

Special Education Data Reported to the Public



2022-2023 District-Level Data

May 2024



**Department of
Education &
Workforce**

Table of Contents

- Table of Contents 1**
- 2022-2023 District-Level Special Education Data Reported to the Public 2**
- Reporting Conventions..... 3*
- Indicator 1: Graduation Rate 3*
- Indicator 2: Dropout Rate..... 4*
- Indicator 3a: Participation Rate 4*
- Indicator 3b: Standard Proficiency Rate 4*
- Indicator 3c: Alternate Proficiency Rate..... 5*
- Indicator 3d: Proficiency Gap 5*
- Indicator 4a: Discipline Discrepancies..... 6*
- Indicator 4b: Discipline Discrepancies by Race..... 7*
- Indicator 5: School-age LRE 8*
- Indicator 6: Preschool LRE..... 8*
- Indicator 7: Preschool Outcomes 9*
- Indicator 8: Parent Involvement 9*
- Indicator 9: Disproportionality Across All Disability Categories 10*
- Indicator 10: Disproportionality in Specific Disability Categories 11*
- Indicator 11: Initial Evaluation Timelines..... 11*
- Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition..... 12*
- Indicator 13: Secondary Transition Planning 12*
- Indicator 14: Post-school Outcomes..... 13*

2022-2023 District-Level Special Education Data Reported to the Public

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA) requires each state to have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) that evaluates the state’s efforts to implement the requirements and purposes of the IDEA and describes how the state will improve such implementation.

The SPP must include measurable and rigorous targets for the 17 indicators identified by the Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) at the U.S. Department of Education. States must report annually to OSEP on the performance of the state *on the targets identified in the SPP* through an Annual Performance Report (APR). The Ohio Department of Education and Workforce (the Department) submitted Ohio’s most recent APR in February 2024 based on district data from the **2022-2023** school year.

IDEA also requires each state to annually report to the public on the performance of each **local education agency (LEA)** on a subset of the targets in the SPP. These required reports must include the state targets for each of the specified indicators, provide the LEA performance compared to those targets, and indicate whether the LEA met the targets. To meet this federal reporting requirement, the Department annually posts an Excel spreadsheet of LEA data on [Ohio's Special Education Profiles webpage](#).

The spreadsheet contains multiple columns for each indicator required in this year’s district-level data report. This report presents district-level data from the **2022-2023 school year** (unless otherwise noted), specific to students with disabilities.

The annual report includes data for the following indicators:

- Indicator 1 – Graduation Rate (2021-2022)
- Indicator 2 – Dropout Rate (2021-2022)
- Indicator 3 – Participation and Performance on State Assessments
- Indicator 4a – Discipline Discrepancies
- Indicator 4b – Discipline Discrepancies by Race
- Indicator 5 – School-age Least Restrictive Environment (LRE)
- Indicator 6 – Preschool Least Restrictive Environment (LRE)
- Indicator 7 – Preschool Outcomes
- Indicator 8 – Parent Involvement
- Indicator 9 – Disproportionate Representation Across All Disability Categories
- Indicator 10 – Disproportionate Representation in Specific Disability Categories
- Indicator 11 – Initial Evaluation Timelines
- Indicator 12 – Early Childhood Transition
- Indicator 13 – Secondary Transition Planning
- Indicator 14 – Post-school Outcomes

The spreadsheet has a “filtering” function. This filtering makes it possible for a reader to view the results of a single district, view results from all districts having specific data between selected values, or all districts within a specific county or region.

For example, if a reader wanted to view the data for their School District, they would click on the drop-down menu from the “District” cell header. A drop-down menu of all cell values would appear; they would click on the name of their district or community school. If the reader wanted to see all the data for districts with a graduation rate less than 80 percent, they would click on the cell labeled, “Graduation Rate,” and select *Number Filters → Less Than* in the resulting drop-down list. They would then type “.80” in the Custom AutoFilter textbox that appears.

The rest of this document provides a description of the spreadsheet’s content and the sources for the data contained in it.

Reporting Conventions

When possible, data from Ohio’s accountability system have been used for district-level reporting of SPP indicators. “<10” indicates that the data for an indicator are not displayed due to small group size (i.e., fewer than 10 students) to ensure student privacy. “NC” indicates that the indicator was not evaluated because the district did not meet the minimum group sizes. “NR” indicates that the LEA reported no students for the indicator. Not all districts and community schools will have data for all indicators. For example, community schools that do not serve preschool students will not have data for Indicators 6, 7, and 12.

A very brief description of each indicator is provided on the first tab of the Excel file, entitled “Data Notes.” Additional information is presented below.

Indicator 1: Graduation Rate

This indicator measures the percentage of students with disabilities, ages 14-21, who exited special education due to graduating by meeting the same requirements as students without disabilities. Graduation rates are calculated as follows:

Indicator 1 Calculation

$\frac{\text{\# of SWD, ages 14-21, who exited special education due to graduating by meeting the same requirements as students without disabilities}}{\text{Total \# of SWD, ages 14-21, who exited special education}}$

Note: Although this report is based on data from the **2022-2023** school year, the data source and measurement for Indicator 1 is aligned with states’ requirements for reporting graduation data to the U.S. Department of Education under Title I of the ESEA. Graduation and dropout rate data lag one year, therefore, Indicators 1 and 2 reflect data from **2021-2022**.

The worksheet indicates whether LEAs met the state’s targets for the graduation rate of students with disabilities. Indicator 1 [targets](#) were established based on recommendations from a broad range of stakeholders across Ohio.

Indicator 2: Dropout Rate

This indicator measures the percentage of students with disabilities, ages 14-21, who exited special education due to dropping out.

Indicator 2 Calculation

$$\frac{\text{\# of SWD ages, 14-21, who exited special education due to dropping out}}{\text{Total \# of SWD, ages 14-21, who exited special education}}$$

Note: Indicator 2 reflects dropout data from **2021-2022**; see data note for Indicator 1.

The worksheet indicates whether LEAs met the state's targets for the dropout rate of students with disabilities. Indicator 2 [targets](#) were established based on recommendations from a broad range of stakeholders across Ohio.

Indicator 3a: Participation Rate

Indicator 3a measures the percentage of students with disabilities who participated in statewide math and reading assessments, calculated separately for grades 4, 8 and high school. Math and reading participation rates are calculated as follows:

Indicator 3a Calculation

$$\frac{\text{\# of SWD who participated in the math and reading assessments}}{\text{Total \# of SWD enrolled during the testing window}}$$

The worksheet indicates whether LEAs met the state's targets for the participation rate of students with disabilities in math and reading assessments. Indicator 3a [targets](#) were established based on recommendations from a broad range of stakeholders across Ohio.

Indicator 3b: Standard Proficiency Rate

Indicator 3b measures the percentage of students with disabilities who scored at or above the proficient level against grade level academic achievement standards on statewide math and reading assessments, calculated separately for grades 4, 8 and high school. Math and reading standard proficiency rates are calculated as follows:

Indicator 3b Calculation

$$\frac{\text{\# of SWD within grade 4, 8 or high school scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards}}{\text{Total \# of SWD who received a valid score and for whom a proficiency level was assigned for the standard math or reading assessment}}$$

The worksheet indicates whether LEAs met the state’s targets for the standard proficiency rate for students with disabilities in math and reading. Indicator 3b [targets](#) were established based on recommendations from a broad range of stakeholders across Ohio.

Indicator 3c: Alternate Proficiency Rate

Indicator 3c measures the percentage of students with disabilities who scored at or above the proficient level against alternate academic achievement standards on statewide math and reading assessments, calculated separately for grades 4, 8 and high school. Math and reading alternate proficiency rates are calculated as follows:

Indicator 3c Calculation

$\frac{\text{\# of SWD within grade 4, 8 or high school scoring at or above proficient against alternate academic achievement standards}}{\text{Total \# of SWD who received a valid score and for whom a proficiency level was assigned for the alternate math or reading assessment}}$
--

The worksheet indicates whether LEAs met the state’s targets for the alternate proficiency rate for students with disabilities in math and reading. Indicator 3c [targets](#) were established based on recommendations from a broad range of stakeholders across Ohio.

Indicator 3d: Proficiency Gap

Indicator 3d measures the gap in proficiency rates between students with disabilities and all students against grade level achievement standards on statewide math and reading assessments, calculated separately for grades 4, 8 and high school. Math and reading proficiency gaps are calculated as follows:

Indicator 3d Calculation

$\text{Proficiency rate for all students scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards}$
$-$
$\text{Proficiency rate for students with disabilities scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards}$

The worksheet indicates whether LEAs met the state’s targets for the proficiency gap in math and reading. Indicator 3d [targets](#) were established based on recommendations from a broad range of stakeholders across Ohio.

Indicator 4a: Discipline Discrepancies

At the state level, Indicator 4a measures the percentage of LEAs identified by the state as having a significant discrepancy in the rates of suspensions and expulsions of students with disabilities for greater than 10 days in a school year. Based on guidance from stakeholders, the Department defines significant discrepancies in discipline rates as those in which the rate of suspension or expulsion for students with disabilities exceeds the rate of suspension or expulsion for students without disabilities by at least 1 percentage point for three consecutive years.

Indicator 4a Calculation

$$\frac{\text{\# of LEAs in which the rate of suspension or expulsion for SWD for >10 cumulative days exceeds that for students without disabilities by at least 1 percentage point for three consecutive years}}{\text{Total \# of LEAs in the state}}$$

At the district level, this indicator reflects the difference in the rates of suspension and expulsion for more than 10 cumulative days between students with disabilities and students without disabilities. Since discrepancies of 1 percentage point or more are considered significant, the target for suspension and expulsion is a difference of less than 1 percentage point.

Notes:

- Beginning in 2019-20, Indicator 4 business rules align with disproportionality business rules by considering three years of data, combining suspensions and expulsions into a single calculation, and utilizing a minimum cell size of 10 and a minimum n-size of 30.
- Data used for this indicator originated in the Education Management Information System (EMIS) Student Attendance Record and Student Discipline Record. These data represent a comparison of percentages of groups of individual students reported to be suspended or expelled for more than 10 cumulative days during the school year, using a minimum group size of 30. For example, if a student had three incidents, four days each, they would have 12 days, and count as one student.
- Total average enrollment of students is derived from the year-end attendance files. Counts are based on full-time enrollment of 180 days. Therefore, a student who was enrolled 90 days counts as 0.5 student; one who was enrolled 45 days counts as 0.25 student.

The worksheet indicates whether LEAs met the state's target for discipline discrepancies between students with and without disabilities. Indicator 4a [targets](#) were established based on recommendations from a broad range of stakeholders across Ohio.

Indicator 4b: Discipline Discrepancies by Race

At the state level, Indicator 4b measures the percentage of LEAs identified by the state as having: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 cumulative days in a school year for children with IEPs for three consecutive years; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.

Indicator 4b Calculation

$$\frac{\text{\# of LEAs with a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 cumulative days in a school year for children with IEPs for three consecutive years and policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy}}{\text{Total \# of LEAs in the state}}$$

Ohio uses a rate ratio method to identify significant discipline discrepancies. The Department identifies significant discrepancies in LEAs with risk ratios above 2.50, using a minimum group size of 30 students with disabilities enrolled and at least 10 students with disabilities disciplined for greater than 10 cumulative days in the racial/ethnic subgroups included in the calculation.

LEAs with significant discipline discrepancies complete a self-review of their policies, procedures and practices relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports and procedural safeguards. Using the results of this self-review and corresponding student records, the Department determines if the LEA has policies, procedures or practices that do not comply with IDEA discipline requirements.

Notes:

- Beginning in 2019-20, Indicator 4 business rules align with disproportionality business rules by considering three years of data, combining suspensions and expulsions into a single calculation, and utilizing a minimum cell size of 10 and a minimum n-size of 30.
- This is a compliance indicator with a required annual state target of 0 percent.
- See Indicator 4a, above, for additional information on discipline data that also applies to Indicator 4b.

Indicator 5: School-age Education Environments

This indicator measures the percentage of children with IEPs age 5 who are in kindergarten and ages 6 through 21 served:

- A. Inside the regular class 80 percent or more of the day.
- B. Inside the regular class less than 40 percent of the day.
- C. In separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound/hospital placements.

Indicator 5 Calculation

A. # of SWD inside the regular class at least 80% of the day ÷ Total # of SWD ages 5 and in kindergarten and ages 6 through 21

B. # of SWD inside the regular class <40% of the day ÷ Total # of SWD ages 5 and in kindergarten and ages 6 through 21

C. # of SWD served in separate facilities ÷ Total # of SWD ages 5 and in kindergarten and ages 6 through 21

Percentages are calculated from annual Child Count data.

The worksheet indicates whether LEAs met the state's target for school-age least restrictive environment. Indicator 5 [targets](#) were established based on recommendations from a broad range of stakeholders across Ohio.

Indicator 6: Preschool Education Environments

This indicator measures the percentage of children ages 3 through 5 with IEPs who are enrolled in a preschool program:

- A. Attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program.
- B. Attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility.
- C. Receiving special education and related services in the home.

Indicator 6 Calculation

A. # of children ages 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program ÷ Total # of children ages 3 through 5 with IEPs

B. # of children ages 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility ÷ Total # of children ages 3 through 5 with IEPs

C. # of children ages 3 through 5 with IEPs receiving special education and related services in the home ÷ Total # of children ages 3 through 5 with IEPs

Percentages are calculated from annual Child Count data. Preschool students are counted with their district of residence, regardless of where their services are provided.

The worksheet indicates whether LEAs met the state’s target for preschool least restrictive environment. Indicator 6 [targets](#) were established based on recommendations from a broad range of stakeholders across Ohio.

Indicator 7: Preschool Outcomes

This indicator measures the percentage of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved:

- A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships).
- B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication and early literacy).
- C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.

Data for this indicator are collected from the Early Childhood Outcomes Summary Form. LEAs report summary form ratings twice annually (in fall and spring) through EMIS. To be included in the data set, children must have scores from at least two summary forms.

For each outcome area (A, B & C), LEAs must report:

1. Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.
2. The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.

The worksheet indicates whether LEAs met the state’s target for preschool outcomes. Indicator 7 [targets](#) were established based on recommendations from a broad range of stakeholders across Ohio.

Indicator 8: Parent Involvement

This indicator measures the percentage of parents with a child receiving special education services who report that schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities. This indicator is measured using an online survey for parents of children with disabilities.

Indicator 8 Calculation

$\frac{\text{\# of respondent parents who report schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities}}{\text{Total \# of respondent parents of children with disabilities}}$

One-sixth of the LEAs in the state are selected for participation in the survey each year, to meet the federal requirement to collect data from every LEA by the end of the six-year Annual Performance Report period. The number of surveys requested from each LEA is based on the number necessary to derive a statewide sample that is representative of Ohio’s LEAs serving children with disabilities ages 3-21.

The spreadsheet includes Indicator 8 data for the LEAs that participated in the survey process during **2022-2023**. Furthermore, in accordance with privacy guidelines, the worksheet only displays performance percentages for LEAs that collected 10 or more parent surveys.

The survey questions reflect the extent to which respondents believe that their child’s school engages with the parent in an effort to best meet their child’s needs was scored on a 1-10 scale where 1 represented “not at all” and 10 represented “a great deal.” Scores of 7, 8, 9, or 10 were considered an indication that schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.

The worksheet indicates whether LEAs met the state’s target for parent involvement. Indicator 8 [targets](#) were established based on recommendations from a broad range of stakeholders across Ohio.

Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation Across All Disability Categories

At the state level, this indicator measures the percentage of LEAs with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services.

Indicator 9 Calculation

$\frac{\text{\# of LEAs with disproportionate representation across all disability categories}}{\text{Total \# of LEAs in the state}}$
--

Ohio uses a risk ratio method and considers multiple years of data to identify disproportionate representation in special education. The Department identifies disproportionate representation in LEAs with risk ratios above 2.50 for three consecutive years, using a minimum group size of 30 for the denominator and a minimum cell size of 10 for the numerator.

Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation in Specific Disability Categories

Whereas Indicator 9 measures the percentage of LEAs with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups *across all disability categories*, Indicator 10 measures the percentage of LEAs with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups *in specific disability categories*.

Indicator 10 Calculation

$$\frac{\text{\# of LEAs with disproportionate representation in specific disability categories}}{\text{Total \# of LEAs in the state}}$$

Ohio uses a risk ratio method and considers multiple years of data to identify disproportionate representation in special education. The Department identifies disproportionate representation in LEAs with risk ratios above 2.50 for three consecutive years, using a minimum group size of 30 for the denominator and a minimum cell size of 10 for the numerator.

Indicator 11: Initial Evaluation Timelines

This indicator measures the percentage of children with parental consent to evaluate, whose initial evaluations were completed within the 60 calendar-day timeline.

Indicator 11 Calculation

$$\frac{\text{\# of children with parental consent to evaluate whose initial evaluations were completed within 60 calendar days}}{\text{Total \# of children with parental consent for initial evaluations}}$$

Data used for this indicator originated in the EMIS Special Education Event Record.

This is a compliance indicator with a required annual target of 100 percent.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

This indicator measures the percentage of children referred by Part C (early intervention services) prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B (preschool services), and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.

“Part C” refers to early intervention services for infants and toddlers administered by the Ohio Department of Developmental Disabilities. “Part B” refers to special education services administered by the Ohio Department of Education and Workforce and the Department of Children and Youth for children ages 3 through 21.

Indicator 12 Calculation

$$\frac{\text{\# of children found eligible for Part B who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays}}{\text{Total \# of children found eligible for Part B}}$$

Data used for this indicator originated in the EMIS Special Education Event Record. The denominator represents the number of children with preschool transition conferences determined eligible for services; the numerator represents the number of these children with initial IEPs completed on or before their third birthdays.

This is a compliance indicator with a required annual target of 100 percent.

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition Planning

This indicator measures the percentage of measures the percent of youth aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes:

- a) Appropriate measurable postsecondary goals that are annually updated and based upon an age-appropriate transition assessment.
- b) Transition services, including courses of study, that will reasonably enable the student to meet those postsecondary goals.
- c) Annual IEP goals related to the student's transition services needs.
- d) Evidence that the student was invited to the IEP Team meeting where transition services are to be discussed.
- e) Evidence that, if appropriate, a representative of any participating agency was invited to the IEP Team meeting with the prior consent of the parent or student who has reached the age of majority.

Indicator 13 Calculation

$$\frac{\text{\# of youth aged 16 and above with required transition components in their IEPs}}{\text{Total \# of youth with disabilities aged 16 and above}}$$

Data used for this indicator are collected in the EMIS Special Education Event Record and compared to EMIS year-end enrollment files.

This is a compliance indicator with a required annual target of 100 percent.

Note: Although transition planning and services are required beginning at age 14 in Ohio, the federal indicator is specific to students with disabilities ages 16 and above.

Indicator 14: Post-school Outcomes

This indicator measures the percentage of youth with disabilities who, within one year of leaving high school, are enrolled in higher education, participating in a training program, or are competitively employed.

Indicator 14 Calculation

A. # of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school ÷ Total # of respondent youth

B. # of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school ÷ Total # of respondent youth

C. # of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school ÷ Total # of respondent youth

Indicator 14 is calculated using data submitted to EMIS as part of the Exiting Student Follow-Up (FW) Record.

All LEAs serving high school students in Ohio are required to collect post-school engagement data from all students who exited the previous spring every year. This includes students with disabilities who exited by graduating, dropping out, or aging out. LEAs should allow as close to 1 year as possible before following up with students with disabilities.

In accordance with privacy guidelines, the worksheet only displays performance percentages for LEAs that reported data for 10 or more students with disabilities.