the formal complaint process under IDEA and that their public comments did not constitute a formal complaint. The participants were also informed that while the information they provided may be helpful to the review, it may not necessarily be acted upon as part of the review process. Ohio's procedural safeguards notice was available for participants who wanted a copy.

2. Pre-Onsite Data Analysis

OEC conducted a comprehensive review which included district, building and grade level data; Special Education Performance Profile; Local Report Cards; Comprehensive Continuous Improvement Plan (CCIP); and Education Management Information System (EMIS) data. The data analysis assisted OEC in determining potential growth areas and educational agency strengths.

3. Record Review/IEP Verification

Prior to the onsite visit, OEC consultants reviewed 30 records of students with disabilities. OEC selected records of students with disabilities from a variety of disability categories and ages. Fifteen (15) student records were selected for IEP verification in the classroom setting. OEC conducted 15 IEP Verifications and some areas of concern were found regarding the implementation of the IEP within various classroom settings.

4. Staff/Administrative Interviews

On October 22 and 23, 2019, OEC consultants held 10 sessions of interviews with 18 administrators and 53 teachers, school counselors, related services personnel and school psychologists. OEC interviews focused on the following review areas: Child Find; Delivery of Services; Least Restrictive Environment (LRE) and IEP alignment and Discipline.

Findings of Noncompliance

A finding is made when noncompliance is identified with evaluation team report (ETR) and/or individualized education program (IEP) requirements. A noncompliance level of 30% or greater in any single area or in specific areas of concern found during the onsite review activities, a Corrective Action Plan (CAP) will need to be developed to address those areas. All noncompliance identified by OEC as part of the review (listed by subject area in the OEC's Review Findings and Educational Agency Required Actions Table) must be corrected as indicated in the Evidence of Correction/Required Actions column.

OEC provides separate written correspondence to the parent/guardian when action is required to correct findings of noncompliance for individual students. The educational agency will receive copies of this correspondence.

Corrective Action Plan (CAP)

The educational agency will develop a CAP to address any items identified in this summary report. An approved form for the CAP will be provided by OEC or can be accessed on ODE's website by using the keyword search "Monitoring". The CAP developed by the educational agency must include the following:

- Activities to address all areas identified in this summary report;
- Documentation/evidence of implementation of the activities:
- Individuals responsible for implementing the activities;
- Resources needed;
- Completion dates; and
- Continued Plan for Improvement and/or Compliance.

The educational agency must submit the CAP by email to joseph.kujkowski@education.ohio.gov within 30 school days from the date of this report. OEC will review the action plan submitted by the educational agency for approval. If OEC deems that a revision(s) is necessary, the educational agency will be required to revise and resubmit. The educational agency will be contacted by OEC and notified when the action plan has been approved.

CAP Due Date: Friday, April 24, 2020

OEC Trainings

As part of the OEC monitoring process, Ashtabula Area City School District personnel, as identified by OEC, are required to complete the Special Education Essentials 2019-2020 training modules within the Learning Management System (LMS). OEC will provide specific instructions on completing these training modules during the Summary Report presentation. Participants must achieve a 75% or more on each quiz. Participants who do not achieve at least 75% will be contacted by the State Support Team (SST) for additional training.

Completion of LMS Training Modules Due Date: Thursday, May 28, 2020

Individual Correction

The educational agency has **60 school days** from the date of this summary report to correct all identified findings of noncompliance for individual students, unless noted otherwise in the report. Detailed information on individual findings are provided in a separate report.

Individual Correction Due Date: Monday, August 25, 2020

CAP Activities and Systemic Correction

The educational agency will provide OEC with documentation verifying the educational agency's completion of all CAP activities and all systemic corrections noted in this summary report. OEC will verify systemic correction through the review of this documentation. If needed, OEC may request additional student records to review. Completion of CAP Activities and Systemic Correction Due Date: Friday, October 30, 2020

Once the educational agency has completed all action plan activities, the educational agency will use OEC's monitoring process to create and implement a Strategic Improvement Plan with the OEC and SST assistance.

For questions regarding the review, please contact: Joe Kujkowski, OEC Contact Consultant, at (614) 387-0377, toll-free at (877) 644-6338, or by e-mail at joseph.kojkowski@education.ohio.gov.

OEC's Review Findings and Educational Agency Required Actions

Component 1: Child Find

Each educational agency shall adopt and implement written policies and procedures approved by the Ohio Department of Education, Office for Exceptional Children, that ensure all children with disabilities residing within the educational agency, regardless of the severity of their disability, and who are in need of special education and related services are identified, located, and evaluated as required by the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004 and Federal Regulations at 34 C.F.R. Part 300 pertaining to child find, including the regulations at 34 C.F.R. 300.111 and 300.646 and Rule 3301-51-03 of the

Ohio Operating Standards serving Children with Disabilities.

Record	<u> </u>	riving Crimaren wur Disabilities.	Evidence of Correction	Must be
Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Required Actions	addressed in CAP
CF-1	300.305(a) and	Record Review	Individual Correction	⊠ NA
	3301-51-11 (c)(1)(a)	Preschool records were not reviewed.	NA	
			Systemic Correction	
			NA	
CF-2	3301-51-06	Record Review	Individual Correction	
		Eleven (11) evaluations did not appropriately document interventions provided to resolve concerns for the child performing below grade-level standards.	OEC has verified that these students have a current ETR in place, so no additional individual correction is required.	The educational agency needs to address this finding in a Corrective
			Systemic Correction	Action Plan.
		Interviews Through interviews, it appears that some teachers and other staff collect intervention data. However, it did not appear that there was a standard process of initiating interventions and making referrals for evaluation.	The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices regarding documentation of intervention and supports provided prior to completion of the initial evaluation team report.	
		Other Considerations		
		Frequently, the district's initial ETRs did not contain a summary of interventions implemented to include description, intensity, time and results. The district must provide a summary of actual interventions and not simply a list of possible accommodations. For reevaluations, if no additional interventions were provided, simply noting that the team agreed the current IEP supports and services are suitable to meet the student's needs will suffice.		

Record	Regulation 34		Evidence of Correction	Must be
Review Item	Item CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Required Actions	addressed in CAP
CF-3	300.501(b)(1) 3301-51-06 (E)(2)(a)	Record Review Four (4) student records did not show evidence that the parent was afforded the opportunity to participate in the evaluation team planning meeting.	Individual Correction The educational agency must provide evidence that the parent was involved or provided the opportunity to participate in the evaluation planning process. The evidence may include evaluation planning form, prior written notice, parent invitation, referral form or communication log. If the educational agency cannot provide documentation that the parent was involved or provided the opportunity to participate in the evaluation planning process, the educational agency must conduct a reevaluation planning meeting with the parent. Systemic Correction	No The educational agency does not need to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.
			The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices that include the parent in the evaluation planning process.	
CF-4	300.300	Record Review Seventeen (17) student records did not provide evidence of parental consent obtained prior to new testing. Other Considerations In many cases, the PR-05s were not submitted as part of the record review.	Individual Correction The educational agency must provide evidence that the parent provided informed, written consent for evaluation, based upon the planning form. Or the agency must show documented repeated attempts to obtain informed, written consent to which the parent did not respond. The evidence may include, prior written notice, parent invitation, communication log, or other documented attempts to obtain parental informed, written consent. If the educational agency cannot provide documentation that the parent provided informed, written consent for evaluation, or did not respond to repeated attempts to obtain consent, the agency must conduct a reevaluation including documentation of parental consent.	∑ Yes The educational agency needs to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction Required Actions	Must be addressed in CAP
			Systemic Correction The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices for obtaining parental consent obtained prior to new testing or policies and practices for moving forward when parents will not participate.	
CF-5	300.304(c)(4) 3301-51-01 3301-51-06 (E)(2)(a)	Record Review Eighteen (18) evaluations did not provide evidence that the evaluation addresses all areas related to the suspected disability. Other Considerations In many instances, evaluations were conducted and included in Part 1, but not listed on the planning form, or vice versa. It appears that required forms in Part 1 of the ETR were either not being used or were being altered by using multiple choice questions or checklists provided to evaluators without the option to summarize or provide more detail. Modifying required forms to omit essential components on the forms is not permitted.	Individual Correction The educational agency will convene the ETR teams to conduct a reevaluation and provide evidence that the evaluation addresses all areas related to the suspected disability. Systemic Correction The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices to provide evidence that the evaluation addresses all areas related to the suspected disability.	➤ Yes The educational agency needs to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.
CF-6	300.306(c)	Record Review Twenty-three (23) evaluations did not show evidence of clearly stating the summary of assessment results. Other Considerations It is important that the summary of assessments in Part 2 of the ETR be clear to parents and address data from all evaluators in Part 1. In many cases, only the school psychologist's evaluation was represented, and in other cases, related service providers or other evaluators were omitted from the summary.	Individual Correction The educational agency will reconvene the ETR teams to conduct a reevaluation and provide a clear and concise summary of the data and assessment conducted that meets the requirements of 3301-51-06 (G) (Summary of information). The IEP team must consider the results of this reevaluation. Systemic Correction The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices regarding summary of data and assessment results.	∀es The educational agency needs to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.

Record	Degulation 24		Evidence of Correction	Must be
Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Required Actions	addressed in CAP
CF-7	300.306(c)	Record Review Fifteen (15) evaluation team reports did not contain a clear and succinct description of educational needs.	Individual Correction The educational agency will reconvene the ETR teams to conduct a reevaluation and provide a clear and succinct description of the student's educational needs. The IEP team must consider the results of this reevaluation. Systemic Correction The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices regarding description of educational needs.	∀es The educational agency needs to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.
CF-8	300.306(c)	Record Review Fourteen (14) evaluation team reports did not contain specific implications for instruction. Other Considerations In some cases, there was no description or a lack of clarity of the implications for instruction (the implications description was generic in nature and did not address the specific needs of the child).	Individual Correction The educational agency will reconvene the ETR teams to conduct a reevaluation and provide a clear description of specific implications for instruction. The IEP team must consider the results of this reevaluation. Systemic Correction The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices regarding implications for instruction.	Yes The educational agency needs to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.
CF-9	300.306(a)(1) 3301-51-01 (B)(21)	Record Review Fourteen (14) evaluations did not show evidence that a group of qualified professionals, as appropriate to the suspected disability, were involved in determining whether the child is a child with a disability as well as the child's educational needs.	Individual Correction The educational agency must provide evidence that the ETR teams and other qualified professionals, as	Yes The educational agency needs to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.

Component 2: Delivery of Services

Each educational agency shall have policies, procedures and practices to ensure that each child with a disability has an IEP that is developed, reviewed, and revised in a meeting and implemented in accordance with 300.320 through 300.324.

Record	Regulation 34	mented in accordance was coc.ozo anough coc.oz	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed
Review Item	CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Required Actions	in CAP
DS-1	SPP Indicator 13 300.320 (b) 3301-51-07 (H)(2)	Eleven (11) IEPs did not show evidence that the postsecondary transition plan met all eight required elements of the IDEA for the student, specifically in the following area(s): 1. There are appropriate measurable postsecondary goal(s). 2. The postsecondary goals are updated annually. 3. The postsecondary goals were based on age appropriate transition assessment (AATA). 4. There are transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the postsecondary goal(s). 5. The transition services include courses of study that will reasonably enable the student to meet the postsecondary goal(s). 6. The annual goal(s) are related to the student's transition service needs. 7. There is evidence the student was invited to the IEP Team Meeting where transition services were discussed. 8. When appropriate, there is evidence that a representative of any participating agency was invited to the IEP Team Meeting. Other Considerations In most cases, post-secondary transition services and goals were generically represented in student records. Transition services were either not listed in the IEP or lacked clarity and individualization. Reviewers noted instances where the student seemed to be responsible for his or her own	Individual Correction The educational agency must reconvene the teams to review and correct the postsecondary transition plan for the IEPs identified as noncompliant or provide documentation of the student's withdrawal date from the educational agency. Systemic Correction The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices regarding transition services.	The educational agency needs to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.

Record	Regulation 34		Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed
Review Item	CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Required Actions	in CAP
		transition services, which is not appropriate. Other records demonstrated missing information with regard to strengths and needs. This is an opportunity for professional development regarding transition including Age Appropriate Transition Assessments (AATAs) to address preferences, interests, needs and strengths in the development of Postsecondary Transition Plans.		
DS-2	300.320(a)(1)	Record Review Twenty-six (26) IEPs did not contain Present Levels of Academic Achievement and Functional Performance (PLOP) that addressed the needs of the student. Other Considerations This is an opportunity for professional development and/or targeted technical assistance in developing Present Levels of Academic Achievement and Functional Performance (PLOP) that clearly address the needs of the student.	Individual Correction The educational agency must reconvene the IEP teams of the IEPs identified as noncompliant to review and amend the PLOP related to each goal to include: • Summary of current daily academic/ behavior and/ or functional performance (strengths and needs) compared to expected grade level standards in order to provide a frame of reference; • PLOP must relate to the goal measurement • Baseline data provided for developing a measurable goal. Systemic Correction The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices regarding the review of current academic/functional data when writing IEPs.	The educational agency needs to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction Required Actions	Must be addressed in CAP
DS-3	300.320(a)(2)(i)	Record Review Twenty-four (24) IEPs did not contain measurable annual goals. Other Considerations Opportunity for professional development and targeted technical assistance in writing compliant measurable annual goals.	Individual Correction The educational agency must reconvene the teams of the IEPs identified as noncompliant to review and amend annual goals to contain the following critical elements: 1. Clearly defined behavior: the specific action the child will be expected to perform. 2. The condition (situation, setting or given material) under which the behavior is to be performed. 3. Performance criteria desired: the level the child must demonstrate for mastery and the number of times the child must demonstrate the skill or behavior. Systemic Correction The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices regarding the development of measurable annual IEP goals.	The educational agency needs to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.
DS-4	300.320(a)(2)(i)	Record Review Eight (8) IEPs did not contain annual goals that address the child's academic area(s) of need. Other Considerations Opportunity for professional development and targeted technical assistance in writing compliant measurable annual goals. If academic needs were addressed in the ETR as being an area of concern, they must be addressed in the IEP in some capacity. It can either be addressed as a goal or a statement that indicates the team has prioritized other needs or found that it is not an area of concern at this time.	Individual Correction The educational agency must reconvene the teams of the IEPs identified as noncompliant to review and amend the IEP. Annual goals must address the academic needs of the child unless the team provides evidence that the goals were prioritized based on the severity of the needs of the child. Systemic Correction The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices regarding the IEP process of addressing identified academic needs.	Yes The educational agency needs to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction Required Actions	Must be addressed in CAP
DS-5	300.320(a)(2)(i)	Record Review	Individual Correction	⊠ Yes
		Nine (9) IEPs did not contain annual goals that address the child's functional area(s) of need. Other Considerations If functional needs were addressed in the ETR as being an area of concern, they must be addressed in the IEP in some capacity. It can either be addressed as a goal, a related service or a statement that indicates the team has prioritized other needs or found that it is not an area of concern at this time.	The educational agency must reconvene the teams of the IEPs identified as noncompliant to review and amend the IEP. Annual goals must address the functional needs of the child unless the team provides evidence that the goals were prioritized based on the severity of the needs of the child. Systemic Correction The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices regarding the IEP process of addressing identified functional needs.	The educational agency needs to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.
DS-6	300.320(a)(4) 3301-51-07 (H)(1)(e)(i)	Record Review Twelve (12) IEPs did not contain a statement of specially designed instruction that addresses the individual needs of the child and supports the annual goals. Other Considerations The nature of instruction must align with the student's individual needs and skills. In many instances, the specially designed instruction was very broad and not specific to the student.	Individual Correction The educational agency must reconvene the teams of the IEPs identified as noncompliant to review and amend the specially designed instruction, as appropriate, to address the needs of the child. Systemic Correction The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices regarding the IEP process of determining specially designed instruction.	Yes The educational agency needs to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.
DS-7	300.320(a)(7)	Record Review	Individual Correction	⊠ No
	3301-51-07 (H)(1)(i)	Eight (8) IEPs did not indicate the location where the specially designed instruction will be provided.	The educational agency must reconvene the teams of the IEPs identified as noncompliant to review and amend the location where the specially designed instruction will be provided. Systemic Correction The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices regarding the IEP process of determining the location where specially designed instruction will occur.	The educational agency does not need to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.

Record	Regulation 34		Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed
Review Item	CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Required Actions	in CAP
DS-8	300.320(a)(7)	Record Review	Individual Correction	⊠ Yes
	3301-51-07 (H)(1)(i)	Eleven (11) IEPs did not indicate the amount of time and frequency of the specially designed instruction.	The educational agency must reconvene the teams of the IEPs identified as noncompliant to review and amend the amount of time and frequency of the specially designed instruction.	The educational agency needs to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.
			Systemic Correction	Action Flan.
			The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices regarding the IEP process of determining the amount and frequency of specially designed instruction to be provided.	
DS-9	300.320(a)(4)	Record Review	Individual Correction	⊠ No
	3301-51-07 (H)(1)(e)	I ()no (1) IED did not identity related convices that I	The educational agency must reconvene the team of the IEP identified as noncompliant to review and amend the IEP to include related services that were identified as needed in the IEP.	The educational agency does not need to address this finding in a Corrective Action
			Systemic Correction	Plan.
			The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices regarding the IEP process of addressing identified related service needs.	
DS-10	300.320(a)(7)	Record Review	Individual Correction	⊠ No
	3301-51-07 (H)(1)(i)	Three (3) IEPs did not indicate the location where the related services will be provided.	The educational agency must reconvene the teams of the IEPs identified as noncompliant to review and amend the IEP to include the location where the related services will be provided.	The educational agency does not need to address this finding in a Corrective Action
			Systemic Correction	Plan.
			The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices regarding the IEP process of determining the location where related services will occur.	

Record	Regulation 34		Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed
Review Item	CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Required Actions	in CAP
DS-11	300.320(a)(7)	Record Review	Individual Correction	⊠ Yes
	3301-51-07 (H)(1)(i)	Five (5) IEPs did not indicate the amount of time, duration and frequency of the related services to be provided.	The educational agency must reconvene the teams of the IEPs identified as noncompliant to review and amend on the IEP the amount of time and frequency of the related services to be provided.	The educational agency needs to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.
			Systemic Correction	
			The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices regarding the IEP process of determining the amount and frequency of related services to be provided.	
DS-12	300.324(a)(2)(v)	Record Review	Individual Correction	⊠ Yes
	3301-51- 01(B)(3)	Two (2) IEPs did not identify assistive technology to enable the child to be involved and make progress in the general education curriculum.	The educational agency must reconvene the teams of the IEPs identified as noncompliant to review assistive technology and/or services that would directly assist the child with a disability to increase, maintain, or improve their functional capabilities and include them on the IEP.	The educational agency needs to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.
			Systemic Correction	
			The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices regarding assistive technology.	
DS-13	300.320(a)(6)(i)	Record Review	Individual Correction	
	3301-51-07 (H)(1)(g)	Fifteen (15) IEPs did not identify accommodations provided to enable the child to be involved and make progress in the general education curriculum. Other Considerations	The educational agency must reconvene the teams of the IEPs identified as noncompliant to review the accommodations that would directly assist the child to access the course content without altering the scope or complexity of the information taught and include them on the IEP.	The educational agency needs to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.
l		The condition(s) and/or extent were not clearly explained (who, when and where services were	Systemic Correction	
		provided).	The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices regarding accommodations.	

Record	Regulation 34		Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed
Review Item	CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Required Actions	in CAP
DS-14	300.320(a)(4)	Record Review	Individual Correction	⊠ Yes
	3301-51-07 (H)(1)(e)	Four (4) IEPs did not identify modifications to enable the child to be involved and make progress in the general education curriculum.	The educational agency must reconvene the teams of the IEPs identified as noncompliant to review the modifications that would alter the amount or	The educational agency needs to address this finding in a Corrective
		Other Considerations	complexity of grade-level materials and would enable the child to be involved and make progress	Action Plan.
		This area is an opportunity for professional development and/or targeted technical assistance	in the general education curriculum and include them in the IEP	
		to address the content that students are expected to learn where amount or complexity of materials	Systemic Correction	
		are altered from grade level curriculum expectations. When an instructional or curriculum	The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices regarding modifications.	
DS-15	300.320(a)(4)	Record Review	Individual Correction	⊠ No
	3301-51-07 (H)(1)(e)	One (1) IEP did not identify supports for school personnel to enable the child to be involved and make progress in the general education curriculum.	The educational agency must reconvene the team of the IEP identified as noncompliant to review the supports for school personnel that were identified by the IEP team and define the supports on the IEP including who will provide the support and when it will take place."	The educational agency does not need to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.
			Systemic Correction	
			The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices regarding supports for school personnel.	
DS-16	3301-51-07 (H)(1)(h)(ii)	Record Review Two (2) student records did not have a justification statement explaining why the student cannot participate in the regular assessment and why the alternate assessment is appropriate for the student.	Individual Correction The educational agency must reconvene the teams of the IEPs identified as noncompliant to review and determine if the alternate assessment is appropriate for the student. Systemic Correction	No The educational agency does not need to address this finding in a Corrective Action
			The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices regarding the determination of participation in the AASCD.	Plan.

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction Required Actions	Must be addressed in CAP
DS-17	3301-51-07(L)(2)	Record Review Fourteen (14) IEPs did not contain measurable annual goals and services/placement consistent with progress made. Other Considerations In many cases, progress monitoring reports/documents were not submitted and/or the evidence was vague in description.	Individual Correction None Systemic Correction The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices regarding measurable annual goals and services consistent with progress made.	∑ Yes The educational agency needs to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.
DS-18	3301-51-07(L)	Record Review All IEPs show evidence that revisions were made based on data indicating changes in student needs or abilities.	Individual Correction NA Systemic Correction NA	⊠ NA
DS-19	300.321(5) 3301-51-07(I)	Record Review Twelve (12) IEPs did not indicate that the IEP Team included a group of qualified professionals. Other Considerations In many cases, the signatures of the parent and general education teacher were missing.	 Individual Correction For the IEPs identified as noncompliant, the educational agency must: Provide documentation that the parent was informed prior to the IEP meeting that the person qualified to interpret the instructional implications of evaluation results would not participate in the meeting, and Provide a written excuse signed by the parents and the educational agency that allowed the person qualified to interpret the instructional implications of evaluation results not to be in attendance at the IEP meeting, or Reconvene the IEP team to review the IEP with all required members present. Systemic Correction The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices regarding the involvement of people qualified to interpret the instructional implications of evaluation results in the IEP process. 	The educational agency needs to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.

Component 3: Least Restrictive Environment (LRE) and IEP Alignment

Each educational agency shall ensure that to the maximum extent appropriate, children with disabilities, including children in public or nonpublic institutions or other care facilities, are educated with children who are nondisabled; and that a continuum of alternative placements is available to meet the needs of children with disabilities for special education and related services.

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction Required Actions	Must be addressed in CAP
LRE-1	300.114 300.320(a)(5) 3301-51-07 (H)(1)(f)	Record Review	Individual Correction	⊠ Yes
		Fifteen (15) IEPs did not include an explanation of the extent to which the child will not participate with nondisabled children in the general education classroom.		
		Other Considerations	The justification should:	
		In many cases, the justification statement outlined the academic deficits, but failed to fully "explain" the reason(s) why the student would be removed from participation with nondisabled children.	disability.	

Commendations

- The district has addressed the need for additional Mental Health and Trauma Informed care supports, resulting in a huge decline in the use of restraints.
- The district has created and hired two new positions (Special Education Coordinators) within their Student Services/Special Education Office.

Opportunities for Improvement

- During the interview sessions, both intervention specialists and general education teachers expressed a need for both professional development and support at the building level for a co-teaching model to be successful. They also voiced the need of a common planning time to be successful.
- There is an opportunity for Ashtabula Area City School District to enhance their Multi-Tier System of Supports (MTSS) process by incorporating common language across "all" buildings and further developing Tier 2 and 3 interventions. Each building uses different language to describe the "process" (i.e., in some elementary schools the intervention specialists are part of the Tier 2 and 3 team and in others, the intervention specialists are not involved at all), the use of interventions at various levels and other areas of describing their continuum of services are inconsistent (i.e., ESC classroom, Transition Classroom, etc.). Also, Ashtabula Area City School District could benefit from research and select evidence-based interventions along (academic skill and/or behavior specific) with decision rules for all Tier 2 and 3 interventions.
- Develop a formal process of adopting special education records (3301-51-07 (K)(5)(a)(b) and 3301-51-07 (K)(6)(a)(b)) from out of district/state/country.
- Develop and implement a formal process of tracking specially designed instruction (SDI) to ensure Free Appropriate Public Education (3301-51-07 (K)).
- Develop and implement a formal process of tracking the duration and frequency of students with disabilities who are sent to the district's "Detention or In-School Assignment" (Ashtabula Area City Schools All Title 1 Served Schools 2019-2020 Student/Parent Handbook) and provide training to all staff to address behavioral concerns.