<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Purpose, Focus, and Organization</th>
<th>Evidence and Elaboration</th>
<th>Conventions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 4     | The response is exemplary and reflects original writing throughout that directly addresses a clearly stated topic. It is focused on the audience and purpose of the task. The organizational structure creates clarity and completeness. The response includes most of the following:  
- a topic that is strongly maintained throughout,  
- little, if any, loosely related material,  
- a clearly evident organizational structure that includes a skillfully crafted introduction and conclusion, and  
- a logical progression of ideas that reflects a skillful use of transitional strategies to move from one idea to another. | The response is exemplary and includes thorough and convincing evidence that is directly related to the purpose of the task. It includes relevant evidence, facts, and details from all sources. Elaboration of evidence is focused, original writing and is clearly connected to the topic. The response includes most of the following:  
- strong evidence from all sources that is well integrated throughout, directly related to the task, and references the source(s),  
- clear connection between points and evidence,  
- effective use of a variety of relevant elaborative techniques (including but not limited to definitions and examples), and  
- use of precise academic and domain-specific vocabulary that is clearly appropriate for the task. | The response includes Conventions: |
| 3     | The response is adequate and reflects original writing that reasonable addresses a clearly stated topic. It is generally focused on the audience and purpose of the task. The organizational structure adequately reflects a sense of completeness. The response includes most of the following:  
- a topic that is evident throughout,  
- some loosely related material,  
- an adequate organizational structure that includes an introduction and a conclusion, and  
- a progression of ideas that includes basic transitional strategies to move from one idea to another. | The response is adequate and includes support or evidence that is related to the purpose of the task. It includes the use of evidence, facts, and details that are from all sources and generally connected to the topic. Elaboration of evidence is original writing but may be generalized. The response includes most of the following:  
- evidence from the sources that may not be specific but is generally integrated into the response and includes some reference to the source,  
- adequate connections between points and evidence,  
- adequate elaboration on the evidence included, and  
- academic and domain-specific vocabulary that is generally appropriate for the audience and purpose. | The response includes Conventions: |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Purpose, Focus, and Organization</th>
<th>Evidence and Elaboration</th>
<th>Conventions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>The response is limited and reflects some original writing that is related to the topic. It is partially focused on the audience and purpose of the task. The organizational structure is inconsistent. The response includes most of the following: • a topic that is limited, unclear, or insufficiently sustained, • some loosely related material, • an inconsistent organizational structure that has little or no evidence of an introduction or conclusion, and • an uneven progression of ideas with an inconsistent use of transitions.</td>
<td>The response is limited and includes uneven and cursory support and evidence related to the purpose of the task. There is an ineffective use of sources, facts, and details. Elaboration contains limited original writing. The response includes most of the following: • weakly integrated evidence from sources that may be erratic and may have some irrelevant references, • repetitive or ineffective use of elaborative techniques, and • limited or ineffective academic or domain-specific vocabulary.</td>
<td>The response demonstrates an adequate command of basic conventions. The response includes most of the following: • a few minor errors in usage, but no patterns of errors, • a variation of sentence structure, and • an adequate use of punctuation, capitalization, sentence formation, and spelling.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>The response is minimal and reflects little original writing that may be loosely related to the topic. It reflects little awareness of the audience or purpose of the task. There is a minimally constructed controlling idea with little or no obvious organizational structure. The response includes most of the following: • a topic that is minimal, confusing, or ambiguous, • loosely connected or unrelated material, • little or no evidence of an organizational structure, and • ideas that are minimally related to the topic with few transitions and little or no progression.</td>
<td>The response is minimal and includes little or no support or evidence related to the purpose of the task. There is little or no use of the sources and minimal inclusion of facts and details. The response includes most of the following: • minimal, erroneous, or irrelevant evidence or references from the source material, • elaboration that has no original text, is vague, lacks clarity or is confusing, and • minimal or inappropriate academic or domain-specific vocabulary.</td>
<td>The response demonstrates a partial command of basic conventions. The response includes most of the following: • various errors in usage, • simple sentence structures that do not vary, and • inconsistent use of correct punctuation, capitalization, sentence formation, and spelling that minimally impacts meaning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>The response is minimal and reflects little original writing that may be loosely related to the topic. It reflects little awareness of the audience or purpose of the task. There is a minimally constructed controlling idea with little or no obvious organizational structure. The response includes most of the following: • a topic that is minimal, confusing, or ambiguous, • loosely connected or unrelated material, • little or no evidence of an organizational structure, and • ideas that are minimally related to the topic with few transitions and little or no progression.</td>
<td>The response is minimal and includes little or no support or evidence related to the purpose of the task. There is little or no use of the sources and minimal inclusion of facts and details. The response includes most of the following: • minimal, erroneous, or irrelevant evidence or references from the source material, • elaboration that has no original text, is vague, lacks clarity or is confusing, and • minimal or inappropriate academic or domain-specific vocabulary.</td>
<td>The response demonstrates a lack of command of conventions with frequent and severe errors often obscuring meaning.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>