

<b>Horizon Science Academy – Cleveland Middle IRN: 000858</b>
---

**Ohio Department of Education, Office for Exceptional Children  
2018-2019 IDEA Selective Review Summary Report**

**Introduction**

The Ohio Department of Education's Office for Exceptional Children (OEC) would like to extend appreciation to the Horizon Science Academy staff for their efforts, attention and time committed to the completion of the review process.

The following report is a summary of the onsite review conducted by OEC on April 10-11, 2019, as part of its general supervision requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) and Am. Sub. HB1.

**Overview**

During the onsite review, OEC consultants monitor the educational agency's implementation of IDEA to ensure compliance and positive results for students with disabilities. The primary focus of the review is to:

- Improve educational results and functional outcomes for all students with disabilities; and
- Ensure that educational agencies meet program requirements under Part B of IDEA, particularly those requirements that are most closely related to improving educational results for students with disabilities.

Onsite reviews are targeted to include the following specific areas:

- Child Find;
- Delivery of Services;
- Least Restrictive Environment;
- IEP Verification of delivery of services;
- Parent Input; and
- Teacher and Administrator Interviews.

**Data Sources**

During the review, OEC considered information from the following sources:

1. Public Parent Meeting and Written Comments

Horizon Science Academy mailed 47 OEC approved letters to all families with students with disabilities in the educational agency. OEC provided the educational agency with a public meeting announcement to post on the district website. Public parent meeting dates for all educational agencies selected for onsite reviews are also posted on the ODE website.

On April 10, 2019, OEC consultants held a public meeting for parents and other interested parties. No parents and family members and three State Support Team (SST) Region 3 representatives attended the public meeting. Attendees could speak to OEC representatives publicly in the meeting, speak to OEC representatives individually, provide written comments or both. Written comment forms were available before, during and after the meeting. OEC did not receive written comments.

2. Pre-Onsite Data Analysis

OEC conducted a comprehensive review which included district, building and grade level data; Special Education Performance Profile; Local Report Cards; Comprehensive Continuous Improvement Plan (CCIP); and Education Management Information System (EMIS) data. The data analysis assisted OEC in determining potential growth areas and educational agency strengths.

### 3. Record Review/IEP Verification

Prior to the onsite visit, OEC consultants reviewed 12 records of students with disabilities. OEC selected records of students with disabilities from a variety of disability categories and ages. Four student records were selected for IEP verification in the classroom setting.

### 4. Staff/Administrative Interviews

On April 10, 2019, OEC consultants held four sessions of interviews with three administrators and 11 teachers, school counselors, related services personnel and school psychologists. OEC interviews focused on the following review areas: Child Find; Delivery of Services; Least Restrictive Environment (LRE) and IEP alignment and Discipline.

## **Findings of Noncompliance**

A finding is made when noncompliance is identified with evaluation team report (ETR) and/or individualized education program (IEP) requirements. Noncompliance that is systemic in nature or that is identified in **30% or more** of the records reviewed by OEC and substantiated through other data sources must be included in a comprehensive corrective action plan (CAP) with action steps to address each of the noncompliance findings. All noncompliance identified by OEC as part of the review (listed by subject area in the *OEC's Review Findings and Educational Agency Required Actions Table*) must be corrected as indicated in the *Evidence of Correction/Required Actions* column.

OEC provides separate written correspondence to the parent/guardian when action is required to correct findings of noncompliance for individual students. The educational agency will receive copies of this correspondence.

## **Corrective Action Plan (CAP)**

The educational agency will develop a CAP to address any items identified in this summary report. An approved form for the CAP will be provided by OEC or can be accessed on ODE's website by using the keyword search "Monitoring". The CAP developed by the educational agency must include the following:

- Activities to address all areas identified in this summary report;
- Documentation/evidence of implementation of the activities;
- Individuals responsible for implementing the activities;
- Resources needed;
- Completion dates; and
- Continued Plan for Improvement and/or Compliance.

The educational agency must submit the CAP by email to [david.boso@education.ohio.gov](mailto:david.boso@education.ohio.gov) within **30 school days** from the date of this report. OEC will review the action plan submitted by the educational agency for approval. If OEC deems that a revision(s) is necessary, the educational agency will be required to revise and resubmit. The educational agency will be contacted by OEC and notified when the action plan has been approved.

**CAP Due Date: September 18, 2019**

## **OEC Trainings**

As part of the OEC monitoring process, Horizon Science Academy personnel, as identified by OEC, are required to complete the Special Education Essentials 2018-19 training modules within the Learning Management System (LMS). OEC will provide specific instructions on completing these training modules during the Summary Report presentation. Participants must achieve a 75% or more on each quiz. Participants who do not achieve at least 75% will be contacted by the State Support Team (SST) for additional training.

**Completion of LMS Training Modules Due Date: September 18, 2019**

### **Individual Correction**

The educational agency has **60 school days** from the date of this summary report to correct all identified findings of noncompliance for individual students, unless noted otherwise in the report. Detailed information on individual findings are provided in a separate report.

*Individual Correction Due Date: **October 31, 2019***

### **Systemic Correction**

The educational agency will provide OEC with documentation verifying the educational agency's completion of all CAP activities. OEC will verify systemic correction through the review of this documentation. If needed, OEC may request additional student records to review.

*Completion of Systemic Correction Due Date: **November 26, 2019***

For questions regarding the review, please contact: David Boso, OEC Contact Consultant, at (614) 466-8903, toll-free at (877) 644-6338, or by e-mail at [david.boso@education.ohio.gov](mailto:david.boso@education.ohio.gov).

**OEC's Review Findings and Educational Agency Required Actions**

**Component 1: Child Find**

*Each educational agency shall adopt and implement written policies and procedures approved by the Ohio Department of Education, Office for Exceptional Children, that ensure all children with disabilities residing within the educational agency, regardless of the severity of their disability, and who are in need of special education and related services are identified, located, and evaluated as required by the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004 and Federal Regulations at 34 C.F.R. Part 300 pertaining to child find, including the regulations at 34 C.F.R. 300.111 and 300.646 and Rule 3301-51-03 of the Ohio Operating Standards serving Children with Disabilities.*

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
			Required Actions	
CF-1	300.305(a) and 3301-51-11 (c)(1)(a)	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>Preschool records were not reviewed.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>NA</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>NA</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA
CF-2	3301-51-06	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>Five evaluations did not appropriately document interventions provided to resolve concerns for the child performing below grade-level standards.</p> <p><u>Other Considerations</u></p> <p>Initial evaluations do not contain a summary of interventions implemented including description, intensity, time and results. The district maintains a Response to Intervention (RTI)/Intervention Assistance Team (IAT) process, but there is a need for written practices and procedures. For reevaluations, if no additional interventions, enter a statement that no interventions were provided beyond the IEP.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>OEC has verified that these students have a current ETR in place, so no additional individual correction is required.</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices regarding documentation of intervention and supports provided prior to completion of the initial evaluation team report.</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes The educational agency needs to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.
CF-3	300.501(b)(1) 3301-51-06 (E)(2)(a)	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>All student records showed evidence that the parent was afforded the opportunity to participate in the evaluation team planning meeting.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>NA</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>NA</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
			Required Actions	
CF-4	300.300	<p><u>Record Review</u> Five student records did not provide evidence of parental consent obtained prior to new testing.</p> <p><u>Other Considerations</u> In most instances, the records were ones completed by other districts. There is a need to develop an internal monitoring process around the adoption of incoming records.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u> None</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u> The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices for obtaining parental consent obtained prior to new testing.</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes The educational agency needs to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.</p>
CF-5	300.304(c)(4) 3301-51-01 3301-51-06 (E)(2)(a)	<p><u>Record Review</u> Nine evaluations did not provide evidence that the evaluation addresses all areas related to the suspected disability.</p> <p><u>Other Considerations</u> In most instances, assessments were either listed and not completed or completed but not listed. There is a need for internal monitoring of records to ensure record compliance.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u> The educational agency will convene the ETR teams to conduct a reevaluation and provide evidence that the evaluation addresses all areas related to the suspected disability.</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u> The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices to provide evidence that the evaluation addresses all areas related to the suspected disability.</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes The educational agency needs to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.</p>
CF-6	300.306(c)	<p><u>Record Review</u> Five evaluations did not show evidence of clearly stating the summary of assessment results.</p> <p><u>Other Considerations</u> In most instances, assessments were left out of the summary and only contained the psychologist's Part 1.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u> The educational agency will reconvene the ETR teams to conduct a reevaluation and provide a clear and concise summary of the data and assessment conducted that meets the requirements of 3301-51-06 (G) (Summary of information). The IEP team must consider the results of this reevaluation.</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u> The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices regarding summary of data and assessment results.</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes The educational agency needs to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.</p>

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
			Required Actions	
CF-7	300.306(c)	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>Two evaluation team reports did not contain a clear and succinct description of educational needs.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>The educational agency will reconvene the ETR teams to conduct a reevaluation and provide a clear and succinct description of the student's educational needs. The IEP team must consider the results of this reevaluation.</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices regarding description of educational needs.</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>The educational agency does <u>not</u> need to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.</p>
CF-8	300.306(c)	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>Five evaluation team reports did not contain specific implications for instruction and progress monitoring.</p> <p><u>Other Considerations</u></p> <p>Records must identify progress monitoring.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>The educational agency will reconvene the ETR teams to conduct a reevaluation and provide a clear description of specific implications for instruction and progress monitoring. The IEP team must consider the results of this reevaluation.</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices regarding implications for instruction and progress monitoring.</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p> <p>The educational agency needs to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.</p>
CF-9	300.306(a)(1) 3301-51-01 (B)(21)	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>All evaluations showed evidence that a group of qualified professionals, as appropriate to the suspected disability, were involved in determining whether the child is a child with a disability as well as the child's educational needs.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>NA</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>NA</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA</p>

## Component 2: Delivery of Services

Each educational agency shall have policies, procedures and practices to ensure that each child with a disability has an IEP that is developed, reviewed, and revised in a meeting and implemented in accordance with 300.320 through 300.324.

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
			Required Actions	
DS-1	SPP Indicator 13 300.320 (b) 3301-51-07 (H)(2)	<u>Record Review</u> OEC did not review any transition age IEPs.	<u>Individual Correction</u> NA  <u>Systemic Correction</u> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA
DS-2	300.320(a)(1)	<u>Record Review</u> Seven IEPs did not contain Present Levels of Academic Achievement and Functional Performance (PLOP) that addressed the needs of the student.  <u>Other Considerations</u> All instances of noncompliance were due to lack of quantitative data in the present levels.	<u>Individual Correction</u> The educational agency must reconvene the IEP teams of the IEPs identified as noncompliant to review and amend the PLOP related to each goal to include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Summary of current daily academic/behavior and/ or functional performance (strengths and needs) compared to expected grade level standards in order to provide a frame of reference;</li> <li>• Baseline data provided for developing a measurable goal.</li> </ul> <u>Systemic Correction</u> The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices regarding the review of current academic/functional data when writing IEPs.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes The educational agency needs to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.
DS-3	300.320(a)(2)(i)	<u>Record Review</u> All IEPs contained annual goals that address the child's academic area(s) of need.	<u>Individual Correction</u> NA  <u>Systemic Correction</u> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
			Required Actions	
DS-4	300.320(a)(2)(i)	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>One IEP did not contain annual goals that address the child's functional area(s) of need.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>The educational agency must reconvene the team of the IEP identified as noncompliant to review and amend the IEP. Annual goals must address the functional needs of the child unless the team provides evidence that the goals were prioritized based on the severity of the needs of the child.</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices regarding the IEP process of addressing identified functional needs.</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>The educational agency does <u>not</u> need to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.</p>
DS-5	300.320(a)(2)(i)	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>Eight IEPs did not contain measurable annual goals.</p> <p><u>Other Considerations</u></p> <p>The internal monitoring process currently being developed with the assistance of the SST will help address inconsistencies regarding the critical elements of measurable goals.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>The educational agency must reconvene the teams of the IEPs identified as noncompliant to review and amend annual goals to contain the following critical elements:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Clearly <u>defined behavior</u>: the specific action the child will be expected to perform.</li> <li>2. The <u>condition</u> (situation, setting or given material) under which the behavior is to be performed.</li> <li>3. <u>Performance criteria</u> desired: the level the child must demonstrate for mastery <b>and</b> the number of times the child must demonstrate the skill or behavior.</li> </ol> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices regarding the development of measurable annual IEP goals.</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p> <p>The educational agency needs to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.</p>



Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
			Required Actions	
DS-6	3301-51-07(B) and (C) 3301-51-07(L) 3301-51-07(H)(1)(d)	<u>Record Review</u> Two IEPs did not show evidence that data were collected and analyzed to monitor performance on each goal and objective.	<u>Individual Correction</u> None  <u>Systemic Correction</u> The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices to provide evidence that the data were collected and analyzed to determine the present levels of academic and functional performance the student made on each goal and objective.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No The educational agency does <u>not</u> need to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.
DS-7	300.320(a)(4) 3301-51-07(H)(1)(e)(i)	<u>Record Review</u> Five IEPs did not contain a statement of specially designed instruction (SDI) that addresses the individual needs of the child and supports the annual goals.  <u>Other Considerations</u> SDI must contain skills being addressed and by what methods they are being taught.	<u>Individual Correction</u> The educational agency must reconvene the teams of the IEPs identified as noncompliant to review and amend the specially designed instruction, as appropriate, to address the needs of the child.  <u>Systemic Correction</u> The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices regarding the IEP process of determining specially designed instruction.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes The educational agency needs to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.
DS-8	3301-51-07(L)(2)	<u>Record Review</u> One IEP did not contain measurable annual goals and services/placement consistent with progress made.	<u>Individual Correction</u> None  <u>Systemic Correction</u> The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices regarding measurable annual goals and services consistent with progress made.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No The educational agency does <u>not</u> need to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
			Required Actions	
DS-9	300.320(a)(7) 3301-51-07 (H)(1)(i)	<u>Record Review</u> One IEP did not indicate the location where the specially designed instruction will be provided.	<u>Individual Correction</u> The educational agency must reconvene the team of the IEP identified as noncompliant to review and amend the location where the specially designed instruction will be provided.  <u>Systemic Correction</u> The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices regarding the IEP process of determining the location where specially designed instruction will occur.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No The educational agency does <u>not</u> need to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.
DS-10	300.320(a)(7) 3301-51-07 (H)(1)(i)	<u>Record Review</u> One IEP did not indicate the amount of time and frequency of the specially designed instruction.	<u>Individual Correction</u> The educational agency must reconvene the team of the IEP identified as noncompliant to review and amend the amount of time and frequency of the specially designed instruction.  <u>Systemic Correction</u> The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices regarding the IEP process of determining the amount and frequency of specially designed instruction to be provided.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No The educational agency does <u>not</u> need to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.
DS-11	300.320(a)(4) 3301-51-07 (H)(1)(e)	<u>Record Review</u> Three IEPs did not identify related services that address the needs of the child and support the annual goals.  <u>Other Considerations</u> School psychologist and counselor services were left blank to what skills are being taught and by what method.	<u>Individual Correction</u> The educational agency must reconvene the teams of the IEPs identified as noncompliant to review and amend the IEP to include related services that were identified as needed in the IEP.  <u>Systemic Correction</u> The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices regarding the IEP process of addressing identified related service needs.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes The educational agency needs to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
			Required Actions	
DS-12	300.320(a)(7) 3301-51-07 (H)(1)(i)	<u>Record Review</u> One IEP did not indicate the location where the related services will be provided.	<u>Individual Correction</u> The educational agency must reconvene the team of the IEP identified as noncompliant to review and amend the IEP to include the location where the related services will be provided.  <u>Systemic Correction</u> The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices regarding the IEP process of determining the location where related services will occur.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No The educational agency does <u>not</u> need to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.
DS-13	300.320(a)(7) 3301-51-07 (H)(1)(i)	<u>Record Review</u> All IEPs indicate the amount of time, duration and frequency of the related services to be provided.	<u>Individual Correction</u> NA  <u>Systemic Correction</u> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA
DS-14	3301-51-07	<u>IEP Verification</u> Of the 12 IEPs reviewed, OEC conducted four IEP Verifications in the classroom setting. All IEP Verifications provided evidence that the IEPs are being implemented as written.	<u>Individual Correction</u> NA  <u>Systemic Correction</u> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA
DS-15	3301-51-07(L)	<u>Record Review</u> All IEPs showed evidence that revisions were made based on data indicating changes in student needs or abilities.	<u>Individual Correction</u> NA  <u>Systemic Correction</u> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA
DS-16	300.324(a)(2)(v) 3301-51-01(B)(3)	<u>Record Review</u> One IEP did not identify assistive technology to enable the child to be involved and make progress in the general education curriculum.  <u>Other Considerations</u> There is a need for the IEP team to make sure all needs are addressed.	<u>Individual Correction</u> The educational agency must reconvene the team of the IEP identified as noncompliant to review assistive technology and/or services that would directly assist the child with a disability to increase, maintain, or improve their functional capabilities and include them on the IEP.  <u>Systemic Correction</u> The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices regarding assistive technology.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes The educational agency needs to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
			Required Actions	
DS-17	300.320(a)(6)(i) 3301-51-07 (H)(1)(g)	<u>Record Review</u> Four IEPs did not identify accommodations provided to enable the child to be involved and make progress in the general education curriculum.  <u>Other Considerations</u> The district must define terms such as; shortened, reduced, etc.	<u>Individual Correction</u> The educational agency must reconvene the teams of the IEPs identified as noncompliant to review the accommodations that would directly assist the child to access the course content without altering the scope or complexity of the information taught and include them on the IEP.  <u>Systemic Correction</u> The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices regarding accommodations.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes The educational agency needs to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.
DS-18	300.320(a)(4) 3301-51-07 (H)(1)(e)	<u>Record Review</u> All IEPs identified modifications to enable the child to be involved and make progress in the general education curriculum.	<u>Individual Correction</u> NA  <u>Systemic Correction</u> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA
DS-19	3301-51-07 (H)(1)(h)(ii)	<u>Record Review</u> There were no applicable student records reviewed for the use of the AASCD Participation Criteria form when deciding if the alternate assessment is appropriate for the student.	<u>Individual Correction</u> NA  <u>Systemic Correction</u> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA
DS-20	300.320(a)(4) 3301-51-07 (H)(1)(e)	<u>Record Review</u> All IEPs identified supports for school personnel to enable the child to be involved and make progress in the general education curriculum.	<u>Individual Correction</u> NA  <u>Systemic Correction</u> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
			Required Actions	
DS-21	300.321(5) 3301-51-07(I)	<u>Record Review</u> Three IEPs did not indicate that the IEP Team included a group of qualified professionals.	<u>Individual Correction</u> For the IEPs identified as noncompliant, the educational agency must: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provide documentation that the parent was informed prior to the IEP meeting that the person qualified to interpret the instructional implications of evaluation results would not participate in the meeting, <b>and</b></li> <li>• Provide a written excuse signed by the parents and the educational agency that allowed the person qualified to interpret the instructional implications of evaluation results not to be in attendance at the IEP meeting, <b>or</b></li> <li>• Reconvene the IEP team to review the IEP with all required members present.</li> </ul> <u>Systemic Correction</u> The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices regarding the involvement of people qualified to interpret the instructional implications of evaluation results in the IEP process.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No The educational agency does <u>not</u> need to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.

**Component 3: Least Restrictive Environment (LRE) and IEP Alignment**

*Each educational agency shall ensure that to the maximum extent appropriate, children with disabilities, including children in public or nonpublic institutions or other care facilities, are educated with children who are nondisabled; and that a continuum of alternative placements is available to meet the needs of children with disabilities for special education and related services.*

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
			Required Actions	
LRE-1	300.114 300.320(a)(5) 3301-51-07 (H)(1)(f)	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>Six IEPs did not include an explanation of the extent to which the child will not participate with nondisabled children in the general education classroom.</p> <p><u>Other Considerations</u></p> <p>Explanations were in terms of what the classroom offers and not what the student needs. The justification statement must reflect why the student needs prohibit them from participating with their nondisabled peers.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>The educational agency must reconvene the teams of the IEPs identified as noncompliant to review and include a justification as to why the child was removed from the general education classroom.</p> <p>The justification should:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Be based on the needs of the child, not the disability.</li> <li>• Reflect that the team has given adequate consideration to meeting the student's needs in the general classroom with supplementary aids and services.</li> <li>• Document that the nature or severity of the disability is such that education in general education classes, even with the use of supplementary aids and services, cannot be achieved satisfactorily.</li> <li>• Describe potential harmful effects to the child or others, if applicable.</li> </ul> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices regarding the least restrictive environment placement decision process.</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p> <p>The educational agency needs to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.</p>

Other Considerations

1. There is a need for the district to create/review written policies, procedures and practices, to include but not limited to, RTI/IAT, internal monitoring, etc.
2. The district has self-identified a need and want for more professional development around students with disabilities for all staff. An "IEP 101" training would be beneficial and could create opportunities to foster collaboration.