High-Quality Instructional Materials Rubric





K-12 Mathematics

July 2024





Department of Education & Workforce

Table of Contents

High-Quality Instructional Materials Rubric	3
Math Rubric Guidance Overview	3
Gateway 1: Alignment	5
Criterion 1.1: Alignment to Learning Standards	5
Criterion 1.2: Rigor	8
Criterion 1.3: Standards for Mathematical Practice	
Gateway 2: Instructional Support	17
Criterion 2.1: Assessment	
Criterion 2.2: Student Supports	19
Criterion 2.3: Teacher Supports	



High-Quality Instructional Materials Rubric

K-12 MATHEMATICS

TITLE:

_____ REVIEWER NAME: ______

DATE:

Math Rubric Overview

Instructional materials selection is an important district decision, and conducting a thorough review of instructional materials at the local level is essential in meeting the needs of students within a school or district. This evaluation rubric is designed to offer an evaluation that districts can utilize to determine how well instructional materials align with Ohio's Learning Standards for Mathematics and other local criteria. Pursuant to ORC 3301.079 (B) (3) and 3313.60, it is the responsibility of Ohio's local boards of education to vet and approve curriculum and educational materials for use in the public schools within their district. Districts and schools should use their professional expertise to determine the suitability of any particular resources for use in their districts, schools or classrooms.

The evaluation rubric includes key considerations for high-quality instructional materials and outlines two Gateways for consideration when evaluating materials. Within each Gateway, Criterion and related Indicators are provided along with Guiding Questions and Look-Fors. Each indicator is evaluated as Does Not Meet Expectations, Partially Meets Expectations, or Meets Expectations using a 0-1-2 scale score.

Ohio's rubric is composed of 6 criteria: Alignment to Learning Standards, Rigor, Standards for Mathematical Practice, Assessment, Student Supports, and Teacher Supports. These criteria feature review indicators, each with guiding questions and evidence guidance to help focus the review process.

- *Criterion:* Criterion refers to a compilation of indicators within a specific focus area in mathematics that discern markers of high-quality instructional materials. Each criterion area offers a concise description at the beginning of its section, and the scoring of each criterion is determined by the total indicators.
- Indicators: Indicators denote the high-quality components of instructional materials that align with the Ohio Learning Standards for Mathematics.
- *Guiding Questions:* Guiding Questions accompany each indicator and are intended to assist reviewers in understanding the evidence required for each indicator.
- Look For Evidence of How the Materials: The Look-Fors offer suggestions and considerations for what reviewers may observe in the instructional materials to support the evaluation of that indicator. These suggestions are not exhaustive, as demonstrations for any indicator can vary between materials.
- Scoring: Scores are given to most indicators within each criterion to determine how well materials meet the expectations. Each scored indicator is evaluated as Not Meeting Expectations, Partially Meeting Expectations, or Meeting Expectations using a 0-1-2 scale score. Some indicators are marked as "Narrative Evidence" and will not receive a numeric score.



The rubric is designed to allow reviewers to determine a threshold for quality for each Gateway. If instructional materials do not meet the thresholds for Meets Expectations or Partially Meets Expectations for a Gateway, reviewers are prompted to not move forward with reviewing the other Gateways.

- Gateway 1: Alignment (advance to Gateway 2 only if the instructional materials Meet or Partially Meet Expectations for Gateway 1)
- Gateway 2: Instructional Support

Review Summary	Criteria	Score	Rating
	1.1 Alignment to Learning Standards	_/4	
Alignment Critoria	1.2 Rigor	_/8	
Augminent Criteria	1.3 Standards for Mathematical Practice	_/16	
	Gateway 1 Sub-Total	_/28	
	2.1 Assessment	_/6	
Instructional Supports Critoria	2.2 Student Supports	_/12	
instructional supports criteria	2.3 Teacher Supports	_/12	
	Gateway 2 Sub-Total	_/30	
Overall I	Total Score	Final Rating	
Meets Expectations Materials meet expectation			
Partially Meets Expectations Materials meet of	_/58		
Does Not Meet Expectations Any gateway that			



Gateway 1: Alignment

Gateway 1 looks at alignment with the Ohio Learning Standards and additional subject-specific indicators of quality.

CRITERION 1.1: ALIGNMENT TO LEARNING STANDARDS Materials address grade-level or course content and give all students extensive work with grade/course problems to meet the full intent of Ohio's Learning Standards.						
Ind	icators		Guiding Questions	Look for Evidence of How the Materials:	Evidence	
1.1a. Materials allow all students to spend most of their time on Ohio's grade level or course content and work at levels of complexity appropriate to meet the full intent of the standards.		ll st of their evel or ork at ne full s.	 Are all grade-level or course content standards present? If not, which ones are not present? Have all aspects or parts of the standards been addressed? Do the materials present levels of complexity appropriate to meet the full intent of the standards? 	 Engage students in grade level or course content. Address all parts and aspects of the standards. Provide opportunities for complex thinking and reasoning by the student. 		
Scoring						
0 1	2	2				



Material	CRITERION 1.1: ALIGNMENT TO LEARNING STANDARDS Materials address grade-level or course content and give all students extensive work with grade/course problems to meet the full intent of Ohio's Learning Standards.						
	Indicators		Guiding Questions	Look for Evidence of How the Materials:	Evidence		
1.1b. Materials demonstrate mathematical coherence by explicitly recognizing and expanding upon prior knowledge from earlier grades or courses, fostering meaningful connections both within and across different levels of learning.		nstrate ence by g and r ier grades ons both ferent	 Are the materials mathematically coherent? Do the materials make meaningful connections to prior learning within a grade or course and across the series? Do the materials connect multiple standards and clusters in meaningful ways? 	 Support teachers in understanding the horizontal and vertical alignment guiding the development of concepts. Link and apply multiple concepts within and across grades or courses. Meet the full depth of the standards in a systematic way. Develop the full depth of the current grade or course concepts using 			
Scoring 0 1 2		2		 concepts from the previous grade or course. Provide opportunities for students to build vertical content knowledge by accessing prior knowledge and understanding of concept progression. Provide opportunities for students to explore relationships and patterns within and across concepts. 			



CRITERION 1.1: ALIGNMENT TO LEARNING STANDARDS Materials address grade-level or course content and give all students extensive work with grade/course problems to meet the full intent of Ohio's Learning Standards.					
Indicators	Guiding Questions	Look for Evidence of How the Materials:	Evidence		
1.1c. Materials facilitate clear recognition of Ohio's Learning Standards while effectively aligning with and supporting Ohio's Learning Progressions in a coherent manner.	• Do the materials allow for easy identification of Ohio's Learning Standards and coherently support Ohio's Learning Progressions?	 Identify the Ohio Learning Standards explicitly. 			
Scoring					
Narrative Evidence					
Criterio	on 1.1 Summary	Criterion Score	Criterion Rating		
Meets Ex Partially Mee Does Not Mee	pectations (4 pts) ts Expectations (3 pts) t Expectations (< 3 pts)				



CRITERION 1.2: RIGOR

Materials reflect the balance in the Ohio Learning Standards and help students meet the standards' rigorous expectations by giving appropriate attention to: developing students' conceptual understanding; procedural skill and fluency; and engaging applications.

Indicators			Guiding Questions	Look for Evidence of How the Materials:	Evidence
1.2a. Materials are designed so that students and teachers spend a majority of time working with engaging applications of mathematics.		esigned so achers ime ng ematics.	 Do the materials develop students' ability to utilize mathematical concepts and skills in meaningful and engaging applications throughout the grade levels or courses? Do the materials require greater 	 Provide opportunities for students in small groups or independently to demonstrate multiple routine and non-routine applications throughout each grade or course. Integrate knowledge and skills for students to successfully problem 	
0	1	2	 bothe materials require greater levels of application complexity as the grade level or course content series progresses? Do the materials throughout the series enable students to solve non- routine problems and apply mathematics to contextual situations? 	 solve and use mathematics efficiently in real-world problems, including the analysis of data. Provide students opportunities to apply mathematics knowledge and skills to new and varied situations by integrating contextualized problems throughout the grade or course. Provide opportunities for students to use multiple representations as well as written or oral explanations to support student's work in application problems. 	



CRITERION 1.2: RIGOR

Materials reflect the balance in the Ohio Learning Standards and help students meet the standards' rigorous expectations by giving appropriate attention to: developing students' conceptual understanding; procedural skill and fluency; and engaging applications.

Indicators			Guiding Questions	Look for Evidence of How the Materials:	Evidence
1.2b. Materials develop conceptual understanding of key mathematical concepts, especially where it is called for in specific content standards or cluster headings. Scoring		op nding of oncepts, called for andards	 Do the materials provide opportunities for students to develop conceptual understanding throughout the grade levels or courses? Do the materials specifically show evidence illustrating intentional development of conceptual 	• Provide opportunities for students to independently develop and demonstrate conceptual understanding throughout each grade or course.	
0	1	2	 understanding? Do the materials provide opportunities for students to independently demonstrate conceptual understanding? 		
1.2c. Materials provide intentional opportunities for students to develop procedural skills and fluencies, especially where it is called for in specific grade levels/courses, content standards, or clusters.			 Do the materials provide opportunities for students to develop procedural skills and fluency throughout the grade levels or courses? Do the materials specifically show evidence illustrating intentional development of procedural skills and fluency? 	 Incorporate guidance and support for teachers for conducting purposeful fluency practice at appropriate times as students progress in conceptual understanding. Include problems and tasks at different levels of complexity where all students have the opportunity to 	
Scoring			 Do the materials provide 	fluently use algorithms.	
0	1 2		independently demonstrate procedural skills and fluency?	to independently demonstrate procedural skill and fluency.	



CRITERION 1.2: RIGOR Materials reflect the balance in the Ohio Learning Standards and help students meet the standards' rigorous expectations by giving appropriate attention to: developing students' conceptual understanding; procedural skill and fluency; and engaging applications. Look for Evidence of How the Indicators **Guiding Questions Evidence** Materials: 1.2d. The three aspects of rigor • Do the materials maintain the • Use conceptual understanding to (conceptual understanding, balance of the three aspects of rigor develop procedural skill and fluency. procedural skill fluency, and throughout grade levels or courses? • Work to maintain the balance of the engaging applications) are not three aspects or rigor. always treated together and • Provide teachers with guidance on are not always treated anticipating student responses and separately. There is a balance strategies. of the three aspects of rigor within the grade or course. Scoring 1 2 0 **Criterion 1.2 Summary Criterion Score Criterion Rating** Meets Expectations (7-8 pts) Partially Meets Expectations (5-6 pts) Does Not Meet Expectations (< 5 pts)



Materia	ls meaningf	ันlly conne the MP:	CRITERION 1.3: STANDARD ect Ohio's Learning Standards for Mather s are clearly identified and intentionally	OS FOR MATHEMATICAL PRACTI natical Content and Standards for Mathe being developed throughout the grade	CE ematical Practice (MPs), while ensuring e or course level.
	Indicators	5	Guiding Questions	Look for Evidence of How the Materials:	Evidence
1.3a. Mat intention <i>MP1: Mak</i> and perso	1.3a. Materials support the intentional development of <i>MP1: Make sense of problems and persevere in solving them.</i>		• Across the grade level or course, are the majority of tasks/activities designed such that they provide an opportunity for students to make sense of problems and persevere in solving them?	 Ask students to analyze and make sense of problems while actively engaging in solving them. Provide opportunities for students to determine a strategy, monitor their progress, reflect, and revise. 	
0	1	2	 Do the materials provide guidance to teachers to develop students' skills identified in MP1? 		
1.3b. Mat intention MP2: Rea quantitat	terials suppo nal developm son abstract tively.	ort the nent of <i>tly and</i>	• Across the grade level or course, are the majority of tasks designed such that they provide an opportunity for students to reason abstractly and	 Attend to the meaning of quantities, such as considering units involved in a problem. Ask students to explain or discuss 	
	Scoring		quantitatively?Do the materials provide guidance	what the number(s) or symbols represent.	
0	1	2	to teachers to develop students' skills identified in MP2?	 Allow for connections between problem scenarios and mathematical representations. 	



Materia	CRITERION 1.3: STANDARDS FOR MATHEMATICAL PRACTICE Materials meaningfully connect Ohio's Learning Standards for Mathematical Content and Standards for Mathematical Practice (MPs), while ensurin the MPs are clearly identified and intentionally being developed throughout the grade level or course. Indicators Guiding Questions							
1.3c. Materials support the intentional development of <i>MP3: Construct viable</i> <i>arguments and critique the</i> <i>reasoning of others.</i>		ort the nent of e ue the	 Across the grade level or course, are there opportunities for students to routinely construct viable arguments and critique the reasoning of others? 	 Provide opportunities to make mathematical conjectures and arguments. Provide opportunities for students to articulate and validate their 				
0	Scoring 1	2	• Do the materials provide guidance to teachers to develop students' skills identified in MP3?	 strategies through oral of written explanations, using concrete models, drawings, actions, or quantities. Provide opportunities for listening to or reading the arguments of others, deciding whether they make sense, and asking useful questions to clarify or improve the arguments. Provide opportunities for error analysis of student work, solutions, or arguments. 				





Material	CRITERION 1.3: STANDARDS FOR MATHEMATICAL PRACTICE Materials meaningfully connect Ohio's Learning Standards for Mathematical Content and Standards for Mathematical Practice (MPs), while ensuring the MPs are clearly identified and intentionally being developed throughout the grade level or course.						
	Indicators	5	Guiding Questions	Look for Evidence of How the Materials:	Evidence		
1.3d. Materials support the intentional development of <i>MP4: Model with mathematics.</i>		ort the nent of <i>hematics.</i>	• Across the grade level or course, are the tasks/activities designed such that they provide an opportunity for students to learn how to model with	• Encourage students to put the tasks/activities in their own language and identify important information.			
0	Scoring 1	2	 mathematics in several different ways? Do the materials provide guidance to teachers to develop students' skills identified in MP4? 	 Allow students to make connections to everyday situations using the math that they know. Provide opportunities for students to model situations with appropriate representations, use appropriate strategies, (part-part-whole, bar model, place value chart, area model, graph, or chart, etc.) and describe how it relates to the problem situation. Encourages students to check whether an answer makes sense and to change the model when necessary. 			



Materials r	meaningf	ully conne the MPs	CRITERION 1.3: STANDARD oct Ohio's Learning Standards for Mathem is are clearly identified and intentionally	S FOR MATHEMATICAL PRACTIOn natical Content and Standards for Mather being developed throughout the grade	CE ematical Practice (MPs), while ensuring level or course.	
lı	ndicators	;	Guiding Questions	Look for Evidence of How the Materials:	Evidence	
1.3e. Materials support the intentional development of <i>MP5: Use appropriate tools strategically.</i>		rt the nent of <i>tools</i>	• Across the grade level or course, are the tasks designed to provide an opportunity for students to learn how to use and when to choose appropriate tools strategically?	 Encourage students to choose appropriate tools (including technology) or strategies to explore and deepen their mathematical understanding and knowledge 		
:	Scoring		 Do the materials provide guidance to toochors to develop students' 	 Provide opportunities for students to recognize both the insight to be 		
0 1	_	2	skills identified in MP5?	gained from different tools or strategies and their limitations.		
1.3f Materials support the intentional development of <i>MP6: Attend to precision.</i>		t the nent of <i>on.</i>	• Across the grade level or course, are the tasks/activities designed to require students to attend to precision?	 Ask students to use correct symbols, appropriate labels, and units. Develop students' ability to calculate efficiently and accurately, 		
Scoring			 Do the materials provide guidance to teachers to develop students' 	while also evaluating the reasonableness of their answers and		
0 1 2		2	skills identified in MP6?	 thinking. Ensure that grade-level or course- level appropriate mathematical definitions and vocabulary are precise and accurate. 		



Materia	ls meaning	fully conne the MPs	CRITERION 1.3: STANDA oct Ohio's Learning Standards for Math s are clearly identified and intentiona	RDS FOR MATHEMATICAL PRACTION hematical Content and Standards for Mathe ally being developed throughout the grade	CE ematical Practice (MPs), while ensuring e or course level.
	Indicator	s	Guiding Questions	Look for Evidence of How the Materials:	Evidence
1.3g. Mat intention <i>MP7: Lood</i> <i>structure</i>	1.3g. Materials support the intentional development of <i>MP7: Look for and make use of structure.</i>		• Across the grade level or course, are the tasks/activities designed to provide students the opportunity to look for and make	 Provide opportunities for students to look for patterns or structures to make generalizations and solve problems. Allow students to analyze the problem and evaluate the methometical 	
	Scoring		Do the materials provide	structure.	
0	1	2	guidance to teachers to develop students' skills identified in MP7?	 Provide opportunities to decompose complex structures into simpler things. Provide opportunities to look for more than one approach to solve problems. 	
1.3h. Mat intention MP8: Loon regularity reasoning	1.3h. Materials support the intentional development of <i>MP8: Look for and express</i> <i>regularity in repeated</i> <i>reasoning.</i>		• Across the grade level or course, are the tasks/activities designed to provide students the opportunity to look for and express regularity in repeated	 Allow students to notice repeated reasoning in calculations to understand algorithms and make generalizations. Allow students to create, describe, or explain a general formula, process, 	
	Scoring		Do the materials provide	method, algorithm, model, etc.	
0	1	2	students' skills identified in MP8?		
	Criterion 1.3 Summary			Criterion Score	Criterion Rating
Meets Expectations (13-16 pts) Partially Meets Expectations (10-12 pts) Does Not Meet Expectations (< 10 pts)			tations (13-16 pts) xpectations (10-12 pts) Expectations (< 10 pts)		



Gateway 1 Summary	Points Scored
Criterion 1.1: Alignment to Learning Standards	_/4
Criterion 1.2: Rigor	_/8
Criterion 1.3: Standards for Mathematical Practice	_/16
Gateway 1 Rating Levels	Gateway 1 Total Points
Meets Expectations (23-28 pts) Partially Meets Expectations (17-22 pts) Does Not Meet Expectations (< 17 pts)	_/28
Gateway 1 Comments:	



Gateway 2: Instructional Support

Gateway 2 examines additional indicators of quality that demonstrate the usability of the materials to support implementation. This includes assessment, student supports and teacher supports. Materials must Meet or Partially Meet Expectations for Gateway 1 to be reviewed for Gateway 2.

The	CRITERION 2.1: ASSESSMENT The program includes a system of assessments identifying how materials provide tools, guidance, and support for Ohio teachers to collect, interpret, and act on data about student progress toward the standards.				
	Indicators	s	Guiding Questions	Look for Evidence of How the Materials:	Evidence
2.1a. Mate system of multiple of througho or series t students' sufficient teachers f student p suggestio	erials offer assessmen opportuniti ut the grad co determir learning an guidance t for interpre- performanc ons for follo Scoring	a diverse nt with ies e, course, ne nd co eting e and w-up.	 Does the assessment system provide multiple opportunities throughout the grade, course, or series to determine students' learning and sufficient guidance to teachers for interpreting student performance and suggestions for follow-up? Is guidance consistently provided to teachers on how to interpret student understandings? 	 Provide resources (e.g., sample student responses, rubrics, scoring guidelines, and open-ended feedback) for scoring purposes. Provide guidance to teachers to interpret student understanding. Provide teachers guidance to respond to student needs elicited by the assessment. Provide opportunities for students to show learning through annotated drawings, classroom observations, and responses. 	
0	1	2		oral responses, presentations, use of glossaries and home language, performance assessments, and portfolios.	



	CRITERION 2.1: ASSESSMENT					
The	program i	ncludes a s	ystem of assessments identifying how r interpret, and act on data abou	materials provide tools, guidance, and s It student progress toward the standard	upport for Ohio teachers to collect, s.	
	Indicator	S	Guiding Questions	Look for Evidence of How the Materials:	Evidence	
2.1b. Assessments include opportunities for students to demonstrate the full intent of grade-level or course-level standards and standards for mathematical practices across the series.		nclude udents to l intent of e-level dards for ices	 Do the assessments include opportunities for students to demonstrate the full intent of grade- level or course-level standards and practices across the series? Do the assessments include a variety of modalities (e.g., writing, illustrating, demonstrating, 	 Provide opportunities for different types of modalities. Provide opportunities for different types of items used for student assessments and how they are used to measure student performance. 		
Scoring			modeling, oral presentations, and			
0	1	2	 performance tasks) and suggestions for how they can be used? Is there a good balance of complexity in assessment tasks? 			
2.1c. Asse accommo students knowledg changing assessme	2.1c. Assessments offer accommodations that allow students to demonstrate their knowledge and skills without changing the content of the assessment.		• Do materials incorporate accommodations in assessments that maintain the assessment's content while enabling students to demonstrate their knowledge and skills effectively?	 Describe where and how accommodations are offered that ensure all students can access the assessment without changing the content of the assessment. Provide guidance for teachers to accommodate students, including those in special populations, without 		
Scoring			• Is guidance provided for teachers to use the accommodations?			
0	1	2		expectations or the content of the assessment.		
Criterion 2.1 Summary		Criterion Score	Criterion Rating			
	Meets Expectations (5-6 pts) Partially Meets Expectations (4 pts) Does Not Meet Expectations (< 4 pts)					



content.						
Indicators	Guiding Questions	Look for Evidence of How the Materials:	Evidence			
2.2a. Materials provide strategies and support to help students consistently and actively engage in learning at course level or grade level.	 Do materials provide differentiation supports to sufficiently engage students in grade-level/course-level mathematics? Do the materials provide comprehensive guidance on 	 Provide specific strategies and supports for differentiating instruction. Provide a comprehensive strategic support system for students to maintain consistent and active 				
Scoring	strategies and accommodations for	involvement in their learning.				
0 1 2	alverse student needs?					
2.2b. Materials provide both extensions and opportunities for students to engage with grade-level or course-level content at higher levels of complexity.	• Do materials provide intentional extensions and structured opportunities enabling students to interact with course or grade-level content at higher levels of complexity?	• Suggest strategies and supports for students' exploration of grade-level or course-level content at a higher level of complexity, not students completing additional tasks, but as an extension of their learning.				
Scoring		Provide opportunities for students to develop and apply higher-level this line				
0 1 2		uninking.				



	Content.					
Indicators			Guiding Questions	Look for Evidence of How the Materials:	Evidence	
2.2c. Materials provide varied approaches to learning tasks over time and variety in how students are expected to 		le varied ng tasks in how d to arning r students hing.	• Do the materials provide multi- modal opportunities for students to question, investigate, sense-make, and problem-solve using a variety of formats and methods while providing a variety of approaches for students to demonstrate and monitor their learning?	 Provide opportunities for students to share their thinking, demonstrate changes in their thinking over time, and apply their understanding in new contexts. Leverage the use of a variety of formats and methods over time to deepen student understanding and ability to explain and apply mathematical concepts. Provide opportunities for students to monitor and deepen their own learning, using ongoing review, either oral or written feedback, practice, and self-reflection. 		
2.2d. Materials provide opportunities for teachers to use a variety of grouping strategies. Scoring		de chers to bing	 Do the materials provide varied and adaptable grouping structures that address different learning needs and objectives? Do the materials provide guidance for the teacher on how and when to use specific grouping strategies? 	 Describe for the teacher how and where to group students in a variety of grouping formats Provide for meaningful interaction among students, such as in large or small groups, pairs, etc. 		
0	1	2				



	content.					
Indicators			Guiding Questions	Look for Evidence of How the Materials:	Evidence	
2.2e. Materials provide strategies and supports for students who read, write, and/or speak in a language other than English to regularly participate in grade-level learning. Scoring		le rts for rrite, guage regularly evel	 Do materials provide appropriate support and accommodations for English Learner (EL) students to actively participate in learning grade-level or course-level mathematics? Do the materials for teachers provide guidance for instructional practices that foster and empower 	 Provide strategies and opportunities for speaking, listening, reading, and writing to develop practices and knowledge of the subject matter, including scaffolding up to and within grade-level or course-level work. Provide teacher guidance to support EL students. 		
0	1	2	and exercise agency and autonomy in their learning?	• Provide guidance that helps teachers identify and follow-up on whether the student has challenges in content vs. language acquisition, as well as identify when students may have misconceptions with content vs. language demand, to ensure the two are not conflated.		
2.2f. Materials provide a balance of images or information about people, representing various demographic and physical characteristics to positively portray individuals from diverse communities and enable students to see themselves in materials.		e a eople, ysical sitively om and ee als.	 Do the materials provide a balance of images or information about people, representing various genders, races, ethnicities, demographic and physical characteristics? Do the materials avoid stereotypes? Do the materials provide representations that show students they can succeed in the subject? 	• Provide positive, diverse, and balanced depictions of individuals of different genders, races, ethnicities, and other physical characteristics engaging in and able to do the work.		
	Scoring					
Nar	rrative Evide	ence				



content.					
Indicators	Guiding Questions	Look for Evidence of How the Materials:	Evidence		
2.2g. Materials provide teachers with guidance and strategies that embrace and integrate students' home languages, as well as their cultural and social backgrounds, to facilitate meaningful learning. Scoring	 Do the materials provide strategies for utilizing students' home language in context with the materials? Do the materials provide guidance for teachers to effectively incorporate and utilize students' cultural and social backgrounds in the classroom and learning process? 	 Provide suggestions and strategies for how to allow the use of the home language to support students in learning mathematics. Present multilingualism as an asset in reading and learning mathematics. Make connections to students' linguistic and cultural backgrounds to facilitate learning. Provide opportunities for students 			
Narrative Evidence		to feel acknowledged, e.g., asked to create personal problems based on customs of their own home culture.			
2.2h. Materials provide supports for different reading levels to ensure accessibility for students.	• Do the materials incorporate specific strategies to assist students reading at or below grade level in engaging with grade or course-level mathematics?	 Provide tasks with multiple entry points. Use a variety of representations to engage students with grade-level or course level content. 			
Scoring	 Do the materials scaffold vocabulary 	 Include pre-reading activities that utilize visuals to establish necessary background knowledge on new or unfamiliar themes or topics in an appropriate manner. 			
Narrative Evidence	 or concepts to support readers at or below grade or course level? Do the materials use a variety of representations to engage students with grade or course-level content? 				



CRITERION 2.2: STUDENT SUPPORTS The program includes materials designed for each student's regular and active participation in Ohio's grade level, grade band, and course content.				
Indicators	Guiding Questions	Look for Evidence of How the Materials:	Evidence	
2.2i. The visual design (whether in print or digital) supports students in engaging thoughtfully with the subject and is neither distracting nor chaotic. Scoring	• Do the materials present visual design elements, whether in print or digital format, that facilitate thoughtful student engagement with the subject matter by avoiding distractions or chaotic layouts?	 Provide images, graphics, and models that support student learning and engagement. Provide images, graphics, and models that clearly communicate information or support student understanding of topics, texts, or concepts. Provide organizational features 		
Narrative Evidence		(e.g., Table of Contents, glossary, index, internal references, table headers, captions, etc.) clearly and accurately.		



CRITERION 2.2: STUDENT SUPPORTS The program includes materials designed for each student's regular and active participation in Ohio's grade level, grade band, and course content.				
Indicators	Guiding Questions	Look for Evidence of How the Materials:	Evidence	
2.2j. Materials integrate digital technology such as interactive tools, virtual manipulatives, objects, and/or dynamic software in ways that engage students in the grade or course-level, when applicable.	 Do the materials integrate interactive tools along with dynamic software in ways that support student engagement in mathematics? Do included digital tools support student engagement in mathematics? Are digital materials compatible with the Learning Management 	 Include digital technology and interactive resources, such as data collection tools, simulations, and modeling tools, made available to students. Include digital technology and interactive tools that support 		
Scoring		student engagement in mathematics and how these digital		
Narrative Evidence	With the Learning Management System (LMS) currently in use?	 materials can be customized for local use (i.e., either student or community interests). Provide accessibility that is compatible with district digital systems for lesson delivery, assessment, and communication from within the district LMS and meet all district privacy-data security requirements. Include videos, virtual manipulatives, glossaries, English captioning, illustrations, graphic tools, speech recognition, interactive tools, materials in the home language, games, and accessible format. 		



CRITERION 2.2: STUDENT SUPPORTS The program includes materials designed for each student's regular and active participation in Ohio's grade level, grade band, and course content.				
Indicators	Guiding Questions	Look for Evidence of How the Materials:	Evidence	
2.2k. Materials include or reference digital technology that provides opportunities for teachers and/or students to collaborate with each other, when applicable.	• Do the materials provide and leverage digital tools in ways that actively promote and facilitate collaborative interactions among teachers and/or students, where appropriate?	• Support collaboration between teacher to teacher, teacher to student, or student to student.		
Narrative Evidence				

The	CRITERION 2.2: STUDENT SUPPORTS The program includes materials designed for each student's regular and active participation in Ohio's grade level, grade band, and course content.					
	Indicator	S	Guiding Questions	Look for Evidence of How the Materials:	Evidence	
2.2l. Mani both virtu accurate the math they repr appropria written m	2.2l. Manipulatives, a variety of both virtual and physical, are accurate representations of the mathematics in the tasks they represent and, when appropriate, are connected to written methods.		• Are manipulatives, both virtual and physical, accurate representations of the mathematics in the tasks and appropriately connected to written methods?	 Encourage the routine use of manipulatives so that students understand their purpose and can independently choose to utilize any resources that are helpful to them, both for learning and for explaining their understanding. Provide proper alignment with content where manipulatives help develop conceptual understanding. Encourage students to transition gradually from using manipulatives as aids, then progressing to incorporating drawings, and 		
Criterion 2.2 Summary		ultimately mastering the use of mathematical symbolic language. Criterion Score	Criterion Rating			
Criterion 2.2 Summary Meets Expectations (10-12 pts) Partially Meets Expectations (8-9 pts) Does Not Meet Expectations (< 8 pts)						



CRITERION 2.3: TEACHER SUPPORTS

The program includes educative materials that provide teachers with guidance, recommendations, or annotations for how to facilitate the effective use of instructional materials.

I	Indicators	5	Guiding Questions	Look for Evidence of How the Materials:	Evidence
2.3a. Mater guidance w annotation for how to e materials a materials, w attention to in order to developme	rials provid with useful as and sugg enact the s and ancillar with specif o engaging guide their ent. Scoring	le teacher gestions student ry ric g students r	• Do the materials offer comprehensive guidance, including detailed annotations and actionable suggestions, to assist teachers in implementing both student and ancillary materials, specifically focusing on engaging students to facilitate their developmental progress?	 Provide overview sections, annotations, narrative information, or other documents that will assist the teacher in planning instruction, including strategies and guidance for presenting the content. Include guidance on identifying and addressing student errors and misconceptions in the planning phase. 	
2.3b. Materials contain adult- level explanations and examples of the more complex grade-level or course-level concepts and concepts beyond the current course so that teachers can improve their own knowledge of the subject.		n adult- d e complex -level ts beyond that e their e subject.	• Do the materials provide comprehensive resources that support teachers in deepening their understanding of complex grade- level or course-level concepts, extending beyond the current curriculum, through adult-level explanations and examples?	 Provide complete adult-level explanations and examples that support the teacher in developing their own understanding of the content and expected student practices. Provide supports for teachers to develop their own understanding of more advanced applications of 	
Scoring 0 1 2		2		 grade-level or course-level concepts. Provide supports for teachers to develop their own understanding of concepts beyond the current course. 	

CRITERION 2.3: TEACHER SUPPORTS The program includes educative materials that provide teachers with guidance, recommendations, or annotations for how to facilitate the effective use of instructional materials.					
	Indicators	;	Guiding Questions	Look for Evidence of How the Materials:	Evidence
2.3c. Materials provide recommendations for curriculum-based professional learning that is immersive and allows teachers to experience the materials as a student.			 Do the materials recommend curriculum-based professional learning to enhance both teacher content knowledge and pedagogy? Do the materials provide best practices to support teachers' planning for instruction? Do the materials recommend 	 Provide guidance on curriculum- based professional learning to support classroom facilitation, including understanding the program's instructional design, philosophy, and approaches in units and lessons. Provide guidance and strategies for 	
0	Scoring 1	2	 professional learning strategies that promote teachers reflecting on and considering the student experience with the instructional materials? Do the materials provide recommendations for both initial professional learning and sustained teacher support for student success with the program? 	 Provide guidance and strategies for professional learning that provide teachers opportunities and time to plan instruction and collaborate with colleagues (i.e., professional learning communities, study groups, coaching, feedback, and reflective practices.) Includes initial and ongoing curriculum-based professional learning to support the program's sustainability. 	



CRITERION 2.3: TEACHER SUPPORTS

The program includes educative materials that provide teachers with guidance, recommendations, or annotations for how to facilitate the effective use of instructional materials.

Indicators	Guiding Questions	Look for Evidence of How the Materials:	Evidence
2.3d. Materials provide strategies for informing all stakeholders, including students, parents, or caregivers about the program and suggestions for how they can help support student progress and achievement. Scoring Narrative Evidence	• Do the materials provide comprehensive strategies that enable students, parents, and caregivers with an understanding of the program, accompanied by actionable guidance to actively support and enhance student progress and achievement?	 Contain strategies for informing students, parents, and/or caregivers about the mathematics their student is learning. Provide forms of communication with parents and caregivers, including for families that may speak and read in a language other than English. Contain suggestions for how parents or caregivers can support student progress and achievement. 	
2.3e. Materials provide explanations of the instructional approaches of the program and identification of the research-based and evidence-based strategies.	• Do the materials present the program's teaching methodologies and research-based and evidence- based strategies that aid educators' understanding and implementation?	 Explain the instructional approaches of the program. Identify and reference research- based and evidence-based strategies that are used in the design. 	
Scoring			
0 1 2			
2.3f. Materials provide a comprehensive list of all supplies needed to support instructional activities.	• Do the materials offer a detailed and comprehensive inventory of all necessary supplies required to facilitate instructional activities	 Provide a comprehensive list of required materials. 	
Scoring	effectively?		
Narrative Evidence			



CRITERION 2.3: TEACHER SUPPORTS The program includes educative materials that provide teachers with guidance, recommendations, or annotations for how to facilitate the effective use of instructional materials.					
Indicators			Guiding Questions	Look for Evidence of How the Materials:	Evidence
2.3g. Materials regularly and systematically balance time and resources required for following the suggested implementation, as well as information for alternative implementations.			 Do the materials explore multiple implementation pathways and their resource implications? Do materials address trade-offs between implementations, incorporating considerations of time and effectiveness? Do materials prioritize adaptability, promoting flexibility in response to 	 Provide a detailed breakdown of the resources needed for each implementation pathway, including time, personnel, materials, and any other required resources. Provide a clear and structured comparison that highlights the trade-offs with different implementation methods, and 	
0	1	2	available resources or evolving circumstances?	discusses now each option affects time and effectiveness. Provide guidance or suggestions on how to adapt or modify the implementation strategy based on the availability of resources or changing circumstances, demonstrating a proactive approach to flexibility.	



CRITERION 2.3: TEACHER SUPPORTS The program includes educative materials that provide teachers with guidance, recommendations, or annotations for how to facilitate the effective use of instructional materials.					
Indicators			Guiding Questions	Look for Evidence of How the Materials:	Evidence
2.3h. Materials provide teacher guidance for the use of embedded technology to support and enhance student learning, when applicable.			• Do the materials provide specific guidance, examples, or instructions for teachers to effectively utilize embedded technology, where suitable, to enhance and strengthen student learning experiences?	 Provide teacher guidance on how to use embedded technology in supporting and enhancing student learning. Provide step-by-step explanations on integrating digital tools, recommendations for suitable 	
0	1	2		 technological resources, or scenarios showcasing technology- enhanced learning activities aligned with the curriculum. Provide troubleshooting tips or strategies for adapting the use of technology to different learning environments or student needs. 	
Criterion 2.3 Summary				Criterion Score	Criterion Rating
Meets Expectations (10-12 pts) Partially Meets Expectations (8-9 pts) Does Not Meet Expectations (< 8 pts)					



Gateway 2 Summary	Points Scored
Criterion 2.1: Assessment	_/6
Criterion 2.2: Student Supports	_/12
Criterion 2.3: Teacher Supports	_/12
Gateway 2 Rating Levels	Gateway 2 Total Points
Meets Expectations (25-30 pts) Partially Meets Expectations (19-24 pts) Does Not Meet Expectations (< 19 pts)	_/30
Gateway 2 Comments:	