High-Quality Instructional Materials Rubric





K-12 World Languages and Cultures

April 2025





Department of Education & Workforce

Table of Contents

| High-Quality Instructional Materials Rubric | 3 |
|--|----|
| World Languages and Cultures Rubric Overview | |
| Gateway 1: Alignment | |
| Criterion 1.1: Alignment to Learning Standards | |
| Criterion 1.2: Second Language Acquisition | |
| Criterion 1.3: Authentic Resources | 9 |
| Gateway 2: Instructional Support | 11 |
| Criterion 2.1: Assessment | 11 |
| Criterion 2.2: Student Supports | 14 |
| Criterion 2.3: Teacher Supports | 21 |



High-Quality Instructional Materials Rubric

K-12 WORLD LANGUAGES AND CULTURES

TITLE:

REVIEWER NAME: _____ Date: _____

World Languages and Cultures Rubric Overview

Instructional materials selection is an important district decision, and conducting a thorough review of instructional materials at the local level is essential in meeting the needs of students within a school or district. This evaluation rubric is designed to offer an evaluation that districts can utilize to determine how well instructional materials align with Ohio's Learning Standards for World Languages and Cultures and other local criteria. Pursuant to ORC 3301.079 (B) (3) and 3313.60, it is the responsibility of Ohio's local boards of education to vet and approve curriculum and educational materials for use in the public schools within their district. Districts and schools should use their professional expertise to determine the suitability of any particular resources for use in their districts, schools, or classrooms.

The evaluation rubric includes key considerations for high-quality instructional materials and outlines two Gateways for consideration when evaluating materials. Within each Gateway, Criterion and related Indicators are provided along with Guiding Questions and Look-Fors. Each indicator is evaluated as Does Not Meet Expectations, Partially Meets Expectations, or Meets Expectations using a 0-1-2 scale score.

Ohio's rubric is composed of 6 criteria: Alignment to Learning Standards, Second Language Acquisition, Authentic Resources, Assessment, Student Supports and Teacher Supports. These criteria feature review indicators, each with guiding questions and evidence guidance to help focus the review process.

- *Criterion:* Criterion refers to a compilation of indicators within a specific focus area in world languages and cultures that discern markers of highquality instructional materials. Each criterion area offers a concise description at the beginning of its section, and the scoring of each criterion is determined by the total indicators.
- Indicators: Indicators denote the high-quality components of instructional materials that align with the Ohio Learning Standards for World Languages and Cultures.
- *Guiding Questions:* Guiding Questions accompany each indicator and are intended to assist reviewers in understanding the evidence required for each indicator.
- Look For Evidence of How the Materials: The Look-Fors offer suggestions and considerations for what reviewers may observe in the instructional materials to support the evaluation of that indicator. These suggestions are not exhaustive, as demonstrations for any indicator can vary between materials.
- Scoring: Scores are given to most indicators within each criterion to determine how well materials meet the expectations. Each scored indicator is evaluated as Not Meeting Expectations, Partially Meeting Expectations, or Meeting Expectations using a 0-1-2 scale score. Some indicators are marked as "Narrative Evidence" and will not receive a numeric score.



The rubric is designed to allow reviewers to determine a threshold for quality for each Gateway. If instructional materials do not meet the thresholds for Meets Expectations or Partially Meets Expectations for a Gateway, reviewers are prompted not to move forward with reviewing the other Gateways.

- Gateway 1: Alignment (advance to Gateway 2 only if the instructional materials Meet or Partially Meet Expectations for Gateway 1)
- Gateway 2: Instructional Support

| Review Summary | Criteria | Score | Rating | |
|--|--|-------------|--------------|--|
| | 1.1 Alignment to Learning Standards | /6 | | |
| Alignment Criteria | 1.2 Second Language Acquisition | /10 | | |
| Alignment Criteria | 1.3 Authentic Resources | /4 | | |
| | Gateway 1 Sub-Total | /20 | | |
| | 2.1 Assessment | /6 | | |
| Instructional Supports Critoria | 2.2 Student Supports | /12 | | |
| Instructional Supports Criteria | 2.3 Teacher Supports | /10 | | |
| | Gateway 2 Sub-Total | /28 | | |
| Overall I | Rating | Total Score | Final Rating | |
| Meets Expectations Materials meet expectation | Meets Expectations Materials meet expectations for all criteria. | | | |
| Partially Meets Expectations Materials meet or | /48 | | | |
| Does Not Meet Expectations Any gateway that | does not meet the criteria. | | | |



Gateway 1: Alignment

Gateway 1 looks at alignment with the Ohio Learning Standards and additional subject-specific indicators of quality.

| | | | | MENT TO LEARNING STANDARDS ng Standards for World Languages and Cu | ltures |
|---------------------------------|---|---|---|--|----------|
| | Indicators | ; | Guiding Questions | Look for Evidence of How the Materials: | Evidence |
| interpre | 1.1a. Materials include interpretive, interpersonal, and/or presentational tasks. | | Do materials include level- appropriate intercultural tasks for one or more of the following: reading, listening, or | • Contribute to the development of learners' interpretive, interpresonal, and/or presentational communication | |
| | Scoring | | viewing? | skills. | |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | interacting with each other, the teacher, or native speakers via speaking, writing, or signing? presenting information via speaking, writing, or signing to various audiences? | Identify or correlate to the Interpretive, interpersonal, and/or presentational intercultural communication standards for world languages and cultures (explicitly or implicitly). | |
| learners develop interper | 1.1b. Materials provide learners with opportunities to develop their interpretive, interpersonal, and presentational literacy skills. | | • Do materials incorporate authentic texts and/or communicative activities that provide opportunities for learners to improve their literacy skills in both | • Contribute to the development of learners' native and target language literacy skills in one or more modes of communication as stated in the standards. | |
| | Scoring | | the target language and their native language? | learners to analyze, evaluate, | |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | synthesize, or create with language. | |



| | Indicator | rs | Materials align with Ohio's Learnin Guiding Questions | g Standards for World Languages and Cul Look for Evidence of How the Materials: | tures Evidence |
|--|-----------------------|--------------|---|---|-------------------------|
| 1.1c. Materials provide opportunities for learners to interpret, interact, and present with intercultural competence in contexts within and beyond the learning environment.Scoring012 | | | Are there opportunities to interact | Materials:• Contribute to the development of learners' intercultural competence.• Identify or correlate to the Intercultural standards for world languages and cultures (explicitly or implicitly). | |
| | Criterion 1.1 Summary | | | Criterion Score | Criterion Rating |
| | | Partially Me | xpectations (5-6 pts) ets Expectations (4 pts) eet Expectations (< 4 pts) | | |



| Mater | rials promot | e target la | anguage use through evidence-based | COND LANGUAGE ACQUISITION second language acquisition practices and the p and learning opportunities. | promotion of proficiency-oriented |
|---|---|-------------|--|--|-----------------------------------|
| | Indicator | 'S | Guiding Questions | Look for Evidence of How the Materials: | Evidence |
| 1.2a. Materials provide learners with authentic comprehensible input that helps facilitate language production. | | | Do the materials facilitate comprehension and language production through communicati context, visual support, and/or aural support? | expressions, use of realia, subtitles, accentuation, repetition, etc. | |
| | Scoring | | Do materials provide authentic target language input at a level | Provide opportunities for learners to demonstrate comprehension of | |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | slightly above the learners' currer level of comprehension (i.e., withi the zone of proximal developmen or i+1:)? | n proficiency level. | |
| increas | aterials elici singly proficion unication ove | ent | • Do the materials encourage spontaneous and scaffolded use of the language? | (Novice) to community and familiar | |
| | Scoring | | • Do the materials help develop fluency, accuracy, and complexity | | |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | communication and self- expression? | unfamiliar topics (Advanced). | |
| 1.2c. Materials provide opportunities for learners to build on existing knowledge and skills. | | arners to | Do the materials allow learners to use and expand upon their background experiences and content knowledge? | Purposefully spiral in previously learned or existing language skills, cultural concepts, and background knowledge. | |
| | Scoring | | Do the materials build foundational knowledge or skills four future learning? | | |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | for future learning? | | |



| Mate | erials prom | ote target la | | ond language acquisition practices and t d learning opportunities. | the promotion of proficiency-oriente |
|--|-------------|---------------|--|---|--------------------------------------|
| | Indicat | ors | Guiding Questions | Look for Evidence of How the Materials: | Evidence |
| 1.2d. Materials provide opportunities for learners to make comparisons between the target language and their own language. | | | activities that require learners to identify and compare linguistic and cultural similarities and differences | • Incorporate or promote the use of authentic cultural texts that require learners to make linguistic comparisons between their own language and the target language. | |
| Scoring | | | | | |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | | | |
| 1.2e. Materials provide opportunities for learners to set goals for their language learning and reflect on their progress. | | | Do the materials provide ongoing support or tools for learners to set personal learning goals and reflect on their language learning progress? | • Incorporate the reflective learning cycle in which learners are encouraged to set personal learning goals, select learning strategies that work for them, collect evidence of their successes, and reflect on their | |
| | Scoriı | ng | | learning. | |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | | | |
| Criterion 1.2 Summary | | | | Criterion Score | Criterion Rating |
| | | Partially Mee | pectations (9-10 pts) ts Expectations (7-8 pts) et Expectations (< 7 pts) | | |



| I | ndicators | | Guiding Questions | Look for Evidence of How the Materials: | Evidence |
|--|--|---------|---|---|-------------------------|
| o authen | erials provi tic resourc ngful to le | es that | Do the authentic resources reflect the unique identities of learners? Do the authentic resources relate to the learners' future pathways? | Provide strategies and scaffolds for using authentic resources to: integrate knowledge of language and culture with | |
| | Scoring | | Do the authentic resources have real-world applications beyond the | other learning or personal experiences. | |
| 0 1 2 | | | classroom or learning environment? Are the authentic resources adaptable to a variety of learning settings and contexts? | showcase professions and careers that require or are enhanced by proficiency in world languages. include activities that provide the opportunity to apply or practice a new skill or concept. Incorporate concepts from math, | |
| 1.3b. Materials use authentic resources to provide target language tasks for learners to connect to concepts and topics learned in other subject areas. | | | Do materials promote the use of authentic resources that contain themes and content that encourage language use in cross-disciplinary contexts? | • Incorporate concepts from math, science, history, geography, visual and performing arts, health, etc. | |
| Scoring | | | | | |
|) | 1 | 2 | | | |
| Criterion 1.3 Summary | | | on 1.3 Summary | Criterion Score | Criterion Rating |



| Gateway 1 Summary | Points Scored | Points Scored | | |
|---|------------------------|------------------------|--|--|
| Criterion 1.1: Alignment to Learning Standards | /6 | | | |
| Criterion 1.2: Second Language Acquisition | /10 | | | |
| Criterion 1.3: Authentic Resources | /4 | | | |
| Gateway 1 Rating Levels | Gateway 1 Total Points | Gateway 1 Total Points | | |
| Meets Expectations (17-20 pts) Partially Meets Expectations (13-16 pts) Does Not Meet Expectations (< 13 pts) | /20 | | | |
| Gateway 1 Comments: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

Gateway 2: Instructional Support

Gateway 2 examines additional indicators of quality that demonstrate the usability of the materials to support implementation. This includes assessment, student supports, and teacher supports. Materials must Meet or Partially Meet Expectations for Gateway 1 to be reviewed for Gateway 2.

| The | e program ir | ncludes a sy | ystem of assessments identifying how | N 2.1: ASSESSMENT materials provide tools, guidance, and supp at student progress toward the standards. | port for Ohio teachers to collect, |
|---|---|---|--|--|------------------------------------|
| | Indicators | | Guiding Questions | Look for Evidence of How the Materials: | Evidence |
| system of multiple through or series students sufficien teachers student | aterials offer of assessmen out the grad to determin s' learning an t guidance t s for interpre performanc ions for follo | nt with les le, course, ne nd co eting e and | Does the assessment system provide multiple opportunities throughout the grade, course, or series to determine students' learning and sufficient guidance to teachers for interpreting student performance and suggestions for follow-up? Is guidance consistently provided to teachers on how to interpret | Provide resources (e.g., sample student responses, rubrics, scoring guidelines, and open-ended feedback) for scoring purposes. Provide opportunities to assess language, intercultural competence, and literacy skills across the modes of communication. Provide guidance to teachers to interpret student understanding. Provide teachers guidance to respond to student needs elicited | |
| | Scoring | | student understandings?Are the assessments designed to | | |
| 0 | | | measure performance and proficiency as outlined in the Ohio learning standards? | by the assessment. Provide opportunities for students to show learning and language proficiency through a variety of options (e.g., drawings; classroom observations; oral, written, or signed responses; role plays; presentations; performance assessments; and portfolios. | |



| The | program iı | ncludes a s | ystem of assessments identifying how r | I 2.1: ASSESSMENT materials provide tools, guidance, and su at student progress toward the standard | |
|----------------------------------|---|-------------|---|---|----------|
| | Indicators | 5 | Guiding Questions | Look for Evidence of How the Materials: | Evidence |
| opportur demonst grade-lev | 2.1b. Assessments include opportunities for students to demonstrate the full intent of grade-level or course content standards across the series. | | Do the assessments include opportunities for students to demonstrate the full intent of grade-level or course content standards across the series? Do the assessments include a variety of modalities (e.g., writing, illustrating demonstrating | Provide opportunities for different types of modalities (e.g., writing, illustrating, demonstrating, modeling, oral or signed presentations, and performance tasks). Provide opportunities for different | |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | illustrating, demonstrating, modeling, oral or signed presentations, and performance tasks) and suggestions for how they can be used? Is there a good balance of complexity in assessment tasks? | types of items used for student assessments and how they are used to measure student performance (e.g., performance tasks, discussion questions, constructed response questions, project- or problem- based tasks, portfolios, justified multiple-choice). | |



| т | ne program | includes a s | ystem of assessments identifying how | N 2.1: ASSESSMENT materials provide tools, guidance, and suppo at student progress toward the standards. | ort for Ohio teachers to collect, |
|--------------------------|--|---|--|---|-----------------------------------|
| | Indicato | rs | Guiding Questions | Look for Evidence of How the Materials: | Evidence |
| accom studer knowl | ssessments modations t nts to demor edge and ski ing the conte ment. Scoring | chat allow Instrate their Ils without ent of the | Do materials incorporate accommodations in assessments that maintain the assessment's content while enabling students to demonstrate their knowledge and skills effectively? Is guidance provided for teachers to use the accommodations? | Describe where and how accommodations are offered that ensure all students can access the assessment, (e.g., text-to-speech, increased font size, etc.) without changing the content of the assessment. Provide guidance for teachers to | |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | | accommodate students, including those in special populations, without altering grade-level or course expectations or the content of the assessment. | |
| Criterion 2.1 Summary | | | on 2.1 Summary | Criterion Score | Criterion Rating |
| | | Partially Mee | pectations (5-6 pts) ets Expectations (4 pts) et Expectations (< 4 pts) | | |



| The pr | CRITERION 2.2: STUDENT SUPPORTS he program includes materials designed for each student's regular and active participation in Ohio's grade level, grade band, and course content. | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|---|----------|--|--|--|
| Indicators | | | Guiding Questions | Look for Evidence of How the Materials: | Evidence | | | |
| strateg help st and ac learnir grade | Scoring | port to istently e in evel or | Do materials provide differentiation supports to sufficiently engage students in grade-level/course-level conter Do the materials provide comprehensive guidance on strategies and accommodation for diverse student needs? | support system for students to maintain consistent and active | | | | |
| 0 1 2 2.2b. Materials provide both extensions and opportunities for students to engage with grade-level or course-level content at higher levels of complexity. | | | • Do materials provide intentional extensions and structured opportunities enabling students interact with course or grade-lev content at higher levels of complexity? | to students' exploration of grade-level or course-level content at a higher el level of complexity, not by students completing additional tasks, but as an extension of their learning. | | | | |
| 0 | Scoring | 2 | | • Provide opportunities for students to develop and apply higher-level thinking as they interact with language and intercultural content across the three modes of communication. | | | | |



| | Indicators | ; | Guiding Questions | Look for Evidence of How the Materials: | Evidence |
|--|------------|-----------|--|---|----------|
| 2.2c. Materials provide varied approaches to learning tasks over time and variety in how students are expected to demonstrate their learning with opportunities for students to monitor their learning. | | | modal opportunities for students to question, investigate, sense- make, and problem-solve using a variety of formats and methods while providing a variety of approaches for students to demonstrate and monitor their | Provide opportunities for students to share their thinking, demonstrate changes in their thinking over time, and apply their understanding in new contexts. Leverage the use of a variety of formats and methods over time to deepen student understanding and ability to explain content concents | |
| | Scoring | | learning? | ability to explain content concepts.Provide opportunities for students | |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | | to monitor and deepen their own learning, using ongoing review, through oral, written, or signed feedback, practice, and self- reflection. | |
| 2.2d. Materials provide opportunities for teachers to use a variety of grouping strategies. | | achers to | adaptable grouping structures that address different learning needs and objectives?where to group students in a variety of grouping formats.Provide for meaningful interaction | where to group students in a variety of grouping formats.Provide for meaningful interaction | |
| | Scoring | | • Do the materials provide guidance for the teacher on how and when to | among students, such as in large or small groups, pairs, etc. | |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | use specific grouping strategies? | | |



| The prog | CRITERION 2.2: STUDENT SUPPORTS The program includes materials designed for each student's regular and active participation in Ohio's grade level, grade band, and course content. | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|--|---|--|----------|
| | Indicators | | | Guiding Questions | | Look for Evidence of How the Materials: | Evidence |
| strategie students speak an language to regula | terials provi es and suppo s who read, o nd/or sign in es other tha arly particip vel learning Scoring | orts for write, n n English ate in | • | Do materials provide appropriate support and accommodations for English Learners (Els) to actively participate in learning grade-level or course-level content? Do the materials for teachers provide guidance for instructional practices that foster and empower Els to develop and excise agency and autonomy in their learning? | • | Provide strategies and opportunities for speaking, listening, reading, writing, viewing, and signing to develop practices and knowledge of the subject matter, including scaffolding up to and written grade-level or course- level content. Provide teacher guidance to support ELs. | |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | | and autonomy in their tearning? | • | Provide guidance that help teachers identify and follow-up on whether the student has challenges in content vs. language acquisition, as well as identify when students may have misconceptions with content vs. language demand, to ensure the two are not conflated. | |



| CRITERION 2.2: STUDENT SUPPORTS The program includes materials designed for each student's regular and active participation in Ohio's grade level, grade band, and course content. | | | | |
|--|---|---|----------------------------|--|
| Indicators | Guiding Questions | Look for Evidence of How the Materials: | Evidence | |
| 2.2f. Materials provide a balance of images or information about people, representing various demographic and physical characteristics to positively portray individuals from all communities and enable students to see themselves in materials. | Do the materials provide a balance of images or information about all people? Do the materials provide representations that show students they can succeed in the subject? Provide positive and balan depictions of all individual Show all populations of lease successfully using their land and intercultural skills in a contexts. | | als. earners inguage | |
| Scoring | | | | |
| Narrative Evidence | | | | |
| 2.2g. Materials provide teachers with guidance and strategies that embrace and integrate students' home languages, as well as their cultural and social backgrounds, to facilitate meaningful learning. | Do the materials provide strategies for utilizing students' home language in context with the materials? Do the materials provide guidance for teachers to effectively incorporate and utilize students' cultural and social backgrounds in the classes are and large in an | Provide suggestions and strategies for how to allow the use of the home language to support students in learning grade-level or course content. Present multilingualism as an asset in reading, comprehending, and learning the content. | | |
| Scoring | the classroom and learning process? | Make connections to students' linguistic and cultural backgrounds | | |
| Narrative Evidence | | to facilitate learning. Provide opportunities for students to feel acknowledged, e.g., asked to create personal learning based on customs of their own home culture. | | |



CRITERION 2.2: STUDENT SUPPORTS

The program includes materials designed for each student's regular and active participation in Ohio's grade level, grade band, and course content.

| Indicators | Guiding Questions | Look for Evidence of How the Materials: | Evidence |
|--|---|---|----------|
| 2.2h. Materials provide supports for different reading levels to ensure accessibility for students. | • Do the materials incorporate specific strategies to assist students reading at or below grade level in engaging with grade-level or course content? | Provide tasks with multiple entry points. Use a variety of representations to engage students with grade-level or course content. | |
| Scoring | • Do the materials scaffold vocabulary or concepts to support | Include pre-reading activities that utilize visuals to establish necessary | |
| Narrative Evidence | readers at or below grade or course level? Do the materials use a variety of representations to engage students with grade-level or course content? | background knowledge on new or unfamiliar themes or topics in an appropriate manner. | |
| 2.2i. The visual design (whether in print or digital) supports students in engaging thoughtfully with the subject and is neither distracting nor chaotic. | • Do the materials present visual design elements, whether in print or digital format, that facilitate thoughtful student engagement with the subject matter by avoiding distractions or chaotic layouts? | Provide images, graphics, and models that support student learning and engagement. Provide images, graphics, and models that clearly communicate information or support student understanding of topics, texts, or | |
| Scoring | | concepts.Provide organizational features | |
| Narrative Evidence | | (e.g., Table of Contents, glossary, index, internal references, table headers, captions, etc.) clearly and accurately. | |



| CRITERION 2.2: STUDENT SUPPORTS The program includes materials designed for each student's regular and active participation in Ohio's grade level, grade band, and course content | | | | |
|--|--|--|----------|--|
| Indicators | Guiding Questions | Look for Evidence of How the Materials: | Evidence | |
| 2.2j. Materials integrate digital technology such as interactive tools, virtual manipulatives, objects, and/or dynamic software in ways that engage students in the grade or course content, when applicable. | Do the materials integrate interactive tools along with dynamic software in ways that support student engagement in course content? Do the included digital tools support student engagement in course content? | Include digital technology and interactive resources, such as data collection tools, simulations, and modeling tools, made available to students. Include digital technology and interactive tools that support student engagement in course | | |
| Scoring | Are digital materials compatible with the Learning Management System (LMS) currently in use? | content and how these digital materials can be customized for local use. | | |
| Narrative Evidence | System (LMS) currently in use? | Provide accessibility that are compatible with district digital systems for lesson delivery, assessment, and communication from within the district LMS and meet all district privacy-data security requirements. Include videos, virtual manipulatives, glossaries, English captioning, illustrations, graphic tools, speech recognition, interactive tools, materials in the home language, games, and accessible format. | | |



| The pro | ogram incluc | des materia | | : STUDENT SUPPORTS and active participation in Ohio's grade | level, grade band, and course content. |
|--|--|---|---|---|--|
| Indicators | | 5 | Guiding Questions | Look for Evidence of How the Materials: | Evidence |
| 2.2k. Materials include or reference digital technology that provides opportunities for teachers and/or students to collaborate with each other, when applicable. | | | • Do the materials provide and leverage digital tools in ways that actively promote and facilitate collaborative interactions among teachers and/or students, where appropriate? | • Support collaboration between teacher to teacher, teacher to student, or student to student. | |
| | Scoring | | | | |
| Na | arrative Evid | ence | | | |
| 2.2l. Materials provide supports for integrating the language, culture, and social backgrounds of learners who are native or heritage speakers of the target language. | | ting the nd social mers who ge | • Do the materials provide strategies for utilizing learners' current proficiency in the language in context with the materials to facilitate meaningful learning? | Provide learning extensions for native or heritage learners whose skills are above the targeted proficiency level. Provide scaffolds, strategies, and activities for native or heritage learners with learning or skills gaps. | |
| Scoring | | | | | |
| 0 1 2 | | 2 | | | |
| | | Criteri | on 2.2 Summary | Criterion Score | Criterion Rating |
| | Meets Expectations (10-12 pts) Partially Meets Expectations (8-9 pts) Does Not Meet Expectations (< 8 pts) | | | | |



| The program include | CRITERION 2.3: TEACHER SUPPORTS The program includes educative materials that provide teachers with guidance, recommendations, or annotations for how to facilitate the effective use of instructional materials. | | | | | |
|---|---|--|----------|--|--|--|
| Indicators | Guiding Questions | Look for Evidence of How the Materials: | Evidence | | | |
| 2.3a. Materials provide teacher guidance with used annotations and suggestio for how to enact the studer materials and ancillary materials, with specific attention to engaging students in order to guide their development. | detailed annotations and actionable | Provide overview sections, annotations, narrative information, or other documents that will assist the teacher in planning instruction, including strategies and guidance for presenting the content. Include guidance on identifying and addressing student errors and misconceptions in the planning phase. | | | | |
| Scoring | | phase. | | | | |
| Narrative Evidence | | | | | | |
| 2.3b. Materials contain adu level explanations and examples of the more complex grade-level or course-level concepts and concepts beyond the curre course so that teachers car improve their own knowled of the subject. | comprehensive resources that support teachers in deepening their understanding of complex grade- level or course-level concepts, extending beyond the current curriculum, through adult-level | Provide complete adult-level explanations and examples that support the teacher in developing their own understanding of the content and expected student practices. Provide supports for teachers to develop their own understanding of more advanced applications of | | | | |
| Scoring | | grade-level or course-level concepts. | | | | |
| 0 1 2 | | Provide supports for teachers to develop their own understanding of concepts beyond the current course. | | | | |



| Tł | ne program i | ncludes ed | ucative materials that provide teachers | : TEACHER SUPPORTS with guidance, recommendations, or ar f instructional materials. | notations for how to facilitate the |
|---|--|----------------------------------|---|---|-------------------------------------|
| | Indicators | 5 | Guiding Questions | Look for Evidence of How the Materials: | Evidence |
| recomi curricu profess immer teache | aterials provi mendations f ilum-based sional learnin sive and allow rs to experier als as a stude Scoring | or g that is vs nce the | Do the materials recommend curriculum-based professional learning to enhance both teacher content knowledge and pedagogy? Do the materials provide best practices to support teachers' planning for instruction? Do the materials recommend professional learning strategies that promote teachers reflecting on and considering the student experience with the instructional materials? | Provide guidance on curriculum- based professional learning to support classroom facilitation, including understanding the program's instructional design, philosophy, and approaches in units and lessons. Provide guidance and strategies for professional learning that provide teachers opportunities and time to plan instruction and collaborate with colleagues (i.e., professional | |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | Do the materials provide recommendations for both initial professional learning and sustained teacher support for student success with the program? | learning communities, study groups, coaching, feedback, and reflective practices.) Includes initial and ongoing curriculum-based professional learning to support the program's sustainability. | |



| The program includes educat | CRITERION 2.3: TEACHER SUPPORTS The program includes educative materials that provide teachers with guidance, recommendations, or annotations for how to facilitate the effective use of instructional materials. | | | | | |
|--|---|--|----------|--|--|--|
| Indicators | Guiding Questions | Look for Evidence of How the Materials: | Evidence | | | |
| 2.3d. Materials provide strategies for informing all stakeholders, including students, parents, or caregivers, about the program and suggestions for how they can help support student progress and achievement. | • Do the materials provide comprehensive strategies that enable students, parents, and caregivers with an understanding of the program, accompanied by actionable guidance to actively support and enhance student progress and achievement? | Contain strategies for informing students, parents, and/or caregivers about the content their student is learning. Provide forms of communication with parents and caregivers, including for families that may speak, read, and comprehend in a language other than English. Contain suggestions for how | | | | |
| Scoring Narrative Evidence | | parents or caregivers can support student progress and achievement. | | | | |
| 2.3e. Materials provide explanations of the instructional approaches of the program and identification of the research- based and evidence-based strategies. | • Do the materials present the program's teaching methodologies and research-based and evidence- based strategies that aid educators' understanding and implementation? | Explain the instructional approaches of the program. Identify and reference research-based and evidence-based strategies that are used in the design. | | | | |
| Scoring | | | | | | |
| 0 1 2 | | | | | | |



| The pr | CRITERION 2.3: TEACHER SUPPORTS The program includes educative materials that provide teachers with guidance, recommendations, or annotations for how to facilitate the effectiv use of instructional materials. | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|---|----------|
| | Indicato | ors | Guiding Questions | Look for Evidence of How the Materials: | Evidence |
| compr supplie | 2.3f. Materials provide a comprehensive list of all supplies needed to support nstructional activities. | | • Do the materials offer a detailed and comprehensive inventory of all necessary supplies required to facilitate instructional activities | Provide a comprehensive list of required materials. | |
| | Scoring | g | effectively? | | |
| Ν | larrative Evi | idence | - | | |
| 2.3g. Materials regularly and systematically balance time and resources required for following the suggested implementation, as well as information for alternative implementations. | | ance time uired for gested as well as cernative | implementation pathways and their resource implications? Do materials address trade-offs between implementations, incorporating considerations of time and effectiveness? Do materials prioritize adaptability, promoting flexibility in response to | Provide a detailed breakdown of the resources needed for each implementation pathway, including time, personnel, materials, and any other required resources. Provide a clear and structured comparison that highlights the trade-offs with different implementation methods and | |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | available resources or evolving circumstances? | discusses how each option affects time and effectiveness. Provide guidance or suggestions on how to adapt or modify the implementation strategy based on the availability of resources or changing circumstances, demonstrating a proactive approach to flexibility. | |



| Т | The program | n includes ec | ucative materials that provide teache | .3: TEACHER SUPPORTS rs with guidance, recommendations, or anno of instructional materials. | otations for how to facilitate the |
|---|---|---|--|---|------------------------------------|
| | Indicat | ors | Guiding Questions | Look for Evidence of How the Materials: | Evidence |
| 2.3h. Materials provide teacher guidance for the use of embedded technology to support and enhance student learning, when applicable. | | e for the use hnology to ance student oplicable. | • Do the materials provide specific guidance, examples, or instructions for teachers to effectively utilize embedded technology, where suitable, to enhance and strengthen student learning experiences? | Provide teacher guidance on how to use embedded technology in supporting and enhancing student learning. Provide step-by-step explanations on integrating digital tools, recommendations for suitable | |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | learning experiences? | technological resources, or scenarios showcasing technology-enhanced learning activities aligned with the curriculum. Provide troubleshooting tips or strategies for adapting the use of technology to different learning environments or student needs. | |
| | | Criteri | on 2.3 Summary | Criterion Score | Criterion Rating |
| | Meets Expectations (9-10 pts) Partially Meets Expectations (7-8 pts) Does Not Meet Expectations (< 7 pts) | | | | |



| Points Scored |
|------------------------|
| /6 |
| /12 |
| /10 |
| Gateway 2 Total Points |
| /28 |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |