

Cleveland Hts.-University Hts. City School District
IRN: 043794**Ohio Department of Education, Office for Exceptional Children**
2019-2020 IDEA Monitoring Review Summary Report**Introduction**

The Ohio Department of Education's Office for Exceptional Children (OEC) and the Office for Early Learning and School Readiness (ELSR) would like to extend appreciation to the Cleveland Hts.-University Hts. staff for their efforts, attention and time committed to the completion of the review process.

The following report is a summary of the onsite review conducted by OEC and ELSR on November 5 and 6, 2019, as part of its general supervision requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) and Am. Sub. H.B.1.

Overview

During the onsite review, consultants monitor the educational agency's implementation of IDEA to ensure compliance and positive results for students with disabilities. The primary focus of the review is to:

- Improve educational results and functional outcomes for all students with disabilities; and
- Ensure that educational agencies meet program requirements under Part B of IDEA, particularly those requirements that are most closely related to improving educational results for students with disabilities.

Onsite reviews are targeted to include the following specific areas:

- Child Find;
- Delivery of Services;
- Least Restrictive Environment;
- IEP Verification of delivery of services;
- Parent Input; and
- Teacher and Administrator Interviews.

Data Sources

During the review, OEC considered information from the following sources:

1. Public Parent Meeting and Written Comments

Cleveland Hts.-University Hts. City School District mailed 1,003 OEC approved letters to all families with students with disabilities in the educational agency. OEC provided the educational agency with a public meeting announcement to post on the district website. Public parent meeting dates for all educational agencies selected for onsite reviews are also posted on the ODE website.

On November 5, 2019, OEC consultants held a public meeting for parents and other interested parties. Twelve parents and family members and one State Support Team (SST) Region 3 representatives attended the public meeting. Attendees could speak to OEC representatives publicly in the meeting, speak to OEC representatives individually, provide written comments or both. Seven attendees made comments during the public meeting. Written comment forms were available before, during and after the meeting. OEC received five written comments.

During the public meeting, parents were advised by OEC consultants of the formal complaint process under IDEA and that their public comments did not constitute a formal complaint. The participants were also informed that while the information they provided may be helpful to the review, it may not necessarily be acted upon as part of the review process. Ohio's procedural safeguards notice was available for participants who wanted a copy.

2. Pre-Onsite Data Analysis

OEC conducted a comprehensive review which included district, building and grade level data; Special Education Performance Profile; Local Report Cards; Comprehensive Continuous Improvement Plan (CCIP); and Education Management Information System (EMIS) data. The data analysis assisted OEC in determining potential growth areas and educational agency strengths.

3. Record Review/IEP Verification

Prior to the onsite visit, OEC consultants reviewed 24 records of students with disabilities. OEC selected records of students with disabilities from a variety of disability categories and ages. Eight student records were selected for IEP verification in the classroom setting. All eight IEP verifications showed evidence of the IEPs being implemented as written. ELSR special education consultants selected and reviewed five records of preschool students with disabilities from a variety of disability categories. Four IEP onsite verifications were completed and verified that IEPs were being implemented as written.

4. Staff/Administrative Interviews

On November 5, 2019, OEC consultants held eleven sessions of interviews with 20 administrators and 55 teachers, school counselors, related services personnel and school psychologists. ELSR consultants held five interview sessions with central office administrative staff, classroom teachers, related service personnel, preschool assistants and paraprofessional personnel, and school psychologist. Both OEC and ELSR interviews focused on the following review areas: Child Find; Delivery of Services; Least Restrictive Environment (LRE) and IEP alignment and Discipline.

Findings of Noncompliance

A finding is made when noncompliance is identified with evaluation team report (ETR) and/or individualized education program (IEP) requirements. A noncompliance level of 30% or greater in any single area or in specific areas of concern found during the onsite review activities, a Corrective Action Plan (CAP) will need to be developed to address those areas. All noncompliance identified by OEC as part of the review (listed by subject area in the *OEC's Review Findings and Educational Agency Required Actions Table*) must be corrected as indicated in the *Evidence of Correction/Required Actions* column.

OEC provides separate written correspondence to the parent/guardian when action is required to correct findings of noncompliance for individual students. The educational agency will receive copies of this correspondence.

Systemic Issues

While there are no specific requirements for corrective action connected to the areas listed below, OEC and ELSR note that there are opportunities to make substantive improvements across the district to improve outcomes for all students and improve school climate for adults and students.

- There appears to be a disconnect between leadership's approach and vision and actual deployment of policies, procedures, practices and training across the district. Many promising initiatives have yet to reach all levels or be understood uniformly, for example the training and implementation process for co-serving students with pairs of intervention specialists and general education teachers.
- Discipline processes – Manifestation Determination Review (MDR), Functional Behavior Assessment (FBA) and Behavioral Intervention Plan (BIP). The district must review, revise, or update the policies, procedures and practices regarding discipline under Ohio Operating Standards for the Education of Children with Disabilities rule 3301-51-05 Procedural safeguards (K); and ensure that all required district personnel are informed and trained in the procedures for children with disabilities who are removed from the least restrictive environment for disciplinary purposes.
- Multiple district personnel expressed dissatisfaction with a new code of conduct and training process, creating confusion around competing and overlapping programs: restorative justice, code of conduct, and positive behavior interventions and supports.
- Parents who attended the public meeting had much to say, and they identified an opportunity to improve communications and collaboration in several areas. In addition, some parents stated that they were not aware of the planned public meeting.

- There is a perception among some staff members that the district culture and climate have diminished over the past few years, making it more difficult to maintain standards of behavior and conduct for adults and students.
- The continuum of alternative placements under rule 3301-51-09 Delivery of services (C) did not appear to be available across all buildings and settings.
- There appeared to be a disconnect between building principals and early childhood preschool staff involving strategic planning to improve outcomes for all students.
- There is a need for targeted preschool-specific and service-specific training for all preschool staff (related service, teachers, teacher assistants, paraprofessionals, and preschool psychologists).
- The identification and deployment of substitute teachers for preschool special education is inconsistent, resulting in teaching assistants/paraprofessionals assuming these roles without proper support or training.

Corrective Action Plan (CAP)

The educational agency will develop a CAP to address any items identified in this summary report. An approved form for the CAP will be provided by OEC or can be accessed on ODE's website by using the keyword search "Monitoring". The CAP developed by the educational agency must include the following:

- Activities to address all areas identified in this summary report;
- Documentation/evidence of implementation of the activities;
- Individuals responsible for implementing the activities;
- Resources needed;
- Completion dates; and
- Continued Plan for Improvement and/or Compliance.

The educational agency must submit the CAP by email to john.magee@education.ohio.gov within 30 school days from the date of this report. OEC will review the action plan submitted by the educational agency for approval. If OEC deems that a revision(s) is necessary, the educational agency will be required to revise and resubmit. The educational agency will be contacted by OEC and notified when the action plan has been approved.

CAP Due Date: April 28, 2020

OEC Trainings

As part of the OEC monitoring process, Cleveland Hts.-University Hts. City School District personnel, as identified by OEC, are required to complete the Special Education Essentials 2019-2020 training modules within the Learning Management System (LMS). OEC will provide specific instructions on completing these training modules during the Summary Report presentation. Participants must achieve a 75% or more on each quiz. Participants who do not achieve at least 75% will be contacted by the State Support Team (SST) for additional training.

Completion of LMS Training Modules Due Date: (May 28), 2020

Individual Correction

The educational agency has **60 school days** from the date of this summary report to correct all identified findings of noncompliance for individual students, unless noted otherwise in the report. Detailed information on individual findings are provided in a separate report.

Individual Correction Due Date: September 1, 2020

CAP Activities and Systemic Correction

The educational agency will provide OEC with documentation verifying the educational agency's completion of all CAP activities and all systemic corrections noted in this summary report. OEC will verify systemic correction through the review of this documentation. If needed, OEC may request additional student records to review.

Completion of CAP Activities and Systemic Correction Due Date: November 30, 2020

Once the educational agency has completed all action plan activities, the educational agency will use OEC's monitoring process to create and implement a Strategic Improvement Plan with the OEC and SST assistance.

For questions regarding the review, please contact: John Magee, OEC Contact Consultant, at (614) 728-1115, toll-free at (877) 644-6338, or by e-mail at john.magee@education.ohio.gov, or, for preschool issues, Jennifer Barnes at Jennifer.barnes@education.ohio.gov.

OEC's Review Findings and Educational Agency Required Actions

Component 1: Child Find

Each educational agency shall adopt and implement written policies and procedures approved by the Ohio Department of Education, Office for Exceptional Children, that ensure all children with disabilities residing within the educational agency, regardless of the severity of their disability, and who are in need of special education and related services are identified, located, and evaluated as required by the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004 and Federal Regulations at 34 C.F.R. Part 300 pertaining to child find, including the regulations at 34 C.F.R. 300.111 and 300.646 and Rule 3301-51-03 of the Ohio Operating Standards serving Children with Disabilities.

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
			Required Actions	
CF-1	300.305(a) and 3301-51-11 (c)(1)(a)	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>Two of two preschool evaluation records of a child transitioning from Part C, utilized child information from the Individual Family Service Plan (IFSP) and other documentation provided by Help Me Grow in suspecting or when determining eligibility for Part B supports and services.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>NA</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>NA</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA
CF-2	3301-51-06	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>Six school age evaluations did not appropriately document interventions provided to resolve concerns for the child performing below grade-level standards.</p> <p><u>Interviews</u></p> <p>Interviewees identified a concern that the Response to Intervention (RtI) process did not yet work as expected, and that referrals for interventions were not always addressed for action. There is a district approach to strengthening this process that has yet to be fully deployed across all buildings.</p> <p><u>Other Considerations</u></p> <p>There has been an effort to revamp and revise the system for implementing, tracking and recording interventions provided to students before the referral for evaluation. This approach has not yet been fully implemented.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>OEC has verified that these students have a current ETR in place, so no additional individual correction is required.</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices regarding documentation of intervention and supports provided prior to completion of the initial evaluation team report.</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes The educational agency needs to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
			Required Actions	
CF-3	300.501(b)(1) 3301-51-06 (E)(2)(a)	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>One school age student record did not show evidence that the parent was afforded the opportunity to participate in the evaluation team planning meeting.</p> <p>All preschool student records showed evidence that the parent was afforded the opportunity to participate in the evaluation team planning meeting.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>The educational agency must provide evidence that the parent was involved or provided the opportunity to participate in the evaluation planning process.</p> <p>The evidence may include evaluation planning form, prior written notice, parent invitation, referral form or communication log.</p> <p>If the educational agency cannot provide documentation that the parent was involved or provided the opportunity to participate in the evaluation planning process, the educational agency must conduct a reevaluation planning meeting with the parent.</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices that include the parent in the evaluation planning process.</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>The educational agency does <u>not</u> need to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.</p>
CF-4	300.300	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>Four school age student records did not provide evidence of parental consent obtained prior to new testing.</p> <p>All preschool student records provided evidence of parental consent obtained prior to new testing.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>The educational agency must provide evidence that the parent provided informed, written consent for evaluation, based upon the planning form. Or the agency must show documented repeated attempts to obtain informed, written consent to which the parent did not respond.</p> <p>The evidence may include, prior written notice, parent invitation, communication log, or other documented attempts to obtain parental informed, written consent.</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>The educational agency does <u>not</u> need to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.</p>

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
			Required Actions	
			<p>If the educational agency cannot provide documentation that the parent provided informed, written consent for evaluation, or did not respond to repeated attempts to obtain consent, the agency must conduct a reevaluation including documentation of parental consent.</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices for obtaining parental consent obtained prior to new testing or policies and practices for moving forward when parents will not participate.</p>	
CF-5	300.304(c)(4) 3301-51-01 3301-51-06 (E)(2)(a)	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>Seven school age and two preschool evaluations did not provide evidence that the evaluation addresses all areas related to the suspected disability.</p> <p><u>Interviews</u></p> <p>Respondents identified a problematic lack of addressing specific student issues with interventions before the evaluation process.</p> <p><u>Other Considerations</u></p> <p>Record reviews revealed that, in some cases, assessments included on the planning form were not all addressed in part 1 of the ETR.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>The educational agency will convene the ETR teams to conduct a reevaluation and provide evidence that the evaluation addresses all areas related to the suspected disability.</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices to provide evidence that the evaluation addresses all areas related to the suspected disability.</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes The educational agency needs to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan (for School Age and Preschool).

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
			Required Actions	
CF-6	300.306(c)	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>Eight school age evaluations did not show evidence of clearly stating the summary of assessment results.</p> <p>All preschool evaluations showed evidence of clearly stating the summary of assessment results.</p> <p><u>Other Considerations</u></p> <p>In some cases, the assessment results were restated in their entirety from the part 1 assessments and not summarized as required, resulting in confusing amounts of redundant or unnecessary information.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>The educational agency will reconvene the ETR teams to conduct a reevaluation and provide a clear and concise summary of the data and assessment conducted that meets the requirements of 3301-51-06 (G) (Summary of information). The IEP team must consider the results of this reevaluation.</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices regarding summary of data and assessment results.</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p> <p>The educational agency needs to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan (for School age only).</p>
CF-7	300.306(c)	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>Three school age evaluation team reports did not contain a clear and succinct description of educational needs.</p> <p>All preschool evaluation team reports contained a clear and succinct description of educational needs.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>The educational agency will reconvene the ETR teams to conduct a reevaluation and provide a clear and succinct description of the student's educational needs. The IEP team must consider the results of this reevaluation.</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices regarding description of educational needs.</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>The educational agency does <u>not</u> need to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.</p>
CF-8	300.306(c)	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>One school age evaluation team report did not contain specific implications for instruction.</p> <p>All preschool evaluation team reports contained specific implications for instruction.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>The educational agency will reconvene the ETR team to conduct a reevaluation and provide a clear description of specific implications for instruction. The IEP team must consider the results of this reevaluation.</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices regarding implications for instruction.</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>The educational agency does <u>not</u> need to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.</p>

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
			Required Actions	
CF-9	300.306(a)(1) 3301-51-01 (B)(21)	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>Three school age evaluations did not show evidence that a group of qualified professionals, as appropriate to the suspected disability, were involved in determining whether the child is a child with a disability as well as the child's educational needs.</p> <p>All preschool evaluations showed evidence that a group of qualified professionals, as appropriate to the suspected disability, were involved in determining whether the child is a child with a disability as well as the child's educational needs.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>The educational agency must provide evidence that the ETR teams and other qualified professionals, as appropriate, participated in the determination of eligibility and educational needs. If not, the ETR team must reconvene and provide OEC evidence of group participation.</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices regarding the eligibility determination process.</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No The educational agency does <u>not</u> need to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.

Component 2: Delivery of Services

Each educational agency shall have policies, procedures and practices to ensure that each child with a disability has an IEP that is developed, reviewed, and revised in a meeting and implemented in accordance with 300.320 through 300.324.

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
			Required Actions	
DS-1	SPP Indicator 13 300.320 (b) 3301-51-07 (H)(2)	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>Seven school age IEPs did not show evidence that the postsecondary transition plan met all eight required elements of the IDEA for the student, specifically in the following area(s):</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There are appropriate measurable postsecondary goal(s). 2. The postsecondary goals are updated annually. 3. The postsecondary goals were based on age appropriate transition assessment (AATA). 4. There are transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the postsecondary goal(s). 5. The transition services include courses of study that will reasonably enable the student to meet the postsecondary goal(s). 6. The annual goal(s) are related to the student's transition service needs. 7. There is evidence the student was invited to the IEP Team Meeting where transition services were discussed. 8. When appropriate, there is evidence that a representative of any participating agency was invited to the IEP Team Meeting. <p><u>Interviews</u></p> <p>The secondary transition planning process was not well-understood across all appropriate buildings. The responsibilities for developing section 5 of the IEP were unclear.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>The educational agency must reconvene the teams to review and correct the postsecondary transition plan for the IEPs identified as noncompliant or provide documentation of the student's withdrawal date from the educational agency.</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices regarding transition services.</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p> <p>The educational agency needs to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.</p>

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
			Required Actions	
		<p><u>Other Considerations</u></p> <p>The district has many and varied transition services processes and programs that are available to transition-age students, however, not all staff members are aware of, or have access to, this range of services. There are several well-trained and effective individuals who provide individualized transition services, but this is inconsistent across the district.</p>		
DS-2	300.320(a)(1)	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>Twenty-one (21) school age and four preschool IEPs did not contain Present Levels of Academic Achievement and Functional Performance (PLOP) that addressed the needs of the student.</p> <p><u>Interviews</u></p> <p>There is a persistent lack of understanding across staff members regarding the required contents of the present levels for IEP goals.</p> <p>This points to an opportunity to further deploy and develop already existing training and technical assistance in this area.</p> <p><u>Other Considerations</u></p> <p>Record reviews revealed that present levels often lacked clear baseline data that directly reflected the measurement established in the corresponding goals.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>The educational agency must reconvene the IEP teams of the IEPs identified as noncompliant to review and amend the PLOP related to each goal to include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Summary of current daily academic/behavior and/ or functional performance (strengths and needs) compared to expected grade level standards in order to provide a frame of reference; • The present levels must directly relate to the goal measurement; and • Baseline data provided for developing a measurable goal. <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices regarding the review of current academic/functional data when writing IEPs.</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p> <p>The educational agency needs to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan (for School Age and Preschool).</p>

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
			Required Actions	
DS-3	300.320(a)(2)(i)	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>Fifteen (15) school age and four preschool IEPs did not contain measurable annual goals.</p> <p><u>Interviews</u></p> <p>Training in this area has been developed and deployed to some extent, however, several staff members feel that they do not have opportunities to participate in training, or to meet with colleagues to discuss special education issues and processes.</p> <p><u>Other Considerations</u></p> <p>At times, the IEP goals lacked clarity of behaviors expected and of the specific measurements for achievement and mastery of the goals.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>The educational agency must reconvene the teams of the IEPs identified as noncompliant to review and amend annual goals to contain the following critical elements:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Clearly <u>defined behavior</u>: the specific action the child will be expected to perform. 2. The <u>condition</u> (situation, setting or given material) under which the behavior is to be performed. 3. <u>Performance criteria</u> desired: the level the child must demonstrate for mastery and the number of times the child must demonstrate the skill or behavior. <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices regarding the development of measurable annual IEP goals.</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p> <p>The educational agency needs to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan (for School age and Preschool).</p>
DS-4	300.320(a)(2)(i)	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>One school age IEP did not contain annual goals that address the child's academic area(s) of need.</p> <p>All preschool IEPs contained annual goals that address the child's academic area(s) of need.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>The educational agency must reconvene the team of the IEP identified as noncompliant to review and amend the IEP. Annual goals must address the academic needs of the child unless the team provides evidence that the goals were prioritized based on the severity of the needs of the child.</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices regarding the IEP process of addressing identified academic needs.</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>The educational agency does <u>not</u> need to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.</p>

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
			Required Actions	
DS-5	300.320(a)(2)(i)	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>Two school age IEPs did not contain annual goals that address the child’s functional area(s) of need.</p> <p>All preschool IEPs contained annual goals that address the child’s functional area(s) of need.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>The educational agency must reconvene the teams of the IEPs identified as noncompliant to review and amend the IEP. Annual goals must address the functional needs of the child unless the team provides evidence that the goals were prioritized based on the severity of the needs of the child.</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices regarding the IEP process of addressing identified functional needs.</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No The educational agency does <u>not</u> need to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.
DS-6	300.320(a)(4) 3301-51-07 (H)(1)(e)(i)	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>Six school age IEPs did not contain a statement of specially designed instruction that addresses the individual needs of the child and supports the annual goals.</p> <p>All preschool IEPs contained a statement of specially designed instruction that addresses the individual needs of the child and supports the annual goals</p> <p><u>Other Considerations</u></p> <p>In some cases, the specially designed instruction was generic in nature and not individualized to the needs of the student described in the present levels and goals. Other examples lacked specific instructional reference and only listed accommodations or instructional settings.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>The educational agency must reconvene the teams of the IEPs identified as noncompliant to review and amend the specially designed instruction, as appropriate, to address the needs of the child.</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices regarding the IEP process of determining specially designed instruction.</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No The educational agency does <u>not</u> need to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
			Required Actions	
DS-7	300.320(a)(7) 3301-51-07 (H)(1)(i)	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>Four school age IEPs and one preschool IEP did not indicate the location where the specially designed instruction will be provided.</p> <p><u>Other Considerations</u></p> <p>Locations must be separated for amount of time and frequency in each, or for multiple individuals providing instruction (intervention specialists or related service providers).</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>The educational agency must reconvene the teams of the IEPs identified as noncompliant to review and amend the location where the specially designed instruction will be provided.</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices regarding the IEP process of determining the location where specially designed instruction will occur.</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No The educational agency does <u>not</u> need to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.
DS-8	300.320(a)(7) 3301-51-07 (H)(1)(i)	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>Four school age IEPs did not indicate the amount of time and frequency of the specially designed instruction.</p> <p>All preschool IEPs indicated the amount of time and frequency of the specially designed instruction.</p> <p><u>Other Considerations</u></p> <p>Amount of time and frequency must be explained in terms of each provider listed (intervention specialists or related service providers). Intervention specialists and related service providers are both able to deliver Specially Designed Instruction (SDI). However, if a general education teacher is assigned to assist in the delivery of SDI, they must be included in supports for school personnel. General education teachers, by themselves, cannot be listed as providing SDI.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>The educational agency must reconvene the teams of the IEPs identified as noncompliant to review and amend the amount of time and frequency of the specially designed instruction.</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices regarding the IEP process of determining the amount and frequency of specially designed instruction to be provided.</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No The educational agency does <u>not</u> need to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
			Required Actions	
DS-9	300.320(a)(4) 3301-51-07 (H)(1)(e)	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>One school age IEP did not identify related services that address the needs of the child and support the annual goals.</p> <p>All applicable preschool IEPs identified related services that address the needs of the child and support the annual goals.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>The educational agency must reconvene the teams of the IEPs identified as noncompliant to review and amend the IEP to include related services that were identified as needed in the IEP.</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices regarding the IEP process of addressing identified related service needs.</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <p>The educational agency does <u>not</u> need to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.</p>
DS-10	300.320(a)(7) 3301-51-07 (H)(1)(i)	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>Two school age IEPs and two preschool IEP did not indicate the location where the related services will be provided.</p> <p><u>Other Considerations</u></p> <p>Locations must be separated for amount of time and frequency in each.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>The educational agency must reconvene the teams of the IEPs identified as noncompliant to review and amend the IEP to include the location where the related services will be provided.</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices regarding the IEP process of determining the location where related services will occur.</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <p>The educational agency needs to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan (for Preschool only).</p>
DS-11	300.320(a)(7) 3301-51-07 (H)(1)(i)	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>Three school age IEPs did not indicate the amount of time, duration and frequency of the related services to be provided.</p> <p>All applicable preschool IEPs indicated the amount of time, duration and frequency of the related services to be provided.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>The educational agency must reconvene the teams of the IEPs identified as noncompliant to review and amend on the IEP the amount of time and frequency of the related services to be provided.</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices regarding the IEP process of determining the amount and frequency of related services to be provided.</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <p>The educational agency needs to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan (for School age only).</p>

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
			Required Actions	
DS-12	300.324(a)(2)(v) 3301-51-01(B)(3)	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>Three school age IEPs did not identify assistive technology to enable the child to be involved and make progress in the general education curriculum.</p> <p><u>Other Considerations</u></p> <p>Assistive technology must describe what will be provided to the student, for what purpose, under what conditions, how often, and to what extent.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>The educational agency must reconvene the teams of the IEPs identified as noncompliant to review assistive technology and/or services that would directly assist the child with a disability to increase, maintain, or improve their functional capabilities and include them on the IEP.</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices regarding assistive technology.</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <p>The educational agency needs to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan (for School age only).</p>
DS-13	300.320(a)(6)(i) 3301-51-07(H)(1)(g)	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>Thirteen (13) school age IEPs and two preschool IEPs did not identify accommodations provided to enable the child to be involved and make progress in the general education curriculum.</p> <p><u>Interviews</u></p> <p>The need for and use of accommodations was misunderstood by some staff members, indicating a need for training and technical support in this area.</p> <p><u>Other Considerations</u></p> <p>Record reviews revealed that accommodations should include the conditions for and extent of the accommodations.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>The educational agency must reconvene the teams of the IEPs identified as noncompliant to review the accommodations that would directly assist the child to access the course content without altering the scope or complexity of the information taught and include them on the IEP.</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices regarding accommodations.</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <p>The educational agency needs to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan (for School Age and Preschool).</p>

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
			Required Actions	
DS-14	300.320(a)(4) 3301-51-07 (H)(1)(e)	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>Six school age IEPs did not identify modifications to enable the child to be involved and make progress in the general education curriculum.</p> <p>All preschool IEPs identified modifications to enable the child to be involved and make progress in the general education curriculum.</p> <p><u>Interviews</u></p> <p>Some staff members were frustrated that modifications apparently were not permitted unless the student was being alternately assessed, or that the staff members simply did not know how to develop and apply modifications.</p> <p><u>Other Considerations</u></p> <p>The extent of and conditions for modifications must be explained. Refer to the current level of instruction, reading level or pace of instruction.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>The educational agency must reconvene the teams of the IEPs identified as noncompliant to review the modifications that would alter the amount or complexity of grade-level materials and would enable the child to be involved and make progress in the general education curriculum and include them in the IEP</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices regarding modifications.</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <p>The educational agency needs to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan (for School age only).</p>
DS-15	300.320(a)(4) 3301-51-07 (H)(1)(e)	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>Six school age IEPs did not identify supports for school personnel to enable the child to be involved and make progress in the general education curriculum.</p> <p><u>Other Considerations</u></p> <p>Many statements lacked clarity. This area must describe what one adult will do for other adults in relation to individual student (or student group) needs.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>The educational agency must reconvene the teams of the IEPs identified as noncompliant to review the supports for school personnel that were identified by the IEP team and define the supports on the IEP including who will provide the support and when it will take place.”</p> <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices regarding supports for school personnel.</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <p>The educational agency needs to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan (for School age only).</p>

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
			Required Actions	
DS-16	3301-51-07 (H)(1)(h)(ii)	<u>Record Review</u> One student record did not have a justification statement explaining why the student cannot participate in the regular assessment and why the alternate assessment is appropriate for the student.	<u>Individual Correction</u> The educational agency must reconvene the team of the IEP identified as noncompliant to review and determination if the alternate assessment is appropriate for the student. <u>Systemic Correction</u> The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices regarding the determination of participation in the AASCD	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No The educational agency does <u>not</u> need to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan.
DS-17	3301-51-07(L)(2)	<u>Record Review</u> Nine school age IEPs did not contain measurable annual goals and services/placement consistent with progress made. All preschool IEPs contained measurable annual goals and services/placement consistent with progress made. <u>Other Considerations</u> Without clearly documented progress monitoring, reflecting the specific goal measurement, student progress cannot be accurately assessed.	<u>Individual Correction</u> None <u>Systemic Correction</u> The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices regarding measurable annual goals and services consistent with progress made.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes The educational agency needs to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan (for School age only).
DS-18	3301-51-07(L)	<u>Record Review</u> All IEPs showed evidence that revisions were made based on data indicating changes in student needs or abilities.	<u>Individual Correction</u> NA <u>Systemic Correction</u> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA
DS-19	300.321(5) 3301-51-07(I)	<u>Record Review</u> All school age and preschool IEPs indicated that the IEP Team included a group of qualified professionals.	<u>Individual Correction</u> NA <u>Systemic Correction</u> NA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA

Component 3: Least Restrictive Environment (LRE) and IEP Alignment

Each educational agency shall ensure that to the maximum extent appropriate, children with disabilities, including children in public or nonpublic institutions or other care facilities, are educated with children who are nondisabled; and that a continuum of alternative placements is available to meet the needs of children with disabilities for special education and related services.

Record Review Item	Regulation 34 CFR or OAC	Evidence of Findings	Evidence of Correction	Must be addressed in CAP
			Required Actions	
LRE-1	300.114 300.320(a)(5) 3301-51-07 (H)(1)(f)	<p><u>Record Review</u></p> <p>Nine school age IEPs and one preschool IEP did not include an explanation of the extent to which the child will not participate with nondisabled children in the general education classroom.</p> <p><u>Other Considerations</u></p> <p>IEP verification visits demonstrated that special education supports and services were being delivered as described. However, many LRE statements were vague and did not explain why the instruction and services could not be delivered in the general education setting.</p> <p><u>Interviews</u></p> <p>Interviews revealed some difficulties with describing or implementing a true co-teaching model with co-planning that was consistent across all buildings. Other respondents were concerned that district administrative policies limit placement choices across the continuum of alternative settings based upon individual student needs.</p>	<p><u>Individual Correction</u></p> <p>The educational agency must reconvene the teams of the IEPs identified as noncompliant to review and include a justification as to why the child was removed from the general education classroom.</p> <p>The justification should:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Be based on the needs of the child, not the disability. • Reflect that the team has given adequate consideration to meeting the student's needs in the general classroom with supplementary aids and services. • Document that the nature or severity of the disability is such that education in general education classes, even with the use of supplementary aids and services, cannot be achieved satisfactorily. • Describe potential harmful effects to the child or others, if applicable. <p><u>Systemic Correction</u></p> <p>The educational agency must submit evidence to OEC of written procedures and practices regarding the least restrictive environment placement decision process.</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p> <p>The educational agency needs to address this finding in a Corrective Action Plan (for School age and Preschool).</p>