PS IDEA Monitoring Questions

There are two sections of questions that will be answered by the district for self-review, desk review and onsite review.

Section 1: Questions for District

This section is to be completed by all districts.

Section 2: Questions for District, When Contracting for Services.

This section is to be completed by the District of Residence (DOR) when they purchase special education and/or related services for preschool children with disabilities.

For example: The district operates their own preschool classrooms, but contracts with an Educational Service Center (ESC) to provide itinerant services. The district will answer Preschool (PS) Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) Monitoring Questions Section 1 for their own program and staff, then the district will answer the PS IDEA Monitoring Questions Section 2 to address the contract with the ESC for the itinerant services.

Table 1 Description of PS IDEA Monitoring Questions Table

Topic Area

Item Number	Regulation	PS IDEA Monitoring Question	Compliant	Criteria	Potential Source(s) of Documentation/Evidence That Show Criteria Have Been Met
Description :	Description:	Description:	Description:	Description:	Description:
Unique	Rules and/or	The question	Lists possible	Lists the criteria that	Lists examples of what
identifier for	laws that	that needs to	answer	must be addressed in	evidence could be used and
each	describe the	be answered	choices of	order to answer yes, no	submitted. A district could
question	requirements	by the	Yes, No or Not	or NA to the question.	choose to submit any of the
		district.	Applicable		listed examples suggested
			(NA)		or submit something that
					was not suggested UNLESS
					it states Required Evidence

In the document, "service provider" refers to anyone providing services directly or indirectly to a child such as: Preschool Special Education Supervisor, Early Childhood Intervention Specialist, Speech Language Pathologist, Occupational Therapist and/or Physical Therapist.

In the document, "district" refers to the school district of residence.



Section 1: Questions For District

The following section is to be completed by the District of Residence (DOR).

		WC	DRKLOAD/	CASELOAD	
Item Number	Regulation	PS IDEA Monitoring Question	Compliant	Criteria	Potential Source(s) of Documentation/Evidence That Show Criteria Have Been Met
WC-1	OAC 3301-51- 09(I) Service provider workload determination for delivery of services ORC 3323.08 Districts to submit implementation plans – interdistrict contracts	Does the district have written policies and procedures that comply with state and federal rules for determining workload/caseload?	YES	that must describe: • How workload is	Self-Review, Desk Review and Onsite (Tier 2 - Tier 4): Any of the following: • Special education policies/procedures • Employee/Staff Handbook
			NO	Any of the criteria not met	
WC-2	OAC 3301-51- 09(I) Service provider workload determination for delivery of services OAC 3301-51-11 (J)(9) Service provider workload determination for delivery of services	Do all district early childhood intervention specialists and related service personnel workload/caseload's always meet the district's requirements for their positions, whether center based and/or itinerant?	YES	a. District policies and procedures for workload/caseload are implemented with district early childhood intervention specialists, related service personnel, itinerant staff to reflect staff always meet their district determined workload/caseload requirements for their positions and b. If a provider cannot perform all workload duties and meet the direct service/specially designed instruction needs for the district's assigned	Self-Review and Desk Review (Tier 2 and Tier 3): Any of the following: • Workload/caseload spreadsheet, tool, calculator used for current staff • Staff person's assigned job responsibilities • Meeting minutes/notes for administrator and staff meeting(s) regarding workload/ caseload assignments Onsite (Tier 4): Any of the following with all staff:

	WORKLOAD/CASELOAD							
Item Number	Regulation	PS IDEA Monitoring Question	Compliant	Criteria	Potential Source(s) of Documentation/Evidence That Show Criteria Have Been Met			
				workload. This is regardless of the maximum numbers outlined in the Ohio Operating Standards for the Education of Children with Disabilities and c. The district will analyze their caseload/workload tool results to determine that all personnel necessary to carry out the purposes of IDEA Part B are employed	 Workload/caseload spreadsheet, tool, calculator used for all current staff All Staff person's assigned job responsibilities Meeting minutes/notes for administrator and staff meeting(s) regarding workload/caseload 			
			NO	Any of the criteria not met	assignments			
			NA	If no preschool special education staff employed by the school district				

2/3/16: Service Provider Ratio and Workload Clarification Memo 2016-2: Provides guidance to school districts on use of a process for making decisions about the workload for providers of services to students with disabilities as required by Ohio Administrative Code (OAC) 3301-51-09(I).

ODE caseload/workload calculator: A workload calculator to assist local school districts in making decisions about the workloads of service providers.

Working in Partnership to Strengthen Paraprofessional Preparation and Development throughout Ohio - OPEPP: Resources to support professional development and capacity building efforts related to paraprofessionals.



The Number Regulation PS IDEA Monitoring Question			STAFF (QUALIFICA	TIONS/CREDENTIALS	
Employment and procedures that address qualifications of necessary personnel on personnel development OAC 3301-51- 11 (1017) Preschool personnel qualifications OAC 3301-51- 12 Preschool personnel qualifications OAC 3301-51- 11 (1017) Preschool personnel qualifications OAC 3301-51- 12 Preschool personnel qualifications OAC 3301-51- 12 Preschool personnel qualifications OAC 3301-51- 11 (1017) Preschool personnel qualifications OAC 3301-51- 12 Preschool personnel qualifications OAC 3301-51- 12 Preschool personnel qualifications OAC 3301-51- 12 Preschool personnel qualifications OAC 3301-51- 13 Preschool personnel qualifications OAC 3301-51- 14 Preschool Special education spervisor that meets the qualifications/ credentials necessary for their position of Preschool Special education supervisor the position? OAC 3301-51- 10 (Bil52) Definitions OAC 3301-51- 10 (Bil52) Def		Regulation	PS IDEA Monitoring Question			Potential Source(s) of Documentation/Evidence
SQ-2 OAC 3301-51- 09 (H) Personnel qualifications and personnel development OAC 3301-51- 11 (J)(T) Preschool personnel qualifications and personnel qualifications OAC 3301-51- 11 (J)(T) Preschool personnel qualifications OAC 3301-51- 10 (D)(E) Personnel qualifications OAC 3301-51- 10 (D)(E) Personnel qualifications SQ-3 OAC 3301-51- 09 (H)(A) Personnel qualifications Any of the criteria not met NA If no preschool staff employed by the school district Personnel qualifications Any of the criteria not met NA If no preschool staff employed by the school district Personnel qualifications and personnel development OAC 3301-51- 01(B)(52) Definitions OAC 3301-51- 01(B)(52) Definitions OAC 3301-51- 01(B)(52) Definitions All preschool staff currently meet the required qualifications, necessary for their position. Any of the criteria not met NA If no preschool staff employed by the school district VES The district has qualifications and credentials defined for the position of Preschool Special Education Supervisor and qualifications, that meets the position of Preschool Special Education Supervisor and the position? The district has a person in that position that meets those district qualifications. Onsite (Tier 2 and Tier 3): Personnel (ilenses are current Onsite (Tier 4): Required Evidence: Preschool special educa supervisor job Description of Preschool special educa supervisor of Done special educa supervisor of	\$Q-1	Employment and qualifications of necessary personnel ORC 3323.08 Districts to submit implementati on plans – interdistrict	written policies and procedures that address staff qualifications/credential requirements		and procedures that address staff qualifications/credential requirements at time of hire.	Any of the following: • Special education
SQ-3 OAC 3301-51-09 (H)(4) Personnel qualifications and personnel development OAC 3301-51-01(B)(52) Definitions Does the district have a Preschool Special Education Supervisor that meets the qualifications/ credentials necessary for the position? The district has qualifications and credentials defined for the position of Preschool Special Education Supervisor and that meets the qualifications/ credentials necessary for the position? The district has a person in that position that meets those district qualifications. OAC 3301-51-01(B)(52) Definitions OAC 3701-51-01(B)(52) Definitions OAC 3701-51-	\$Q-2	OAC 3301-51- 09 (H) Personnel qualifications and personnel development OAC 3301-51- 11 (J)(7) Preschool personnel	currently meet the required qualifications/credentials necessary for	NO	meet the required qualifications/ credentials necessary for their position. Any of the criteria not met If no preschool staff employed	 Personnel files to ensure licenses are current Onsite (Tier 4): Required Evidence: Complete list of preschool staff with their current role and Ohio state ID number (PSE staff will look up
Education Supervisor is not employed by the school district education supervisor ((F	SQ-3	OAC 3301-51-09 (H)(4) Personnel qualifications and personnel development OAC 3301-51- 01(B)(52)	Preschool Special Education Supervisor that meets the qualifications/ credentials necessary for	NO	and credentials defined for the position of Preschool Special Education Supervisor and The district has a person in that position that meets those district qualifications. Any of the criteria not met If the Preschool Special Education Supervisor is not	Self-Review and Desk Review (Tier 2 and Tier 3): All of the following: Preschool special education supervisor job Description Qualifications and name of individual serving as preschool special education supervisor Onsite (Tier 4): Required Evidence: Preschool special education supervisor Job Description Name, role, educator, and state ID of staff who serves as the preschool special education supervisor ((PSE staff will look up credentials

h. Collaborating with early g. E-childhood providers including Head Start st	Potential Source(s) of
h. Collaborating with early g. Etchildhood providers including Head Start st	
childhood providers including Head Start st	Documentation/Evidence
Intervention providers, County Boards of Developmental Disabilities, local Family and Children First Councils, community childcare programs and community preschools and i. Collaborating with the regional State Support Team in the provision of training and technical assistance responsive to the needs of preschool special education staff. NO Any of the criteria not met NA If the Preschool Special Education Supervisor is not employed by the school district h. E (a e i. E T e it te we en	Evidence of involvement with implementing any of the state standards could be any of the following: Example of evidence for ELDS and SUTQ standards: Preschool special education supervisor is also listed as preschool director in OCLQS. Example of evidence for ELDS and Operating Standards: evidence that ensures access to the general curriculum for each child with a disability. Example of evidence for Step Up to Quality standards: evidence that involved with developing Professional Development plans or conducting classroom observations. Example of evidence for Operating Standards: served as district representative at Individualized Education Program (IEP) meeting; reviewed preschool Evaluation Team Reports (ETR) and/or IEPs Evidence of 1 meeting (agenda, minutes, etc.) with early childhood providers Evidence of 1 State Support Team meeting attended; evidence of 1 individual technical assistance meeting with State Support Team; evidence of 1 Support Team;

Educator Licenses | Ohio Department of Education: Information and resources on Ohio's licensure pathways and requirements.

<u>Preschool Teacher Qualifications Chart</u>: Details qualification requirements for preschool teachers based on classroom type.



		P	ROFESSIO	NAL DEVELOPMENT	
Item Number	Regulation	PS IDEA Monitoring Question	Compliant	Criteria	Potential Source(s) of Documentation/Evidence
PD-1	OAC 3301-51- 09 (H) Personnel qualifications and personnel development ORC 3323.08 Districts to submit implementat ion plans – interdistrict contracts	Does the district have written policies and procedures to ensure preschool staff are appropriately and adequately prepared and trained to provide services to preschool children with disabilities?	YES	 a. The district's written policies and procedures address training and professional development to ensure preschool staff are appropriately and adequately prepared to provide services to preschool children with disabilities. Language specific to: Training existing preschool staff, Onboarding and training new preschool staff Training contractual preschool staff Any of the criteria not met 	Self-Review, Desk Review and Onsite (Tier 2 – Tier 4): Any of the following: • Special education policies/procedures • Employee/Staff Handbook
PD-2	OAC 3301-51- 09 (H)(5) Personnel qualifications and personnel development	Does the district implement their policies and procedures to ensure staff are appropriately and adequately prepared and trained to provide services to preschool children with disabilities?	YES	 a. Personnel are provided professional development As described in the district's policies and procedures and That aligns with school district goals and objectives and That meets the changing needs of preschool children with disabilities. 	Self-Review and Desk Review (Tier 2 and Tier 3): Any of the following:
			NO NA	Any of the criteria not met If no preschool special education staff employed by the school district	Documentation must include all of the following: a. What was the training: training title and training description is provided, b. Who was trained: list of preschool staff attended training and c. When was the training: date the training was provided



<u>PBIS Professional Development Guidance</u>: This outline serves as guidance for local professional development committees to consider when establishing model courses for professional development and continuing education in Positive Behavioral Intervention and Supports (PBIS).

<u>Ohio Standards for Professional Development</u>: Defines the essential elements of a strong professional learning system. Designed to support what effective schools and districts are currently doing and help educators integrate authentic professional learning into their regular routines.

<u>Early Learning Professional Development</u>: This webpage lists other resources focused on professional development.

Step Up To Quality: A Guide for Early Learning and Development Programs: This resource connects to Step Up to Quality program standards and addresses staff qualifications and professional development.

<u>Leadership for Early Childhood Care and Education</u>: Module, ECE leadership OLAC approach to leadership, improved equity of schooling outcomes.



		DELIVERY OF SER	RVICES/LE	AST RESTRICTIVE ENVIRON	MENT
Item Number	Regulation	PS IDEA Monitoring Question	Compliant	Criteria	Potential Source(s) of Documentation/Evidence
DS/ LRE-1	OAC 3301-51- 09 (B)(1) LRE requirements	Does the district have written policies and procedures that comply with state and federal rules to ensure that children are receiving a free and appropriate public education in the least restrictive environment?	YES	 a. The district's written policies and procedures contain language that address the following: Free Appropriate Public Education, (FAPE) (access to general education, provision of special education, at no cost to the parent) and How the district charges tuition to parents of students with disabilities and how district makes determination that they should or should not charge and Least Restrictive Environment, continuum of placement options and Placement made based on individual needs of the child. 	Self-Review, Desk Review and Onsite (Tier 2 - Tier 4): Any of the following: • Special education policies/procedures • Employee/Staff Handbook • Tuition fee scale
			NO	Any of the criteria not met	
DS/ LRE-2	OAC 3301-51- 11 (J)(2) Delivery of services/least restrictive environment	Does the district make a full continuum of options available?	YES	 a. The district makes a full continuum of options available as follows: A public school preschool general education class, cotaught, Head Start, nonpublic school preschool program with natural proportions, A public school preschool general education class, cotaught, Head Start, nonpublic school preschool program in which no more than eight children with 	 Any of the following: Policy, process, or procedure that lists available options Professional development provided to staff that lists available options Optional Form Least Restrictive Environment (LRE) Guiding Questions for Section 11 AND Onsite (Tier 4) Required Evidence:

		DELIVERY OF SER	RVICES/LE	AST RESTRICTIVE ENVIRON	MENT
Item Number	Regulation	PS IDEA Monitoring Question	Compliant	Criteria	Potential Source(s) of Documentation/Evidence
DS/ LRE-3	OAC 3301-51- 09 (D) Placements OAC 3301-51- 09 (H)(1) Personnel qualifications and personnel development OAC 3301-51- 09 (H)(5) Personnel qualifications and personnel development	Do preschool staff receive professional development and/or training regarding the district's continuum of options and making placement decisions?	NO YES	or training regarding the district's continuum of options and the process of determining placement decisions and b. Required content for training materials regarding the district's continuum of options and the process of determining placement decisions must include information from OAC 3301-51-09(D)	Self-Review and Desk Review (Tier 2 and Tier 3): Any of the following: • Special education policies/procedures • Employee/Staff Handbook • Internal Monitoring Team Process • Professional Development calendar/plan Onsite (Tier 4): Required Evidence: • Documentation of professional development provided that school year. Documentation must include all of the following: • What was the training: training title and training description is provided, • Who was trained: list of preschool staff who attended training and • When was the training: date the training was provided • Training materials to check for content

		DELIVERY OF SER	RVICES/LE	AST RESTRICTIVE ENVIRON	MENT
Item Number	Regulation	PS IDEA Monitoring Question	Compliant	Criteria	Potential Source(s) of Documentation/Evidence
DS/ LRE-4	OAC 3301-51- 11 (J)(1) Delivery of services/least restrictive environment	Does the district use a list of the available preschool education service options in the community to inform discussions and decisions on placement?	NO	 a. The district has a list that is: Annually prepared and Posted publicly and Made available to parent during evaluation process and Not limited to service providers within the geographic boundaries of the district and Used to inform discussions and decisions on placement Any of the criteria not met	Self-Review and Desk Review (Tier 2 and Tier 3): Any of the following: Optional Form Least Restrictive Environment (LRE) Guiding Questions for Section 11 for 1 child Document in Prior Written Notice (PR-01) for 1 child Parent Acknowledgement (signed paper) that the list was made available and discussed for 1 child List and/or link to website Onsite (Tier 4) Required evidence: List and/or link to website made available AND any of the following: Optional Form Least Restrictive Environment (LRE) Guiding Questions for Section 11 for 1 child Document in Prior Written Notice (PR-01) for 1 child Parent Acknowledgement (signed paper) that the list was made available and
DS/ LRE-5	OAC 3301-51- 11 (J)(3)-(5) Delivery of services/least restrictive environment	Does the district use the first option on the Least Restrictive Environment continuum to begin the placement decision-making process with the parent during the Individualized Education Program (IEP) meeting?	YES	 a. The district with the parent during the Individualized Education Program (IEP) meeting ensures the following factors are considered: Placement decision-making begins with the first option on Least Restrictive Environment Continuum and The setting he or she would have attended if nondisabled and The child's ability to participate and progress in the general early childhood curriculum and 	discussed for 1 child Self-Review and Desk Review (Tier 2 and Tier 3): Any of the following: Optional Form Least Restrictive Environment (LRE) Guiding Questions for Section 11 Prior Written Notice (PR-01) AND Signed Individualized Education Program (IEP) Onsite Review (Tier 4): No additional evidence required. The individual student records selected for record review will also be reviewed to satisfy this item.



		DELIVERY OF SEF	RVICES/LE	AST RESTRICTIVE ENVIRON	MENT
Item Number	Regulation	PS IDEA Monitoring Question	Compliant	Criteria	Potential Source(s) of Documentation/Evidence
			NO NA	 The child's socialization needs and The child's educational and developmental progress and A child who already participates in a regular early childhood setting when identified as a child with a disability shall remain in the program in which the child is enrolled unless the Individualized Education Program (IEP) team determines that it is unable to serve the child Any of the criteria not met 	
				the IEP team meeting is <u>not</u> employed by the school district	
DS/ LRE-6	OAC 3301-51- 11 (J)(5)(b) Delivery of services/least restrictive environment	Does the district document the number of required hours of general education instruction and special education based upon the	YES	a. Written documentation of the	Self-Review and Desk Review (Tier 2 and Tier 3): Any of the following: • Prior Written Notice (PR-01) • Section 11 of the IEP Onsite Review (Tier 4):
		setting that the Individualized	NO	Any of the criteria not met	No additional evidence
		Education Program (IEP) team determined for each child?	NA	If district staff do not write the IEP	required. The individual student records selected for record review will also be reviewed to satisfy this item.
DS/ LRE-7	OAC 3301-51- 09 (G)(3)(b) Role of preschool and school-age service providers OAC 3301-51- 11(J)(8)(b) – supervisory services	Does the district ensure early childhood intervention specialists or related service personnel support lead teachers in general education classrooms?	YES	to early childhood intervention specialists and/or relate service personnel that enables	Self-Review and Desk Review (Tier 2 and Tier 3): The following are examples of what could be reviewed as evidence for each of the criteria: a. Professional Development/training/inservices provided; schedule showing co-planning time; meeting between staff to discuss support to lead teachers in the general education classroom b. Individualized Education Program (IEP) Section 7

		DELIVERY OF SE	RVICES/LE	AST RESTRICTIVE ENVIRON	MENT
Item Number	Regulation	PS IDEA Monitoring Question	Compliant	Criteria	Potential Source(s) of Documentation/Evidence
			NO NA	personnel support lead teachers in general education classrooms through consultation on the strategies needed to meet children's Individualized Education Program (IEP) goals Any of the criteria not met If the district does not employ early childhood intervention specialists or related personnel that are supporting lead teachers in general education classrooms	Specially Designed Instruction, Related Service Personnel c. Individualized Education Program (IEP) Section 7 Support for School Personnel Onsite Review (Tier 4) a. Evidence of 1 professional development/training/inservice provided; 1 schedule showing co-planning, evidence of 1 meeting between staff to discuss support to lead teachers in the general education classroom b and c. No additional evidence required. The individual student records selected for record review will also be
DS/	ORC 3301.0714	Does the district have	YES	a. Written procedures are	reviewed to satisfy the criteria of (b) and (c) Self-Review, Desk Review
LRE-8	Guidelines for statewide education management information system	written procedures in place to ensure data regarding preschool educational environments are accurately entered into Education Management Information System (EMIS)?	NO	available to all necessary staff to ensure: • Preschool educational environment data is reported and entered accurately into Education Management Information System (EMIS) and • District designates staff responsible for reporting and • District addresses quality assurance practices for accurately reporting data Any of the criteria not met	and Onsite (Tier 2 – Tier 4): Any of the following: • Special education procedures • Employee/Staff Handbook • Education Management Information System (EMIS) Coordinator Trainings Onsite (Tier 4): In addition to the items listed above for Tiers 2- 4, the following required evidence: • Documentation that the written process was followed for Indicator 6 (placement data) for each of the individual student records supplied
DS/ LRE-9	OAC 3301-51- 11 (K) Transportation of children with disabilities	If the Individualized Education Program (IEP) team determines transportation is necessary for preschool	YES	a. Individualized Education Program (IEP) team considers transportation to access Free Appropriate Public Education (FAPE) and	Self-Review and Desk Review (Tier 2 and Tier 3): Any of the following: • Prior Written Notice (PR-01)

	DELIVERY OF SERVICES/LEAST RESTRICTIVE ENVIRONMENT								
Item Number	Regulation	PS IDEA Monitoring Question	Compliant	Criteria	Potential Source(s) of Documentation/Evidence				
	<u>Passengers</u>	special education children to access a free and appropriate education, is it provided at no cost to the parent or guardian?	NO NA	b. The Individualized Education Program (IEP) team documents transportation decisions and c. When the Individualized Education Program (IEP) team determines, transportation is required to access Free Appropriate Public Education (FAPE), it is made available at no cost to the parent Any of the criteria not met If the district representative at the IEP team meeting is not employed by the school district	Section 8 of the Individualized Education Program (IEP) Onsite Review (Tier 4): No additional evidence required. The individual student records selected for record review will also be reviewed to satisfy the criteria.				

ECTA and DaSY- Local District Preschool Inclusion Self-Assessment: This self-assessment tool provides a framework for discussion to promote partnerships among schools and early care and education providers to further promote the inclusion of young children with disabilities and their families in early childhood programs.

Preschool Inclusion Ohio's Guidance: Ohio's guidance and vision on preschool inclusion.

<u>Least Restrictive Environment Decision Tree</u>: Tool to assist districts on how to report the educational environments for children ages 3-5 with IEPs.

A Guide to Transportation for Preschool Students with Disabilities: Outlines rules and laws on the topic of transportation of students with disabilities and guidance on how to complete Section 8 of the Individualized Education Program.

<u>Preschool LRE Guiding Questions</u>: Guiding questions to assist districts in determining placement in the least restrictive environment.

<u>Indicator 6 Description of Compliance Calculation</u>: Details the compliance calculation for Indicator 6: Preschool Least Restrictive Environment.

Ohio PROMISE Child Care Inclusion Program | Department of Children and Youth Governor DeWine has established Ohio PROMISE, a new statewide initiative that will Promote Resources, Opportunities, and Meaningful Inclusion through Support and Education.



	CHILD OUTCOMES								
Item Number	egulation	PS IDEA Monitoring Question	Compliant	Criteria {Can answer yes to this? if}	Potential Source(s) of Documentation/Evidence				
14. Mc Pri Ch Ou	16 (a)(3)(A) onitoring iorities	Does the district have written policies and procedures that comply with the state Child Outcomes Policy?	YES	 a. The district has written policies and procedures that include: The required timelines and Completion of the Child Outcomes policy (COS) form and sources of information and Ratings and Roles in the rating process and Supervisor responsibilities Any of the criteria not met	Self-Review, Desk Review and Onsite (Tier 2 – Tier 4): Any of the following: • Special education policies/procedures (district policy that reflects the state Child Outcomes policy) • Employee/Staff Handbook				
CO-2 Ch	nild	Does the Preschool	YES	a. The preschool special	Self-Review and Desk Review				
Out Po OR 333 Gu for ed ma inf OA	utcomes plicy RC 01.0714 uidelines r statewide lucation anagement formation stem AC 3301-51-	Does the Preschool supervisor complete all Child Outcomes Summary supervisor responsibilities?	YES	education supervisor must ensure staff have been trained in the use of the form, evaluating the quality of the data and interpreting assessment results in relationship to the summary rating. • New staff must be trained by qualified State Support Team or Department staff. Trainings will be posted on the Ohio Professional Registry and/or in System to Achieve Results for Students (STARS) and • Refreshers for existing staff (who have been previously trained by the State Support Team) may be conducted by preschool special education supervisors and • Professional development must consist of the approved Department materials and • Training should be documented in case of an	(Tier 2 and Tier 3): a. Documentation that indicates the date of the last Child Outcome Summary (COS) training for staff, who provided the training and a list of staff that participated b. Completed Quality Assurance Checklist for all staff completing the COS process c. Any of the following: • Special education procedures • Employee/Staff Handbook • Educational Management Information System (EMIS) Coordinator Trainings Onsite (Tier 4):				

	CHILD OUTCOMES								
Item Number	Regulation	PS IDEA Monitoring Question	Compliant	Criteria {Can answer yes to this? if}	Potential Source(s) of Documentation/Evidence				
				 b. The preschool special education supervisor is ultimately responsible for the accuracy and quality of the data reported on the summary form. c. The preschool special education supervisor is responsible for making sure procedures are in place to ensure data regarding the Child Outcomes Summary (COS) are accurately entered into Educational Management Information System (EMIS). Any of the criteria not met If the preschool special education Supervisor is not employed by the school district 	Documentation that the written procedures were followed for Indicator 7 (COS data) for each of the individual student records supplied				

Preschool Special Education | State of Ohio

- Outcomes Reference Guide: Reference guide which provides key information for understanding the Child Outcome Summary process.
- <u>Child Outcomes Summary Form</u>: Form used to gather data for the Child Outcome Summary.
- <u>Child Outcomes Summary Form Quality Assurance Checklist</u>: A checklist for preschool special education supervisors to assure consistent high-quality data within the Child Outcome Summary process.
- <u>Child Outcomes Summary Form Quality Assurance Checklist Directions</u>: Directions detailing how to complete the Quality Assurance Checklist.
- <u>Child Outcomes Summary Questions</u>: Frequently asked general questions about the Child Outcome Summary.
- <u>Indicator 7 Description of Compliance Calculation</u>: Details the compliance calculation for Indicator 7:
 Preschool Child Outcomes.
- <u>ECTA Center</u>: An overview of the outcomes including a description of the <u>breadth of the three outcomes</u>.



		P.	ART C TO I	PART B TRANSITION	
Item Number	Regulation	PS IDEA Monitoring Question	Compliant	Criteria	Potential Source(s) of Documentation/Evidence
C/B-1	20 U.S.C. 1416 (a)(3)(A) Monitoring Priorities 34 CFR §300.124 Transition of children from the Part C program to preschool programs 34 CFR §303.209 Transition to preschool and other programs	Does the district have written policies and procedures that meet state and federal compliance about transitioning from Part C Early Intervention?	YES	 a. The district has written policies and procedures that meet 3301-51-11 (D)(3) including: District attendance at Transition Planning Conference and Adherence to the referral timeline and Inviting the Part C service coordinator to initial Individualized Education Program (IEP) meeting and Ensuring the Individualized Education Program (IEP) is in place and implemented by a child's third birthday and Considering extended school year services and Following procedures for any noncompliance finding Any of the criteria not met	 and Onsite (Tier 2 - Tier 4): Any of the following: Special education policies/procedures (District policy that reflects the state Child Outcomes policy) Employee/Staff Handbook
C/B-2	OAC 3301-51- 11 (D)(2) Interagency agreements	Does the district have an Interagency Agreement?	YES	 a. The district has an interagency agreement that includes the following: Signatures from the required partners and The requirements stated in 3301-51-11 (D)(2)(b) 	and Onsite (Tier 2 – Tier 4): Required evidence:
C/B-3	OAC 3301-51- 11 (D)(2) Interagency agreements	Do partners meet annually to review and revise the interagency agreement?	NO YES	Any of the criteria not met a. At minimum the required partners meet annually to review the effectiveness of the interagency agreement and revise the agreement as needed. Any of the criteria not met	Self-Review, Desk Review and Onsite (Tier 2 – Tier 4): • Interagency Agreement meeting minutes



		P	ART C TO F	PART B TRANSITION	
Item Number	Regulation	PS IDEA Monitoring Question	Compliant	Criteria	Potential Source(s) of Documentation/Evidence
	3301.0714 – Guidelines for statewide education management information system	Does the district have written procedures in place to ensure data regarding Part C Early Intervention to Part B Preschool Special Education transitions are accurately entered into Education Management Information System (EMIS)?		 a. Written procedures are available to all necessary staff to ensure: Part C Early Intervention to Part B Preschool Special Education transition data is reported and entered accurately into Education Management Information System (EMIS) and District designates staff responsible for reporting and District addresses quality assurance practices for accurately reporting data 	 Self-Review, Desk Review and Onsite (Tier 2 - Tier 4): Any of the following: Special education procedures Employee/Staff Handbook Education Management Information System (EMIS) Coordinator Trainings Onsite (Tier 4): In addition to the items listed above for Tiers 2-4, the following required evidence: Documentation that the district's written process was followed for Indicator 12 (C to B data) for one of the individual student records supplied
			NO	Any of the criteria not met	

ODE, C to B transition training and guidance documents Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

- <u>Indicator 12 Compliance for LEAs Overview</u>: PowerPoint overview of the requirements, roles, and responsibilities of the school district surrounding Indicator 12 compliance.
 - o Printable Version of PPT
 - o **Transcript of PPT**
- <u>Indicator 12 Description of Compliance Calculation</u>: Details the compliance calculation for Indicator 12: Transition from Part C (Early Intervention) to Part B (Preschool Special Education).

OCALI <u>Part C to Part B Training Opportunity</u>: A free training opportunity provided by OCALI to assist early care and education providers to better understand the transition process between agencies and state-level requirements for collaborative and cooperative agreements.



	EVALU	ATION TEAM REPORT	(ETR)/IN	DIVIDUALIZED EDUCATION	PROGRAM (IEP)
Item Number	Regulation	PS IDEA Monitoring Question	Compliant	Criteria	Potential Source(s) of Documentation/Evidence
ETR/ IEP-1	(A) Child Find	Does the district have written policies and procedures that comply with state and federal rules regarding Child Find, Evaluations, Individualized education program (IEP) and Delivery of Services for preschool students with disabilities?		a. The district has adopted the Special Education Model Policies and Procedures developed by the Department or The district has developed their own written policies and procedures that at minimum include the content within the Special Education Model Policies and Procedures and b. The district clearly describes the procedures for Child Find, Evaluations, Individualized education program (IEP) and Delivery of Services for preschool students with disabilities. Any of the criteria not met	 Self-Review, Desk Review and Onsite (Tier 2 - Tier 4): Any of the following: Special education policies/procedures Employee/Staff Handbook
ETR/ IEP-2	ORC 3323.08 Districts to submit implementation plans – interdistrict contracts OAC 3301-51-03 (A) Child Find OAC 3301-51-07 (A)Individualized education program (IEP) OAC 3301-51-07 (B) Individualized education program (IEP). OAC 3301-51- 01(A) Applicability of requirements		NO NA	a. The district has written policies and procedures describing an internal system to ensure compliant preschool Evaluation Team Reports (ETRs) and Individualized Education Programs (IEPs). Any of the criteria not met If district staff do not write the ETR and/or the IEP	and Onsite (Tier 2 – Tier 4): Any of the following:
ETR/ IEP-3	OAC 3301-51 Education of Students with Special Needs	Does the district use data from their internal system of reviewing Evaluation Team Reports (ETRs) and Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) for compliance to make policy/procedures/process changes?	NO NA	a. The district uses data from its internal system of reviewing Evaluation Team Reports (ETRs) and Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) compliance to make policy/procedures/process changes. Any of the criteria not met If district staff do not write the ETR and/or the IEP	Self-Review, Desk Review and Onsite (Tier 2 - Tier 4): Any of the following: • Evidence of 1 meeting minutes/agenda of data discussions • Updated policy/procedures/process

	EVALU/	ATION TEAM REPORT	(ETR)/IN	DIVIDUALIZED EDUCATION	PROGRAM (IEP)
Item	Regulation	PS IDEA Monitoring	Compliant		Potential Source(s) of
Number ETR/		Question Do all necessary	-	a. All necessary preschool staff	Documentation/Evidence Self-Review and Desk Review
		preschool staff receive	ILS	receive professional	(Tier 2 and Tier 3):
1		professional development		development on writing	Any of the following:
	•	on writing compliant		compliant Evaluation Team	Training Plan
	•	preschool Evaluation		Reports (ETRs) and	Training Calendar
		Team Reports (ETRs) and		Individualized Education	Training Sign in Sheet
		Individualized Education		Programs (IEPs).	Onsite (Tier 4):
		Programs (IEPs)?	NO	Any of the criteria not met	Required evidence:
			NA	If district staff do <u>not</u> write the	Documentation of all
				ETR and/or the IEP	professional development on
					Evaluation Team Reports
					(ETRs) and Individualized
					Education Programs (IEPs)
					provided that school year
					Documentation must include all
					of the following:
					 What was the training: training
					title and training description is
					provided,
					Who was trained: list of
					preschool staff attended
					training andWhen was the training: date
					the training was provided
					 A copy of training materials from one preschool Evaluation
					Team Reports (ETRs) training
					and/or from one preschool
					Individualized Education
					Programs (IEPs) training
ETD/	OAC 3301-51-03	Do on the district review	YES	a Dracehool Fuelvetion Toom	Salf Daview Deak Daview
	(A) Child Find	Does the district review preschool Evaluation	TES	a. Preschool Evaluation Team Reports (ETRs) and	Self-Review, Desk Review and Onsite (Tier 2 – Tier 4):
IEP-3	0 4 6 0 2 0 4 5 4 0 6	Team Reports (ETRs) and		Individualized Education	Tracking system- record
	(A)(2) Evaluations	Individualized Education		Programs (IEPs) are reviewed	review forms and tools
	OAC 3301-51-07	Programs (IEPs) for		for compliance using the	review forms and tools
	(A)Individualized education	compliance?		compliance standards as	Onsite Tier 4 Additional
l e	program (IEP)			•	Required evidence:
	OAC 3301-51-07			Record Review Guide	One preschool record that has
	(B) Individualized				gone through the district's
	education program (IEP)		NO	Any of the criteria not met	internal monitoring process
	OAC 3301-51-			If district staff do <u>not</u> write the	with the documentation of
	<u>01(A)</u>			ETR and/or the IEP	their review (such as the
	Applicability of				Record Review Comment
<u>!</u>	<u>requirements</u>				Form, PS IEP Verification
					Form)

	EVALU	ATION TEAM REPORT	(ETR)/IN	DIVIDUALIZED EDUCATION	PROGRAM (IEP)
Item Number	Regulation	PS IDEA Monitoring Question	Compliant	Criteria	Potential Source(s) of Documentation/Evidence
ETR/ IEP-6	(H) Personnel qualifications and personnel	Based on results of data does the district have targeted professional development to support staff on writing compliant preschool Evaluation Team Reports (ETRs) and Individualized Education Programs (IEPs)?	NO NA	development to support staff on writing compliant preschool Evaluation Team Reports (ETRs) and Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) a. What data was analyzed? Identify data source (examples may be staff needs survey, Evaluation Team Reports (ETRs) and Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) knowledge quiz, record review results from internal	Self-Review and Desk Review (Tier 2 and Tier 3): a. Data Evidence: Meeting minutes of data discussions b. Identify Support: Meeting minutes of data discussions and the outcome of targeted support necessary c. Support (for example, professional development/training, coaching): • Training plan • Training sign in sheet • Coaching meeting Onsite (Tier 4): a. Data Evidence: Evidence of 1 meeting (minutes, notes) of data discussions b. Identify Support: Evidence of 1 meeting (minutes, notes) of data discussions and the outcome of targeted support necessary c. Support (for example, Professional development/training, coaching): • Documentation of all support provided that school year, for example, ETR and IEP professional development, individual coaching sessions Documentation of support must include all of the following: • What was the support: training title or description of support, • Who was supported: list of preschool staff that received the support and • When was the support provided: date the training or support was provided • A copy of training materials from one targeted support professional development/ training provided

<u>Preschool Universal Supports modules</u>: Universal support materials that provide guidance for completing the ETR and IEP forms.



		PRESCHOOL SPECI	AL EDUC	ATION POLICIES AND PROC	EDURES
Item Number	Regulation	PS IDEA Monitoring Question	Compliant	Criteria	Potential Source(s) of Documentation/Evidence
	OAC 3301-51- 09 (H) Personnel qualifications and personnel development ORC 3323.08 Districts to submit implementati on plans – interdistrict contracts	Does the district provide its preschool staff access to all its written preschool special education policies and procedures?		The district's preschool staff are: a. Made aware of and b. Have access to all district written preschool special education policies and procedures	Self-Review, Desk Review and Onsite (Tier 2 – Tier 4): a. Awareness Any of the following: • Human Resources documents such as a sign off sheet for 1 staff to indicate that policies and procedures were reviewed • Email to staff informing them of policies and procedures b. Access Any of the following: • New staff orientation • Staff handbook • Posted on website that staff have access to
			NO	Any of the criteria not met	

Section 2: Questions for District When Contracting for Services

The following section is to be completed by the District of Residence (DOR) when they purchase special education and/or related services for preschool children with disabilities. The purpose is to learn more about the role and responsibilities of both the DOR and the contracted agency(s) when ensuring compliance with the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA).

			WORKLO	DAD/CASELOAD	
Item Number	Regulation	PS IDEA Monitoring Question	Compliant	Criteria {Can answer yes to this? if}	Potential Source(s) of Documentation/Evidence That Show Criteria Have Been Met
1	09(I) Service provider workload determination	When contracting for special education and/or related services, does the district of residence assure that the service providers have enough time to provide specially designed instruction and/or direct related service to all assigned children?		a. Written information that assures that service providers have enough time to provide specially designed instruction and/or direct related service to all assigned children	and Onsite (Tier 2 - Tier 4):
			NO	Any of the criteria not met	

	STAFF QUALIFICATIONS/CREDENTIALS								
Item Number	Regulation	PS IDEA Monitoring Question	Compliant	Criteria {Can answer yes to this? if}	Potential Source(s) of Documentation/Evidence That Show Criteria Have Been Met				
2	09 (H) Personnel qualifications and personnel development	When contracting for special education and/or related services, does the district of residence assure that the service providers meet the required qualifications/credentials necessary for their position.		a. Written information that assures the contracted agency staff serving preschool children with disabilities from the district meet the required qualifications/credentials necessary for their position Any of the criteria not met	and Onsite (Tier 2 – Tier 4):				

	COMMUNICATION PLAN							
Item Number	Regulation	PS IDEA Monitoring Question	Compliant	Critoria	Potential Source(s) of Documentation/Evidence That Show Criteria Have Been Met			
3	OAC 3301-51- 11 (J)(8) Preschool supervisory services ORC 3301.58 Licensing of preschool programs and school child programs -	a. When contracting for special education and/or related services does the district of residence and the contracted agency(s) communicate with one another regarding how the agreed upon services are being fulfilled?	YES	a. Written information that assures that the district of residence and the contracted agency(s) communicate with one another regarding how the agreed upon services are being fulfilled	Self-Review, Desk Review and Onsite (Tier 2 - Tier 4): Evidence of one communication, for example: • Communication plan • Meeting minutes • Emails			
	enforcement		NO	Any of the criteria not met				
	of rules OAC 3301-24-		NA	If not contracting for preschool special education supervisory services				
	06 Professional development ORC 3319.223 Ohio teacher residency program ORC 5104.29 Step up to quality program	b. When contracting for special education and/or related service does the district of residence and the contracted agency(s) communicate with one another regarding how the required responsibilities for preschool special education supervisory services are fulfilled?	NA	b. Written information that assures that the district of residence and the contracted agency(s) communicate with one another regarding how the required responsibilities for preschool special education supervisory services are fulfilled Any of the criteria not met If not contracting for preschool special education supervisory services	Self-Review, Desk Review and Onsite (Tier 2 - Tier 4): Evidence of one communication, for example:			

	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT									
Item Number	Regulation	PS IDEA Monitoring Question	Compliant	Criteria {Can answer yes to this? if}	Potential Source(s) of Documentation/Evidence That Show Criteria Have Been Met					
	09 (H)(5) Personnel qualifications and personnel development OAC 3301-51- 09 (D) Placements OAC 3301-51- 09 (H)(1)	a. When contracting for special education and/or related services, does the district of residence assure that the service providers are appropriately and adequately prepared and trained to provide special education and related services to preschool children with disabilities? b. When contracting for special education and/or related services, does the district of residence assure that the service providers who will be attending IEP team meetings, and/or serving as the district's representative are adequately prepared and trained regarding the provision of FAPE, least restrictive environment and making placement decisions based upon the district's continuum of options?	NO YES	Any of the criteria not met b. Contracted agency(s) staff who will be attending IEP team meetings, and/or serving as the district's representative are adequately prepared and trained regarding the provision of FAPE, least restrictive environment and making placement decisions based upon the district's continuum of options. Any of the criteria not met	Self-Review, Desk Review and Onsite (Tier 2-4): Evidence from one source that communicates the district's requirement to the contracted agency, for example: • Purchased services agreement/contract • Written assurances from contracted agency(s) • Communication plan • Interagency Agreement Self-Review and Desk Review (Tier 2 and Tier 3):					
					from one professional development/training provided					

		DELIVERY OF SE	RVICES/LE	AST RESTRICTIVE ENVIRON	MENT
Item Number	Regulation	PS IDEA Monitoring Question	Compliant	Criteria {Can answer yes to this? if}	Potential Source(s) of Documentation/Evidence That Show Criteria Have Been Met
5	OAC 3301-51-11 (J)(3)-(5) Delivery of services/least restrictive environment OAC 3301-51- 11 (J)(5)(b) Delivery of services/least restrictive environment OAC 3301-51- 09 (G)(3)(b) Role of preschool and school-age service providers	a. When contracting for special education and/or related services does the district of residence assure that the contracted agency(s) has the district's list of available preschool educational options located in the community to inform the IEP team's discussions and decisions regarding the child's placement?	YES	a. Written information that assures that the district's list is used to inform the IEP team's discussions and decisions on placement	Self-Review, Desk Review and Onsite (Tier 2-Tier 4) Documentation that district's list was shared with the contracted agency And Documentation for at least one child that the contracted agency used the district's list during an IEP team meeting, for example: • Optional Least Restrictive Environment placement form for 1 child • Document in Prior Written Notice (PR-01) for 1 child • Parent Acknowledgement (signed paper) that the list was made available and discussed for 1 child
	OAC 3301-51- 11(J)(8)(b) – supervisory services OAC 3301-51- 11 (K) Transportation of children with disabilities OAC 3301-83- 17 (A)(6) Authorized Passengers	b. When contracting for special education and/or related services does the district of residence assure that the contracted agency(s) staff acting as the district representative is considering the first option on the least restrictive environment continuum to begin the placement decision-making process with the parent?	NO YES	b. Written information that assures the contracted agency(s) staff acting as the district representative is considering the first option on the least restrictive environment continuum to begin the placement decision- making process with the parent	Self-Review and Desk Review (Tier 2 – Tier 3) Evidence to indicate that the first option on the LRE continuum was considered, for example: • Optional Least Restrictive Environment placement form for 1 child, or • Document in Prior Written Notice (PR-01) for 1 child and • IEP Onsite Review (Tier 4): No additional evidence required. The individual student records written/implemented by the contracted agency on the district's behalf will be selected for record review satisfy this item.
			NO	Any of the criteria not met	

		DELIVERY OF SE	RVICES/LE	AST RESTRICTIVE ENVIRON	MENT
Item Number	Regulation	PS IDEA Monitoring Question	Compliant	Critoria	Potential Source(s) of Documentation/Evidence That Show Criteria Have Been Met
		c. When contracting for special education and/or related services does the district of residence assure that the contracted agency(s) ensures that the early childhood intervention specialist and/or related service personnel are supporting the lead teacher in the general education classroom, when required?	NO NA	c. Written information that assures the early childhood intervention specialist and/or related service personnel are supporting the lead teacher in the general education classroom, when required Any of the criteria not met If not contracting for early childhood intervention specialist and/or related service	Self-Review, Desk Review, and Onsite (Tier 2 – Tier 4) Evidence from one source that communicates the district's requirement to the contracted agency, for example: • Purchased services agreement/contract • Written assurances from contracted agency(s) • Communication plan • Meeting minutes • Emails • And evidence from IEPs Onsite Review (Tier 4): No additional evidence required. The individual student records written /implemented by the contracted agency on the district's behalf will be selected for record review satisfy this item.
		d. When contracting for special education and/or related services does the district of residence assure that the contracted agency(s) is making available and documenting the number of required hours of general education instruction and special education based upon the child's setting, which was determined by the IEP team?	NO NA	d. Written information that assures the contracted agency(s) is making available and documenting the number of required hours of general education instruction and special education based upon the child's setting, which was determined by the IEP team Any of the criteria not met If district is running the IEP team meeting and documenting the number of required hours	Self-Review and Desk Review (Tier 2 and Tier 3): Evidence from one source to indicate that required number of hours are being provided, for example: • Purchased services agreement/contract • Written assurances from contracted agency(s) • Communication plan • Meeting minutes • Emails • IEP • PR-01 Onsite Review (Tier 4): No additional evidence required. The individual student records written/implemented by the contracted agency on the district's behalf will be selected for record review satisfy this item.

		DELIVERY OF SE	RVICES/LE	AST RESTRICTIVE ENVIRON	MENT
Item Number	Regulation	PS IDEA Monitoring Question	Compliant	Criteria {Can answer yes to this? if}	Potential Source(s) of Documentation/Evidence That Show Criteria Have Been Met
		e. When contracting for special education and/or related services does the district of residence assure that the contracted agency(s) staff acting as the district representative during the IEP team meeting determines if transportation is necessary so that the preschool child with a disability can access a free appropriate public education, at no cost to the parent?	NO NA	e. Written information that assures that the contracted agency(s) staff acting as the district representative during the IEP team meeting determines if transportation is necessary so that the preschool child with a disability can access a free appropriate public education, at no cost to the parent Any of the criteria not met If district is not contracting for district representative services	Self-Review, Desk Review and Onsite (Tier 2 – Tier 4) Evidence from one source that communicates the district's requirement to the contracted agency, for example: • Purchased services agreement/contract • Written assurances from contracted agency(s) • Communication plan • Meeting minutes • Emails Self-Review and Desk Review (Tier 2 and Tier 3): Evidence from one source to indicate that transportation was provided, if necessary, for example: • PR-01 • Section 8 of the IEP Onsite Review (Tier 4):
					No additional evidence required. The individual student records selected for record review will also be reviewed to satisfy this item.
	Child Outcomes Policy ORC 3301.0714 Guidelines for statewide education management information system OAC 3301-51- 03 (D)(1) Data reporting OAC 3301-14- 01, operation of education management	When contracting for special education and/or related services, does the district of residence assure that preschool special education data for Indicators 6, 7 and 12 are accurately entered into EMIS?	YES	 a. The district determines with the contracted agency(s): • Who is responsible for reporting Indicators 6, 7 and 12 data to the district, • What Indicators 6, 7 and 12 data are to be reported to the district, • When Indicators 6, 7 and 12 data are to be reported to the district and • How the Indicators 6, 7 and 12 data are to be reported to the district and • How the Indicators 6, 7 and 12 data are to be reported to the district 	 Purchased services agreement/contract
	information system		NO	Any of the criteria not met	

	CHILD OUTCOMES								
Item Number	Regulation	PS IDEA Monitoring Question	Compliant	Criteria {Can answer yes to this? if}	Potential Source(s) of Documentation/Evidence That Show Criteria Have Been Met				
	Outcomes Policy ORC 3301.0714 Guidelines for statewide education	When contracting for special education and/or related services does the district of residence assure that responsibilities regarding the Child Outcomes Summary process are carried out?	YES	a. Written information that assures: • Contracted agency staff will be trained in the COS process • Data reported by contracted agency staff on the summary form will be checked for accuracy and quality Any of the criteria not met	Ferview and Desk Review (Tier 2 and Tier 3): Evidence from one source that communicates the district's requirement to the contracted agency, for example: Purchased services agreement/contract Written assurances from contracted agency(s) Communication plan Meeting minutes Emails And Evidence from any source(s) that indicates that contracted agency staff have been trained in the COS process, for example: Professional Development calendar/plan Staff's Professional Registry (OPR) information And Evidence from any source(s) that indicates that data reported by contracted agency staff on the summary form has been checked for accuracy and quality, for example: COS Quality Assurance Checklist Onsite (Tier 4): Evidence from one source that communicates the district's requirement to the contracted agency, for example: Purchased services agreement/contract Written assurances from contracted agency(s) Communication plan Meeting minutes Emails And				
					Required Evidence: Documentation that indicates the date of the last Child Outcome Summary (COS) training for contracted agency staff, who provided the training and a list of contracted agency staff that participated				
					And Completed Quality Assurance				
					Completed Quality Assurance Checklist for 1 contracted agency staff who completed the COS process				

	EVALUATION	ON TEAM REPORT (E	TR)/INDI\	/IDUALIZED EDUCATION F	PROGRAM (IEP)
Item Number	Regulation	PS IDEA Monitoring Question	Compliant	Criteria {Can answer yes to this? if}	Have Been Met
8	OAC 3301-51-03 (A) Child Find OAC 3301-51-06 (A)(2) Evaluations OAC 3301-51-07 (A)Individualized education program (IEP) OAC 3301-51-07 (B) Individualized education program (IEP). OAC 3301-51-01 (A) Applicability of requirements OAC 3301-51-09 (H) Personnel qualifications and personnel development	the: Child Find activities Evaluation process to determine whether a preschool child is a child with a disability Development of the preschool child's Individualized Education Program (IEP) Delivery of Services will be provided in the child's Least Restrictive	NO NA	 a. Written information that assures the contracted agency(s), when acting on behalf of the district of residence, is compliant with state and federal rules and regulations regarding the: Child Find activities Evaluation process to determine whether a preschool child is a child with a disability Development of the preschool child's Individualized Education Program (IEP) Delivery of Services will be provided in the child's Least Restrictive Environment (LRE) Any of the criteria not met If district writes all ETRs and IEPs 	Self-Review, Desk Review and Onsite (Tier 2 - Tier 4) Evidence from one source that communicates the district's requirement to the contracted agency, for example: • Purchased services agreement/contract • Written assurances from contracted agency(s) • Communication plan
		b. When contracting for special education and/or related services does the district of residence assure that ETRs and IEPs are reviewed for compliance and implemented as written?	NO	b. Written information that assures that ETRs and IEPs written by the contracted agency(s) are reviewed for compliance and implemented as written Any of the criteria not met If district writes all ETRs and IEPs	Self-Review, Desk Review and Onsite (Tier 2 – Tier 4) Evidence that communicates who will be responsible for reviewing ETRs and IEPs written by the contracted agency(s) for compliance and implemented as written, for example: • Purchased services agreement/contract • Written assurances from contracted agency(s) • Communication plan • Meeting minutes • Emails • Internal monitoring system schedule

	EVALUATI	ON TEAM REPORT (E	TR)/INDI\	/IDUALIZED EDUCATION F	PROGRAM (IEP)
Item Number	Regulation	PS IDEA Monitoring Question	Compliant	Criteria {Can answer yes to this? if}	Potential Source(s) of Documentation/Evidence That Show Criteria Have Been Met
					And Evidence of ETRs and IEPs written by the contracted agency(s) were reviewed by the district or contracted agency(s)
		c. When contracting for special education and/or related services does the district of residence assure that data from the review of ETRs and IEPs written and implemented by	YES	c. Written information that assures that data from the review of ETRs and IEPs written and implemented by the contracted agency(s) is used to make corrections and/or changes to policy or procedures. Any of the criteria not met	Self-Review, Desk Review and Onsite (Tier 2 – Tier 4) Evidence that communicates who will be responsible for using data from the review of ETRs and IEPs written and implemented by the contracted agency(s), for example: • Purchased services
	and implemented by the contracted agency(s) is used to make corrections and/or changes to policy or procedures?	NA	If district writes all ETRs and IEPs	agreement/contract Written assurances from contracted agency(s) Communication plan Meeting minutes Emails Internal monitoring system schedule And	
					Evidence that indicates that data was used, for example: • Policy/procedure/practices changes based on review of data • Meeting minutes reflecting data discussions
		d. When contracting for special education and/or related services does the district of residence assure that the contracted agency(s) agrees with the district in how ETR and IEP compliance is determined?	pecial education nd/or related prvices does the strict of residence ssure that the	the process in which ETR and IEP compliance is agreed upon between the district and contracted agency(s) Onsite (Tier 2 – Tie Evidence of the pro- ETR and IEP compliance is agreed upon, for ex- Purchased servi	Self-Review, Desk Review and Onsite (Tier 2 – Tier 4) Evidence of the process in which ETR and IEP compliance is agreed upon, for example: • Purchased services agreement/contract
			NO NA	Any of the criteria not met If district writes all ETRs and IEPs	 Written assurances from contracted agency(s) Communication plan Meeting minutes Emails Written process



	EVALUATI	ON TEAM REPORT (E	TR)/INDI\	/IDUALIZED EDUCATION F	PROGRAM (IEP)
Item Number	Regulation	PS IDEA Monitoring Question	Compliant	Criteria {Can answer yes to this? if}	Potential Source(s) of Documentation/Evidence That Show Criteria Have Been Met
Number	Regulation	e. When contracting for special education and/or related services does the district of residence assure that the contracted agency(s) ensures that their staff receive professional development on writing compliant ETRs and IEPs?	YES NO NA	e. Written information that assures the contracted	Self-Review and Desk Review (Tier 2 and Tier 3): Evidence that communicates the district's requirement to the contracted agency, for example: • Purchased services agreement/contract • Written assurances from contracted agency(s) • Communication plan • Meeting minutes • Emails And Evidence from any source(s) that indicates that contracted agency staff have been trained on writing compliant ETRs and IEPs, for example: • Training plan • Training sign-in sheet Onsite (Tier 4): Evidence that communicates the district's requirement to the contracted agency, for example:
					 Purchased services agreement/contract Written assurances from contracted agency(s) Communication plan Meeting minutes Emails And
					Required Evidence: Documentation of all professional development on ETRs and IEPs provided that school year. Documentation must include all of the following: • What was the training: Training title and training description is provided, • Who was trained: list of preschool staff attended training and



	EVALUATIO	ON TEAM REPORT (E	TR)/INDI\	/IDUALIZED EDUCATION F	PROGRAM (IEP)
Item Number	Regulation	DC IDEA		Criteria {Can answer yes to this? if}	Potential Source(s) of
		f. When contracting for special education and/or related	YES	f. Written information that assures the contracted agency(s) ensures that their	 When was the training: date the training was provided. Training plan Training calendar Training sign in sheet A copy of training materials from one preschool ETR training and/or from one preschool IEP training Self-Review, Desk Review and Onsite (Tier 2 - Tier 4) Evidence that communicates
		services does the district of residence assure that the contracted agency(s) ensures that their staff receive targeted professional development on writing compliant ETRs and IEPs based upon the results of a review of ETRs and IEPs and tailored to		staff receive targeted professional development on writing compliant ETRs and IEPs based upon the results of a review of ETRS and IEPs and tailored to meet the individual needs of staff 1. What data was analyzed? Identify data source (examples may be staff needs survey, Evaluation Team Reports (ETRs) and Individualized Education	the district's requirement to the contracted agency, for example: • Purchased services agreement/contract • Written assurances from contracted agency(s) • Communication plan • Meeting minutes • Emails And Evidence that contracted agency staff receive targeted professional development on
		meet the individual needs of staff?		Programs (IEPs) knowledge quiz, record review results from internal monitoring, Improvement Plan, Profile etc.) 2. What decision was made based on the analyzed data? Identify the support based on the data 3. What evidence is provided to show the support was given as planned? Provide evidence that the support (For example,	writing compliant ETRs and IEPs based upon the results of data: 1. Data Evidence: Meeting minutes of data discussions 2. Identify Targeted Support: Meeting minutes of data discussions and the outcome of targeted support necessary 3. Targeted support provided (For example, Professional development/training, coaching): • Training plan • Training calendar
			NO NA	Professional development/Training, coaching) was given Any of the criteria not met If district writes all ETRs and IEPs	 Training calendar Training sign in sheet Coaching meeting