

Request for Qualifications 2026-2027 List of Approved Assessments



November 2025



**Department of
Education &
Workforce**

The Ohio Department of Education and Workforce is requesting submissions for the List of Approved Assessments from vendors for use by Ohio school districts in the 2026-2027 school year. The Request for Qualifications (RFQ) includes the following categories:

1. Alternative Standardized Assessments for Grades 3-8 (Alt 3-8) for Chartered Nonpublic Schools
2. Alternative High School Assessments for Graduation (Alt HS) for Chartered Nonpublic Schools
3. High-Quality Student Data (HQSD) for K-3 subjects other than reading and Math and grades 4-High School all subjects
4. Gifted Prescreening and Identification (Gifted Assessment)

The Department is in the process of determining the requirements and procedures for approving K-3 Diagnostic Assessments, Tier I Dyslexia Screeners, HQSD assessments for grades K-3 reading and math, and Alternative Standardized Assessments for the Third Grade Reading Guarantee.

Pertinent Dates:

The Department will make every effort to meet these dates but note that they may vary.

RFQ Issued: November 14, 2025

Informational Call for Vendors: December 11, 2025, at 2:15 p.m. EST

Question Period: All questions should be submitted no later than December 12, 2025

Notice of Intent to Submit and FileDrop Drop-Off Request Due: no later than January 5, 2026

Continuation Forms: Vendors with assessments eligible for continuation will be notified with instructions specific to each assessment and approval.

Recommended Submission Date: December 19, 2025, by 4:00 p.m. EST

Final Submission Date: January 5, 2026 by 9:00 a.m. EST

Vendors Notified of Review Outcomes: Notifications may occur on a rolling basis.

Appeals Period: 10 business days following notification.

List of Approved Assessments Posted: March 2026 (anticipated)

Contents

1. General Information	4
1.1. Eligible Applicants	4
1.2. Summary of Action Required	5
1.3. Multiyear Approval	6
1.4. Definitions	6
1.5. Assessments Included in This RFQ.....	8
1.5.1 Alternative Standardized Assessments for Grades 3-8 (Alt 3-8) for Chartered Nonpublic Schools	8
1.5.2 Alternative High School Assessments for Graduation (Alt HS) for Chartered Nonpublic Schools	9
1.5.3 High-Quality Student Data (HQSD)	9
1.5.4 Gifted: Prescreening and Identification (Gifted Assessment)	9
1.6. Reservation of Rights.....	9
1.7. Confidential, Proprietary, and Trade Secret Information.....	10
2. General Submission Requirements.....	10
2.1. Transmittal Letter	11
2.2. Service Provider Assurances and Signature	11
2.3. Overview of Services to Be Posted	11
2.4. Cost Information	11
3. Technical Submission Requirements	11
3.1. Technical Report or Manual	11
3.2. Content Alignment Study	12
3.3. Test Blueprint	12
3.4. Linking Study	13
3.5. Norming Study.....	13
3.6. Test Administration Manual	14
3.7. Description of Test Security Measures.....	14
3.8. Sample Test Forms with Sample Test Items and Score Report(s)	15
3.9. Information Collection Tool for Gifted Intelligence or Cognitive Ability Tests	15
4. Submission Information.....	16

4.1. Informational Call for Vendors.....	16
4.2. Notice of Intent to Submit Application.....	16
4.3. Deadline for Submission.....	16
4.4. Optional Applicant Checklist.....	17
5. Submission Format and Method.....	17
5.1. Vendor Submission Tool.....	17
5.2. Documentation of Evidence.....	17
5.3. Transmission Method.....	18
6. Evaluation Process.....	18
6.1. Scoring.....	18
6.2. Appeals Process.....	18
6.3. Appeals Review.....	19
7. Posting of the List of Approved Assessments.....	19
8. Attachments.....	20
8.1. FileDrop Drop-Off Request.....	20
8.2. Service Provider Assurances.....	20
8.3. Vendor Submission Tool Instructions.....	20
8.4. Optional Applicant Checklist.....	20
8.5. Appeal of Finding of Incomplete Evidence.....	20

1 General Information

In response to stakeholder recommendations to assess students with greater efficiency and reduce time devoted to testing, the Ohio Department of Education and Workforce (Department) develops a directory of assessments from which districts can choose to meet state requirements and district needs.

The purpose of this Request for Qualifications (RFQ) is multifold:

- to identify assessments that can fulfill multiple purposes to the extent possible, provide high educational value, and assist districts in maximizing time and resources;
- to expand the current List of Approved Assessments, identifying additional assessments for the 2026-27 school year;
- to provide information for currently approved assessment vendors to continue on the List of Approved Assessments; and
- to outline a process for multiyear approvals.

Vendors not currently on the 2025-2026 List of Approved Assessments or those seeking approval for additional uses must submit a complete application for consideration.

1.1 Eligible Applicants

To the extent authorized by law, entities eligible to apply include but are not limited to the following:

- Private for-profit companies including but not limited to test publishers and research organizations;
- Nonprofit organizations; and
- Institutions of higher education.

Each approved provider will be responsible for all contracts with individual districts. The contracts between an approved provider and districts shall not contradict the terms and requirements set forth in this RFQ. Selection denotes eligibility only. No contract with the Department, or any other entity, is implied by eligibility selection.

Applicants acknowledge that their eligibility is not guaranteed once they are identified as an approved provider by the Department. Changes made to federal law or state law impacting the need and/or requirements for the above-listed assessments may affect the approved provider's future eligibility.

1.2 Summary of Action Required

The specifics of vendors' assessments may require different actions for submission and approval by the Department. The table below summarizes these, and the RFQ provides additional detailed information.

Table 1. Action Required for List of Approved Assessments

Status	Condition	Required Action
Currently on 2025-2026 List of Approved Assessments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>No substantive changes</u> to assessment (e.g., same standards assessed, same administration mode); and • No changes to applicable law; and • Norming data for Alt High School is less than 10 years old; and • Approved within last five years. 	Submit Application for Continued Approval to remain on the List of Approved Assessments for 2026-2027, with the understanding that the Department may request additional data (e.g., linking studies) as part of the review. The Department will provide this application to all approved eligible vendors.
Currently on 2025-2026 List of Approved Assessments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Substantive changes</u> to assessment (e.g., revised standards assessed, different administration mode); or • Changes to applicable law; or • Norming data for Alt High School is 10 years or older; or • Scores from the prior approved assessment have changed. 	Submit a complete application with all required materials and evidence.
Currently on 2025-2026 List of Approved Assessments but seeking additional approval for a different use		Submit a complete application with all required materials and evidence <u>for any other additional use category.</u>
Not currently on the 2025-2026 List of Approved Assessments		Submit a complete application with all required materials and evidence.

1.3 Multiyear Approval

If newly approved for inclusion on the 2026-2027 List of Approved Assessments, vendors' assessments may also be approved for school years 2027-2028, 2028-2029, 2029-2030, and 2030-2031 contingent on the following:

- No substantive changes of the assessment have occurred since the most recent approval (or continued approval);
- No changes in legislation, law, or Department policy preclude continued approval;
- No changes in content standards or performance standards, if applicable, have occurred since the assessment was most recently approved by the Department;
- Norms for Alt High School are not older than 10 years;
- The vendor submits a yearly Application for Continued Approval; and
- The vendor submits a yearly assessment information form (supplied by Department) to ensure updated contact and cost information for districts.

Note, however, that the rights reserved for the Department in Section 1.6 of this RFQ still apply through any multiyear approvals.

1.4 Definitions

Administration training: As defined by the *Standards for Educational and Psychological Testing*, assessment instruments should be administered by individuals who have received the training appropriate for the particular assessment being administered.

Alternative assessment: An assessment that is comparable to the current state-required assessment in terms of alignment to Ohio's Learning Standards and proficiency levels.

Alt 3-8: Alternative Standardized Assessment to Ohio's State Tests in any of the grades 3 through 8 English language arts or mathematics assessments or the grades 5 or 8 science assessments for chartered nonpublic schools.

Alt HS: Alternative High School Assessments for Graduation for chartered nonpublic schools.

Checklist: A tool designed for systematic observation and collection of evidence by trained personnel.

Cognitive demand: A scale used to measure content complexity or rigor required by a set of standards and aligned assessments.

Content alignment study: A study meeting industry-standard practices for the demonstration of content alignment considering range of knowledge and cognitive demand or depth of knowledge.

Criterion referenced: A type of assessment that measures a student's knowledge and performance in relationship to a predefined set of criteria or standard body of knowledge.

Department: The Ohio Department of Education and Workforce.

Depth of knowledge (DOK): A scale used to measure content complexity or rigor required by a set of standards and aligned assessments. See [levels detailed here](#).

EL: English learner.

ELA: English language arts.

Gifted: Academic: Standardized achievement tests of specific academic ability used to prescreen or identify students who are gifted.

Gifted Assessment: Prescreening and identification instruments for students who are gifted.

Gifted Checklist: Artistic: Checklists of artistic behaviors used to prescreen or identify students who are gifted.

Gifted Checklist: Creative: Checklists of creative behaviors used to prescreen or identify students who are gifted.

Gifted Identification instrument: Assessments used to determine that a student is identified as gifted in one or more defined areas.

Gifted: Intelligence: Standardized individual or group intelligence test used to prescreen or identify students who are gifted.

Gifted Prescreening instrument: An instrument used to determine that a student *is likely* to be gifted in an area in order to recommend them for further identification assessments. These tests are typically shorter and less specific than assessments used for identification.

Gifted Test: Creative: Individual or group tests of creative ability used to prescreen or identify students who are gifted.

High-Quality Student Data (HQSD): Instrument used by Ohio districts to measure evidence of student learning attributable to a teacher being evaluated that meets and/or exceeds State-defined criteria and guidelines.

IEP: Individualized Education Program for students with disabilities, as required under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, that specifies individually determined accommodations and student supports required for assessments.

Item Response Theory (IRT): As defined by the *Standards for Educational and Psychological Testing*, a mathematical model of the functional relationship between performance on a test item, the test item's characteristics, and the test taker's standing on the construct being measured.

JVSD: Joint Vocational School District.

LEA: Local education agency, including city, exempted village, or local school districts; joint vocational school districts (JVSDs); community (charter) schools; science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) schools; state-supported schools; or chartered nonpublic schools in the State of Ohio.

Linking study: A study conducted according to industry standards to demonstrate comparability between scores and subscores for any assessment proposed as an alternative to an existing assessment, such as the Ohio State Tests.

Next Generation Science Standards (NGSS): A multistate-developed set of K-12 science content standards.

Norm-referenced: A type of assessment that measures a student’s knowledge and performance in relationship to that of other students, typically students of the same age or grade.

OAC: Ohio Administrative Code.

Ohio’s Learning Standards (OLS): The learning standards adopted pursuant to Ohio Revised Code section 3301.079.

ORC: Ohio Revised Code.

OST: Ohio’s State Tests. Assessments given for the purposes of federal and state requirements and/or accountability to measure student achievement or growth in knowledge and skills related to Ohio’s Learning Standards. These include but are not limited to grades 3-8 ELA and mathematics assessments, grades 5 and 8 science assessments, and the high school end-of-course assessments.

Range of knowledge: The span of knowledge required by a set of content standards to which an assessment instrument aligns.

Reliability: The overall consistency of a measure under consistent conditions.

Typology of Ohio School Districts: A standardized method to categorize districts for research. Information is available at Typology of Ohio School Districts.

Validity: The extent to which an assessment accurately measures what it is intended to measure.

Vendor: A provider of products and/or services or a developer of assessments. For purposes of this RFQ, the vendor would provide products and/or services to an LEA under a separate contract.

1.5 Assessments Included in This RFQ

Vendors are invited to submit instruments to be considered for any of the following uses by schools in the state of Ohio. (The Department is in the process of determining the requirements and procedures for approving K-3 Diagnostic Assessments, Tier I Dyslexia Screeners, and Alternative Standardized Assessments for the Third Grade Reading Guarantee.)

1.5.1 Alternative Standardized Assessments for Grades 3-8 (Alt 3-8) for Chartered Nonpublic Schools

ORC § 3301.0711(K) allows certain chartered nonpublic schools to use state-approved alternative assessments in place of the Ohio State Tests for tested grades and content areas. The Department seeks assessments that have internal consistency reliability coefficients of at least 0.8; are standardized; have specific evidence of content, concurrent, or criterion validity; measure student achievement in core academic areas; and have high validity evidenced by the alignment of the assessment with Ohio’s Learning Standards or NGSS and demonstrate

scoring equivalence with Ohio’s Limited, Basic, Proficient, Accomplished, and Advanced levels.

1.5.2 Alternative High School Assessments for Graduation (Alt HS) for Chartered Nonpublic Schools

In compliance with [ORC § 3313.619](#), the Department seeks nationally norm-referenced assessments that have internal consistency reliability coefficients of at least 0.8; are standardized; have specific evidence of content, concurrent, or criterion validity; have evidence of norming studies in the previous 10 years; have a measure of student achievement in core academic areas; and have high validity evidenced by the alignment of the assessment with nationally recognized content. Assessments must provide scores equivalent to Ohio’s Limited, Basic, Proficient, Accomplished, and Advanced. In addition, Algebra I and ELA II tests must provide a score equivalent to Competency, which is between the Basic and Proficient performance levels. (See [Ohio's Graduation Requirements](#).)

1.5.3 High-Quality Student Data (HQSD)

The Department seeks assessments for Preschool-12 in various subjects that meet the state’s technical requirements. These assessments will provide high-quality student data to demonstrate teacher-attributable learning. [See [ORC § 3319.112\(B\)\(2\)](#).] Assessments must measure student mastery of content in their tested area and grade level.

1.5.4 Gifted: Prescreening and Identification (Gifted Assessment)

In compliance with [ORC § 3324.01](#) and [ORC § 3324.02](#), the Department seeks valid and reliable assessment tools for school districts (not including JVSDs) to use for the prescreening and identification of students who are gifted. Assessments approved for prescreening only cannot be used for the identification of students as gifted.

The Department seeks the following types of assessment instruments:

- Individual or group standardized intelligence tests (Gifted: Intelligence);
- Individual or group standardized tests of specific academic ability (Gifted: Academic);
- Individual or group tests of creative ability (Gifted: Creative);
- Checklists of artistic behaviors (Gifted Checklist: Artistic); and
- Checklists of creative behaviors (Gifted Checklist: Creative).

Additional information about gifted student identification is available at [Gifted Screening and Identification](#).

1.6 Reservation of Rights

The Department reserves the right to:

- Reject any or all proposals received in response or application to this RFQ;
- Grant limited approval of assessments (e.g., approving some but not all uses, and/or some but not all grade levels or content areas);
- Withdraw the RFQ at any time;
- Disqualify any provider for failing to conform to the requirements of the RFQ;
- During the application period, amend the RFQ specifications to correct errors or oversights, or to supply additional information, as it becomes available;
- During the application period, direct providers to submit application modifications addressing subsequent RFQ amendments;
- Change any of the scheduled dates;
- Waive any requirements in this RFQ;
- Require clarification at any time during the application process and/or require correction of errors to assure a full and complete understanding of a provider's application and/or to determine a provider's compliance with the requirements of the RFQ;
- Prioritize evaluation and/or approval of certain submissions;
- Request accurate and current estimates of provider costs;
- Post cost estimates for approved providers on the Department's website;
- Reevaluate approval or request other documentation during or after the approval process; and
- Evaluate and modify future processes for determining Department-approved assessment lists.

1.7 Confidential, Proprietary, and Trade Secret Information

The Department solicits information through this RFQ in a transparent manner and in accordance with the laws of the State of Ohio. All responses provided to the Department become records of the Department and as such will be open to inspection by the public after award unless exempt from disclosure under law. If an applicant believes information in its proposal is a trade secret, as defined in [ORC § 1333.61](#), the information must be marked as such by underlining the information and notating it with asterisks. Because the applicant is in a better position to know which information may be a trade secret, the applicant's marking of such information will aid the Department in its review of the documents when responding to a request for public records under Ohio law. The Department has the sole discretion in redacting information in response to public records requests pursuant to [ORC § 149.43](#).

2 General Submission Requirements

Documentation of the following is required from all applicants. Please use the forms provided where indicated.

2.1 Transmittal Letter

An authorized individual must provide a brief transmittal letter to the Department to formally submit/transmit the application, proposal, and other materials on behalf of the applying entity. The transmittal letter must be signed and dated by the authorized individual.

2.2 Service Provider Assurances and Signature

The Service Provider Assurances must be completed and signed.

2.3 Overview of Services to Be Posted

If approved, each vendor must provide a public information summary of services for posting on the Department's website by completing the Assessment Information Form. The link to the form will be provided to vendors of approved assessments to submit this information online. Information about assessments and instruments under continued or multiyear approval will also be published online. A link will be provided to eligible vendors with their Application for Continued Approval.

2.4 Cost Information

If approved, vendors will be asked to provide a link or other up-to-date contact information for use by districts in evaluating any costs associated with assessments under consideration for purchase. This information should be provided in the Assessment Information Form and will be published as part of the summary of services on the Department's website to inform districts as they evaluate their assessment options.

3 Technical Submission Requirements

3.1 Technical Report or Manual

Every applicant must submit a technical report or manual for each assessment or checklist. (Note: The Gifted: Arts Rubric does not require a technical report, but vendors should supply as much technical information as available.) Technical reports must include discussion of the following as they apply to their instrument:

- Test development;
- Test blueprint or equivalent;
- Description of scoring method and standard setting;
- Evidence of reliability;
- Item difficulty;
- Item discrimination;
- Scoring reliability;

- Item calibration;
- Item fit;
- Bias (e.g., differential item functioning, description of method for detection and elimination of bias);
- Evidence of validity for each of the intended purposes;
- Appropriate interpretation of scores;
- A description of the IRT model used; and
- Evidence that resulting scores are equivalent across forms or test versions.

3.2 Content Alignment Study

A content alignment study must be provided for assessments in these categories:

- Alt 3-8;
- Alt HS; and
- HQSD.

The study and its methodology must meet industry-standard practices for the demonstration of content alignment. See section 4 in the Vendor Submission Tool for applicable requirements. A third-party independent alignment study is preferred. The alignment study must include the following:

- A description of the range of knowledge (within Ohio's Learning Standards or to national content for the ALT HS assessments or NGSS 2013 Release for Alt 3-8 Science) to which alignment is referenced;
- A description of the methodology used to determine content alignment;
- A determination of the degree to which the assessment aligns to the cognitive demand or depth of knowledge of the specific standards;
- A statement of the percentage or degree of alignment between the assessment and the assessed range of knowledge;
 - A minimum alignment of 50% is required for the Alt 3-8 ELA and Math assessments.
 - A minimum alignment of 80% is required for the Alt 3-8 Science assessments with inclusion of all domains.
- Alt ELA Assessments at grade 3 must demonstrate alignment with the reading subscore of Ohio's State Test; and
- Adherence to specific requirements for the particular use for which approval is being sought as described elsewhere in this RFQ.

3.3 Test Blueprint

Test blueprints or the equivalent (such as an item selection method) are required for the following categories:

- Alt 3-8;
- Alt HS;
- HQSD; and
- Gifted: Academic.

Requirements for test blueprints or the equivalent are detailed in section 4 of the Vendor Submission Tool and are expected to address the following:

- Item distribution by content and item type;
- Complexity (depth of knowledge, cognitive taxonomy, etc.);
- Number of items and points by reporting category;
- Scoring and reporting of results; and
- Any tools required/allowed for use by students during test administration.

3.4 Linking Study

A linking study must be provided for any assessment considered to be comparable to an existing OST and is required for the following categories:

- Alt 3-8; and
- Alt HS assessments.

Section 5 of the Vendor Submission Tool contains the detailed requirements for linking studies. Studies undertaken by an independent third-party entity are preferred and must address comparability of both content and scoring. At a minimum, the linking study must meet industry standards. The linking study must include a concordance table that demonstrates equivalence between scores of the proposed assessment to the comparable Ohio test. and Alt ELA for grade 3 must demonstrate concordance with reading subscores.

Alt HS assessments of Algebra I and ELA II must include a Competency score equivalent to that set by the Department (see [Conversion Chart](#)).

3.5 Norming Study

The assessments for the following categories require a norming study:

- Alt HS;
- Gifted: Academic; and
- Gifted: Intelligence.

The national norming study must meet the following criteria (see section 7 in the Vendor Submission Tool for exact requirements):

- Norming data for Alt High School are less than 10 years old;

- The norming sample represents the student population in the nation in gender, ethnicity, and free or reduced-price lunch eligibility;
- The sample is composed of students from all the regions of the nation without a single region dominating the sample, within a region without a single state dominating the sample disproportionately; and from Ohio proportionally represented;
- The sample size is sufficiently large to produce stable norming results: more than 5,000 on average across grades/subjects, with no grade/subject fewer than 1,000, subject to good representation of student population nationally for general achievement tests, or a number judged by the reviewers sufficient based on the size of the population to be tested; and
- Data of the norming study should be from a limited time frame so the results are considered comparable. For some categories, data of spring results and fall results are not directly comparable, even if they are from the same grade in the same school year. A separate norm is necessary for each administration (such as fall, winter, spring, if applicable).

Note that if norms are gender-based, the Department may request additional information, e.g., on ramifications for use as a gifted indicator, validity.

3.6 Test Administration Manual

Applicants must provide a test administration manual for each assessment. This manual should include information regarding the testing window (if pertinent), specific details regarding how the test is to be administered (e.g., online, paper and pencil, time required for administration), and by whom (e.g., trained or otherwise specially qualified test administrators). The following represent some best practices in test administration:

- Students have enough time to complete the assessment.
- The assessment includes clear directions for students.
- Systematic administration procedures are provided, along with training and/or resources for those who administer the assessment.
- Training is provided to ensure consistent use when rubrics or other scoring guidelines exist.
- There is a suggested protocol to ensure that teachers would not be grading assessments of their own students.
- A suggested protocol ensures that multiple scorers are recommended for items that are not selected response.

3.7 Description of Test Security Measures

Security measures have two aims. First, they must be adequate to protect the integrity of the assessment instrument and all forms and administrations. Second, per [ORC § 3301.0714](#) and

the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA, 34 CFR Part 99), standards must be met for strict safeguards to protect the confidentiality of personally identifiable student data.

A description of test security measures may be included within the Test Administration Manual. If it is not, a separate document must be submitted providing evidence of measures to address assessment security, threat assessment, and/or test/item exposure.

Documentation shall include the following:

- Safeguards to ensure confidentiality of any personally identifiable student data that the vendor has access to in the course of test administration, scoring, and reporting (as applicable); and
- Measures to prevent inappropriate access to forms in advance of administration for tests using forms; or
- Methods to prevent advance or excessive item exposure for tests that are computer-adaptive or generated (particularly those allowing multiple or on-demand administrations).

3.8 Sample Test Forms with Sample Test Items and Score Report(s)

Sample score reports must contain only nonidentifiable student information. Sample reports must include associated guidance on assessment score interpretation. Reports should include summaries at the district, classroom, grade, and school levels along with other reports and comparisons as appropriate. Sample items should reflect content areas and grade levels as appropriate and demonstrate content alignment and either depth of knowledge or cognitive demand.

3.9 Information Collection Tool for Gifted Intelligence or Cognitive Ability Tests

Ohio school districts are required to calculate qualifying scores for gifted student identification using the mean, standard deviation, and standard error of measurement for approved intelligence or cognitive abilities test. When submitting these types of assessments, vendors are required to provide the mean, standard deviation, and standard error of measurement for any composite, battery, or index submitted for review. A chart has been provided within the Vendor Submission Tool for convenience in providing this information. An example is provided below.

Example:

Assessment Name	Mean	Standard Deviation	Standard Error of Measurement
<i>Example Assessment 1, Complete Battery</i>	100	15	3.2
<i>Example Assessment 1, Basic Battery</i>	100	15	4.7
<i>Example Assessment 2, Full Scale Index</i>	100	16	3.8
<i>Example Assessment 2, Reasoning Index</i>	100	16	4.4
<i>Example Assessment 3, Nonverbal Composite</i>	100	15	5.1

4 Submission Information

4.1 Informational Call for Vendors

The Department will convene an online session for prospective applicants to provide assistance on the submission process, including the Vendor Submission Tool and attachments. This call is scheduled for December 11, 2025. Participation is optional, but registration is required.

[Complete this form](#) to register for the call. Information on how to join the meeting will be provided after registration.

Submission of written questions in advance of the call is preferred so that they may be addressed during the call. Email questions to ApprovedAssessments@education.ohio.gov. Following the vendor call, questions and responses will be posted to the Department’s website.

4.2 Notice of Intent to Submit Application

The Department requests that applicants submit a nonbinding notice of intent to submit for each assessment. The intent to submit form also serves as a [FileDrop Drop-Off Request](#) for submission of the completed application. All applicants who fill out and submit this form will receive a FileDrop Drop-Off Request approximately two weeks before the submission date. Drop-Off Requests are valid for two weeks after receipt of the Drop-Off Request. Applicants should complete a separate Drop-Off Request for each assessment and include the requested areas of approval. This notice is not mandatory but will assist the Department in planning the review.

4.3 Deadline for Submission

All required application materials must be received by the Department by January 5, 2026, at 9:00 a.m. EST for assessments to be considered for use in the 2026-2027 school year. The recommended submission date is December 19, 2025 at 4:00 p.m. EST. This deadline applies

to the submission of complete applications with required materials and evidence as well as to the submission of a request to remain on the List of Approved Assessments. Submissions received after the due date and time will not be accepted unless requested by the Department.

4.4 Optional Applicant Checklist

The [Optional Applicant Checklist](#) provides a checklist that may be used to ensure a complete application and that all required materials are submitted.

5 Submission Format and Method

5.1 Vendor Submission Tool

All applicable elements listed on the Vendor Submission Tool require the submission of relevant documentation with supporting evidence. Specific to each assessment category, elements are noted as applicable by “Required” on the Submission Tool. The [Vendor Submission Tool Instructions](#) provide detailed information on completing the tool. Applicants must provide the completed Vendor Submission Tool as an active Excel document and also submit a PDF of this same tool.

5.2 Documentation of Evidence

For each required element on the Vendor Submission Tool, applicants must specify the name of the relevant document and location where the supporting evidence is found. “Not Applicable” is not an acceptable vendor response.

Applicants must provide specific location guidance using page number references and document name. Page number references should be specific and lead reviewers directly to the required information. Use of broad page ranges creates confusion and the possibility that the required information will not be found.

Individual documents must be combined for submission into a single document with sequential numbering throughout. The vendor response must include a table of contents with document titles and page numbers. Bookmarks are helpful but not required. Use of a single document with sequential numbering enables the Department’s reviewers to search for information within the document.

Applicants may include links to certain online materials, such as research published in peer-reviewed journals, as a portion of the application and are responsible for ensuring that all links are current and live. Links to vendor websites, Google docs, or SharePoint sites are not acceptable.

5.3 Transmission Method

Application materials must be submitted digitally via FileDrop, the application the Department uses for secure transfer of large files. Vendors will be provided access and instructions following submission of a [FileDrop Drop-Off Request](#). Vendors having questions or needing assistance with submission may contact ApprovedAssessments@education.ohio.gov.

6 Evaluation Process

6.1 Scoring

All complete and timely submissions will be evaluated by a team of technical and content expert reviewers selected by the Department, using the criteria listed in the Vendor Submission Tool and any specific documentation pertinent to the assessment and uses being considered. The Vendor Submission Tool uses two scoring categories for each element:

- Y = Adequate Evidence. Submitted documentation demonstrates that the assessment meets minimum requirements.
- N = Incomplete Evidence. Submitted documentation fails to demonstrate compliance with minimum requirements.

In rare instances, a criteria element may not be applicable to a specific instrument. In that case, the reviewers will score the element as N/A for Not Applicable, and the reason for that determination will be noted.

Technical information may also be judged adequate by substantially meeting requirements to allow reviewers broader discretion in making professional judgments regarding the quality of data submitted across multiple grades or content areas. The Department may approve some but not all uses, and/or some but not all grade levels or content areas. In the case of assessment packages, decisions may be made in determining specific uses by form or subtest. This may be particularly applicable to assessments used for gifted prescreening and identification.

For any items determined to have “Incomplete Evidence,” the vendor will receive explanatory notes from the Department. On completion of the review, scoring summaries including reviewer notes will be returned to any vendors.

6.2 Appeals Process

Any vendor who wishes to appeal the initial determination will have one opportunity to appeal with additional information or clarification on where the needed information is in the

original vendor response. This applies to cases where the Department believes the vendor submitted Incomplete Evidence in any category.

The vendor must email the appeal with supporting documentation to ApprovedAssessments@education.ohio.gov no later than 10 business days following notice of Incomplete Evidence. [The Appeal of Finding of Incomplete Evidence](#) form must be included in the appeal for each incomplete item. Specific references (evidence and page number) to previously submitted documentation must be included if applicable and to any new documentation submitted as well.

6.3 Appeals Review

The Department will evaluate all appeal requests and notify vendors of the final determination along with reasons for the decision immediately following posting of the List of Approved Assessments on the Department website.

The review will be completed in two stages:

- The Department will select expert reviewers to review the request and all supporting documentation. Reviewers will also have access to all materials originally submitted by the vendor. A team lead will document the recommendations based on the consensus of reviewers.
- The Department's Senior Leadership will review all recommendations and make a final determination on the appeal.

7 Posting of the List of Approved Assessments

Vendors with approved assessments will receive a link to complete the Assessment Information Form to provide updated contact and assessment information. This summary of services will be posted as a link on the List of Approved Assessments for the convenience of districts in decision-making.

For the ease of districts in making assessment selections to best meet their needs, the Department prefers to include each assessment individually on the List of Approved Assessments. There may be exceptions for assessments marketed only as a package (no individual purchase). The list is a downloadable spreadsheet with sorting functionality to allow districts to search easily by approval area or vendor name.

8 Attachments

Below are links to the forms and documents referenced in this RFQ.

- 8.1 [FileDrop Drop-Off Request](#)
- 8.2 [Service Provider Assurances](#)
- 8.3 [Vendor Submission Tool Instructions](#)
- 8.4 [Optional Applicant Checklist](#)
- 8.5 [Appeal of Finding of Incomplete Evidence](#)